

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

While not a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. In addition, the job market will expand along with the new industry. Can anyone doubt this benefit??? Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D Douglas  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

What better way to move us to renewables but, to exhaust our existing energy sources Millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Why not try to maximize that worth reasonably? As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the daily produced volumes from shale gas resources located in the United States. As has been demonstrated, higher gas production is cheaper at the nozzle. Across all reasonable scenarios, the U.S. is projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports.

Sincerely,

Nick Privett  
Edmond, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

We need i here to keep prices low so I can afford to heat my house. Thank you. mark

Sincerely,

mark Wiley  
tigard, OR

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

[REDACTED] d natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled \"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: \"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Charlton  
Ogdensburg, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

The oil and gas industry is a bright spot in our economy. Please help our economy thrive by supporting the export of U.S. natural gas. The proliferation of shale gas production should be a jackpot for the U.S. Currently, we can't take advantage of the opportunity because the abundant supply has saturated the market depressing domestic prices. Let's capitalize on this unexpected opportunity by allowing natural gas to be sold abroad, creating thousands of jobs and helping to stabilize the world gas market, for the benefit the global economy. I disagree with Congressman Markey's objections and don't want our economy to be held hostage by protectionism. Please support efforts to export natural gas for the benefit of our country and our economy.

Sincerely,

Jan Sieving  
The Woodlands, TX

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

Sending our liquified natural gas over seas creates private profit from public lands. The pollution of our environment and the addition of those extra fossil fuels let loose on our earth would have a huge impact on our children\'s future. Keep all fossil fuels in the ground where they belong. Save the earth.

Sincerely,

Patt Doyle  
Heisson, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support of LNG exports as an employee of the oil industry. They should be approved because we'll have an abundance of natural gas that future technical advances will only increase and because pricing issues raised in opposition to increased exports appear overstated from recent analyses. The benefits of added domestic jobs, support of allies in an increasingly hostile world, and the higher net benefits and deficit reductions of unconstrained exports outweigh such concerns. Added flexibility in periods of market imbalances is a further added advantage. In a recovering economy such LNG exports create jobs, reduce trade deficits, and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Will Ollison  
Rockville, MD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

M. Davis  
Pittsboro, IN



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Gilbert  
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Shirley  
Hope Hull, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security. Please use the Natural Resources that we ALREADY have.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hollien  
Gainesville, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marie Ferrell-Mewes  
Pocahontas, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Teeter  
Lake Tapps, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Stone  
Florissant, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Little  
Chandler, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike McEuen  
Concordia, KS



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Dunham  
Oxnard, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Porter Conerly  
Chapel Hill, NC

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Schuelke  
Waukesha, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sarah Anderson  
Tyler, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits. As a 2011 study found that millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s, but corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. The US has an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have also led to a dramatic increase in our recoverable shale gas resources. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such indicate that the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). One study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic prices. By becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad we could create many new liveable wage jobs here at home. The domestic job creation potential is so great that one report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. The additional gas production for export would generate billions of tax dollars that federal and state governments alike really need every year, and especially in view of our soaring federal deficit. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, and maintain production and employment levels,. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security. Thank you for your consideration of my remarks.

Sincerely,

Ray Taylor  
West Linn, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

Dear Steven, As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Teachman  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As user of the products of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frances Innes  
Villa Hills, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As someone who has been chronically unemployed over the past 3 years, but have the electronics, electrical and other skills well suited for the LNG industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Perry Bonney  
Rosharon, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As President of the Albuquerque Petroleum Association, and a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerra Gonzales  
Albuquerque, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lewis  
Louisville, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Kropf  
Wauwatosa, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

N.L. Hauser  
Carmel, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Hauck  
Pittsboro, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don & Sue McFarland  
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerry Stone  
Fort Lauderdale, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Gamble  
Dallas, OR



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Franck  
Papillion, NE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diana Hopcia  
Buffalo, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Midulla  
Tampa, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Pakulski  
The Woodlands, TX

2/1/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ashley Settle  
Washington, DC

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Ahlert  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Smith  
Grand Prairie, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Ford  
Lufkin, TX



2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Vegt  
West Olive, MI

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Koslicki  
Renton, WA

2/24/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Mathews  
Butler, NJ

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

joseph moore  
paris, TX

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL NEWLIN  
French Lick, IN

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Leahy  
Thousand Oaks, CA

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cynthia G Gwinn  
Lees Summit, MO

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

patrick Sidoti  
easton, PA



2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Bevill  
Knoxville, TN

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tonya Roberts  
Valders, WI

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DeWayne McCave  
Williamsburg, MI

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert pyburn  
ft. meade, FL

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Dyke  
Oxford, MS

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Hergenroeder  
Stockton, CA

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Adkins  
Radford, VA

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janie Johnson  
Florence, MS



2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Maus  
East Palatka, FL

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christian Beattie  
Kailua, HI

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Rader  
Madison, TN

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Shroeder  
Springfield, VA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Pelnarsh  
pontiac, IL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James McGee Sr.  
Hasting, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernadine Barker  
Keosauqua, IA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Watson  
Houston, TX



2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

debbie hart  
Winters, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maire-Preciosa Vilorio  
Long Beach, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurence McKeever  
Pearl River, NY

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BOB WHEELDON  
Lake Charles, LA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Farris  
Hillsville, VA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ikechukwu Igbokwe  
Fontana, CA

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carlene Carmen  
Conway, SC

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debbie Payne  
Reynolds Station, KY



2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Fraser  
OCALA, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Khalil Hobeiche  
Dallas, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Davis  
GrantsPass, OR

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernadette DiAntonio  
Tulsa, OK

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kevin Crawford  
Midland, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerome Gonzales  
Inglewood, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Ames  
Las Vegas, NV

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bobby Howerton  
Visalia, CA



2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Butler  
Spring, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Kolehmainen  
Fort Myers, FL

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Price  
Savannah, GA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wilson Six  
Stanton, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Turner  
Covington, GA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Pryor  
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOE BIANCHI SR  
Lemon Grove, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Ingram  
West Palm Beach, FL



2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Brooks  
Gonzales, LA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Wright  
Oroville, CA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Art Maestas  
Spearman, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Neal  
Piedmont, SC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Schlichting  
New Milford, CT

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Butch Twele  
Memphis, TN

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laawrence Fitzkee  
Warwick, NY

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda C Spence  
Houston, TX



2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rozenberg  
houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Puckett  
Spring, VT

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Sherrill  
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tiffany Mercer  
Tyler, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Rickert  
Urbandale, IA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Galen Evans  
Spring, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lombardi  
Brooklyn, NY

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Craig Gaines  
Tulsa, OK



2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MerriLee Colvin  
enterprise, UT

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrienne Antinoro  
Nashua, NH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Elliott  
Fontana, CA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald mcdaniel  
Morristown, TN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Smithgall  
Wellsboro, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allan Burke  
Franklin, TN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Kozera  
Groveport, OH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DON PATTON  
Redmond, OR



2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Liermann  
Fredonia, WI

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Grabowski  
West Babylon, NY

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Gallegos, Sr.  
Auburn Hills, MI

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brandi Hodges  
Greeley, CO

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rex Grothusen  
Scott City, KS

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

frank mcnamara  
Smithville, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Jungers  
Elgin, IL

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Morrissey  
Woodbridge, VA



2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Rampersant  
Summerville, SC

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

russell schmidt  
grandview, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tawn Harshall  
Butler, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Clark  
Lake Jackson, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nico ratsma  
Eustis, FL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Kramer  
Chino, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Breezee  
El Paso, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Gallet  
Abbeville, LA



2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Harris-Zinn  
Oxnard, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Darlington  
Morris, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Hopson  
Altoona, IA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pam Youngblood  
Littlestown, PA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Battcher  
Lombard, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kuehn  
Dayton, OH

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vonda Grey  
Shelbyville, TN

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Butowicz  
Jeannette, PA



2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Clayton  
Tucson, AZ

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ellen Sliter  
Medina, OH

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L. A. Jones  
Clarksville, AR

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Ray  
Kingwood, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edgar Carpenter  
Henderson, NV

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matthew Allshouse  
Hahnville, LA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diane Wolf  
New Berlin, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sara Byers  
Grand Haven, MI



2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Hrubesky  
Oconto, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john siebolds  
nevis, MN

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Caton  
Meeker, OK

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dietrich  
Richmond, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert booth  
Taylorsville, UT

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Cutler  
Tehachapi, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Mitchell  
Dairy, OR

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

levi eidelman  
brooklyn, NY



2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

glen rehorn  
Ralston, OK

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vincente Pichay  
Norwich, CT

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Weddington  
Daniel Island, SC

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lance Black  
Forsyth, GA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Wright Jr.  
Jesup, GA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Hahn  
Omak, WA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ana Maria Padron  
San Diego, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Martin  
Nokomis, FL



2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Borden  
Oshtemo, MI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joanne Tesoier  
Lakewood Ranch, FL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Billy K.  
Clifton Heights, PA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Scanlan  
Fond du Lac, WI

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shawn Arthur  
Fort Worth, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gillian L'Eplattenier  
Rindge, NH

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Unterschuetz  
Ladysmith, WI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randall Becht  
Mesa, AZ



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

benjamin lovesky  
Providence, RI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Grenrood  
Fort Collins, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Whitworth  
Port Charlotte, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Politte  
Casper, WY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Phillips  
Kingfisher, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Wice  
Pittsburgh, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton L Johnson  
Mobridge, SD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Boyd  
Cottondale, AL



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicki Tacker  
Rogers, AR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Besly  
Bryan, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

albert johnson  
North Port, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Adams  
Hutchinson, KS

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Ogilvie  
Livingston, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ric Carroll  
Seabrook, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard watkins  
Cañon City, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Forler  
Hope Mills, NC



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Fields  
Sierra Vista, AZ

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Smithkey  
Flushing, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Stewart  
Bethany, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Rasor  
McAlpin, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas wright  
Altoona, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cora Brush  
Corning, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James DesJardins  
Negaunee, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Comport  
Seattle, WA



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Gitchel  
Gill, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Inslee  
Ada, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LIZ CARTIER  
Valhalla, NY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

vicki egli  
Watson town, PA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Brady  
Post Falls, ID

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MARY PFENNIG  
Absarokee, MT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Hendricks  
San Angelo, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dana B Williams  
Trade, TN



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Kennedy  
Montgomery, AL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Gibbs  
Silver City, NM

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John VanPatten  
American Canyon, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Leppin  
Weimar, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Kabat  
Scheller, IL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Liikala  
Sioux Falls, SD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gary mitcham  
san antonio, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Nanney  
Rowlett, TX



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Stewart  
Forney, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Giori  
Arcata, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

guido bartalini  
Perry, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN BECKER  
Rosemount, MN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Clayton  
Wetumpka, AL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Kupiec  
Kingston, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leon Sylvester  
Calistoga, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Hulsey  
Gary, TX



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Prince  
Springdale, WA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol Schneider  
Winter Park, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Coleman  
Blairsville, GA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carla Graham  
Marksville, LA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harvey Frantz  
Modesto, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Ahern  
Bowie, MD

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Hartfiel  
Crescent Springs, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Sutter  
Truckee, CA



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Stipe  
Moore, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william mattson  
West Columbia, SC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Paveglio  
Belfair, WA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DELAUN ROMIG  
Apple Valley, MN

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Frick  
Mount Zion, IL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Stevenson  
Bakersfield, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clarence Rout  
Penhook, VA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Nosich  
Dowagiac, MI



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patsy Desselles  
Metairie, LA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Yoham  
San Rafael, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ERIC SCHNABEL  
BRISTOW, VA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Snyder  
Bowling Green, OH

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Schlattmann  
Kearney, NE

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Birch  
Stratford, CT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Maxwell  
Belton, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael parrish  
DeRidder, MD



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Brockman  
Batesville, AR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MITCHELL BUCK  
Grifton, NC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlene Lichtenberger  
Murrells Inlet, SC

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN R. SR. CARTER  
QUEEN CREEK, AZ

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol De Jacimo  
Fort Myers Beach, FL

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Moffitt`  
Tulsa, OK

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Chovanetz  
Hallettsville, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Mccraw  
Hawthorne, FL



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Leo  
New Canaan, CT

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Sr  
MONROE, GA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Cottrell  
Walnut Creek, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ole Hurst  
Moss Beach, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruben Hernandez  
Houston, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Johnston  
Napa, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Ruch  
Sheridan, OR

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Wolz  
Bellevue, NE



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debi Jones  
Madisonville, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Conkel-hAnnan  
Bradfordsville, KY

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Wheeler  
Red Bluff, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlene Spicer  
Grand Forks, ND

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Katrina Fernandez  
Apollo Beach, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nessie Zitlau  
Rigby, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Nelson  
Wellington, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juanita Howland  
Lee's Summit, MO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Milich  
West Bend, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenda Reagan  
Chester, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Koonce  
Baytown, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. L. Yonker  
Prescott Valley, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Smith  
Woodbridge, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

SARAH M. BARBOUR  
Bellevue, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

sharon gilbreath  
Mineola, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Vahling  
Newton, IL



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

marion quinton  
miami, AZ, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gayle Smith  
Lake Mary, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chris Hughes  
Athens, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol Williamson  
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Anderson  
Calhoun, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Filoso  
Mason, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Max Reeves  
Elgin, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frances Luzynski  
Canal Winchester, OH



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Ward  
Libby, MT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beverly Knapp  
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Benedict  
Lakewood, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judith Melton  
Macomb, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Brouse  
Wauwatosa, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susi Downs  
Jacksonville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen Larson  
Blaine, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Hall  
Wichita, KS



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Lundrigan  
Brimfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lester Troxel  
Pittsburg, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Fontenot  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Kaiserman  
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diana Brown  
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nolan Rowald  
Magnolia, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Lozano  
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Craig  
Warrenton, VA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Taylor  
Beeville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rick olson  
Eagle Grove, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Marlinski  
Buffalo, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HANI JEREIS  
YONKERS, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenroy Schissler  
Rosedale, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Miller  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

anna karp  
Norfolk, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Beach  
San Antonio, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Embersics  
Devers, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Rodriguez  
Gilroy, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Howard Roberts  
Alva, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clark Elliott  
Guthrie, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

steven anderson  
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Stokes  
Chattanooga, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Penny Stierle  
Burney, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Paliotta  
East Syracuse, NY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chester Stafford  
Clovis, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Spencer  
Riverton, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles L. Fulton  
Alamogordo, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Gisler  
Northern Cambria, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Siler  
Merlin, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Noel Elser  
Bryan, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JeraldineRyan Ryan  
Glendale, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oscar Hernandez  
Milford, IN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

SCOTT FORBES  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

woody Starnes  
waxhaw, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Berres  
Plymouth, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Martin  
Florence, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Bowen  
Evanston, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

chris donatelli  
Macungie, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alice Deperna  
Dunnellon, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David McClimate  
Sahuarita, AZ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Walton  
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

james marsden  
Oakdale, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hawkins  
Shady Side, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Wirts  
Livermore, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAUL WILLIAMS  
Willow, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jodi Swartz  
Santa monica, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Wolff  
Fortson, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carole Anderson  
Marshall, WI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Ansel  
Brunswick, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Stuber  
Encinitas, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Berns  
King George, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan Rickerson  
Douglas, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alphonse Cerreto  
Woodbridge Township, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gregory spears  
ASHLAND, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melody Bissell  
Dayton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

christine McClure  
Grand Marais, MN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Anderson  
Conneaut, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TERRY GATES  
Marengo, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Biondo  
Bedford, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LARRY BIEGEL  
Crown Point, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jared Freeman  
Greenbush, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Mathews  
Melbourne, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Guiles  
Yarnell, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Anderson  
Statesville, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Pyle  
Hampton, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael rivera  
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Brace  
Clayton, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rachel Erikson  
De Witt, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Smart  
Glenview, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

frank coscarello  
Parsippany-Troy Hills, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Phillips  
Rochester, MI

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Clemans  
Madison, MS



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Baines  
Southington, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Morero  
Buckeye, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nathanael Carr  
Hickory, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward & Gloria Stowe  
Torrington, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Steward  
East Berlin, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jess Hudnall  
Olathe, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Redman  
Galena, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Ross Taplett  
Culpeper, VA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Daniels  
Elmira, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurie Bluth  
Temple, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald G Bruner  
Batavia, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Bird  
Roslyn Heights, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john tithof  
Mount Pleasant, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Keel  
Vidor, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Woody Quackenbush  
Anchorage, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Pearson  
Boston, MA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kellock  
Long Beach, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Baer  
Aiken, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

al fowler  
Euless, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Swarthout  
Wysox, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Barnes  
South Fork, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

FREDERICK LANKFORD  
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Lambros  
Jacksonville, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Josie Hale  
Tallahassee, FL



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James and Diane Hamilton  
Piney Flats, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raul Solis  
Mission, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Zabel  
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Cole  
Midland, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Moon  
Sandy Lake, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Thurman  
Aztec, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheri Baird  
Kingsport, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Ruppert  
Pickens, SC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

angela vareltzis  
Goose Creek, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Hight  
Corbin, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcia Schmidt  
Green Bay, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ivan Woolf  
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kirt and Glenna Bell  
Glen Rose, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Cunningham  
Bowie, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICK KUBEJA  
Meadville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Dupuis  
Friendswood, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Loretta Erses  
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Schoch  
Clinton, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeanette Pepper  
Tatum, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Cooper  
San Jacinto, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Cullen  
Sun City Center, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Melocik  
Southlake, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david teichmann  
Ocala, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matt Kiel  
Phoenix, AZ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James A Downing  
Amelia, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael, Joan, William Ryan  
Oceanside, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Loving  
Gatesville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brien Perkins  
Irving, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacquelyn Paul  
San Marcos, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Cotton  
Homer, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Livingston  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Albert Geisendorff  
Kerrville, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Henderson  
San Bruno, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BOB MANNING  
Boise, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Win Smith  
Henderson, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Nelson  
Wellington, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Franklin  
Lafayette, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Wolf  
Coral Springs, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Adrian  
Prior Lake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Peck  
Colorado Springs, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jan Carlson  
Marianna, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Olyowski  
Wake Forest, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Genet  
Santa Paula, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

barbara meyer  
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William C. Davidson  
Wapakoneta, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Taylor  
Brush Prairie, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Shepperson  
Dover, DE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Kopf  
Auburn, WV



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jo Trujillo  
Indian Head Park, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Eiken  
Delano, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Sexton  
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Cox  
Irving, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Boggs  
Charlotte, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICIA ALBRIGHT  
Greenwood, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Drew  
Chichester, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Woolford  
Clayton, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. W. Garner  
Woodburn, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maria Walton  
Pensacola, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William L. Beavers  
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Crawley  
Stanley, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Ward  
Martinsburg, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Madden  
New Braunfels, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Johnson  
Saucier, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Sheman  
Littlestown, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Ely  
Odessa, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ed Drinkwine  
Waunakee, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Cherry  
Trapper Creek, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Carli  
League City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

catherine b jenkins  
Odessa, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Wilde  
Geneva, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Seitz  
Fayetteville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Foltz  
Tinicum Township, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Hamm  
Lexington, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TOM HARDIN  
CHARLOTTE, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LaVerne King  
McDonald, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Ingalls  
Herndon, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bernard Bong  
Huron, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barnes Moore  
Freehold Township, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul harmon  
belfair, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Callaway  
Grand Junction, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CAROL Hornback  
Shepherdsville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

neal treadwell  
Las Vegas, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

lewis black  
Blanding, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Simone  
Port Washington, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rusty Perkins  
Lubbock, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Novella Adoue  
Rye, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Gross  
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William H Hedges  
Austin, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Shaw  
Sarasota, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LEE LINTNER  
Peoria, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Eidson  
Cadiz, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Oliver  
Martin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anton J. Andersen  
Fremont, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Winifred Marshall  
Rancho Viejo, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Plaquet  
Fairbanks, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Gibbs  
Monroe, OH



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Fillingim  
Pensacola, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Palombi  
Boulder, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurtiss Olson  
Laveen, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Strock  
Napa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Williams  
Mount Juliet, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Hunt  
Kennett Square, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Tullbane  
McAllen, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald J. Hahnke  
Eau Claire, WI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Simpson  
Eupora, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Garten  
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Hyde  
Astoria, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Norm Meaker  
Glen Allen, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Fox  
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Porcella  
Modesto, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN BAKER  
Russiaville, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Arrington  
Dumas, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Jones  
Ovilla, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard rasnick  
Bristol, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcus Huey  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Floyd Watts  
Wayne, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. L. Robb  
Hockley, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Belinda Saxon  
Millen, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randal Landry  
Abbeville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

wilfred Eckles  
Las Vegas, NV



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Francis  
Chico, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Taylor  
Shreveport, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shane Christensen  
Sanger, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Perdue  
Fort Worth, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Kepler  
Willow Street, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brian Swenson

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tivis Parker  
Centereach, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Downing  
Belmont, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ted & Carol Staudacher  
Lake Leelanau, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Campbell  
Sun Valley, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALBERT DEWALL  
Castle Rock, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Cottle  
Raleigh, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Claudine Selden  
San Augustine, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Gilbert  
Orangevale, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Starla Haynes  
New Castle, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Roth  
Newcastle, WA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Holicka  
Troy, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Phillips  
Troy, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

vernion Smith  
Nicholasville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Brandt  
Franklin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald E Putnam  
Leland, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Haygood  
Sulphur Springs, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Woods  
Greenwich, CT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D. R. Tuffy Butler  
Norfolk, VA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas McMurry  
Jamul, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lyford  
Pasadena, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lane Moreland  
Belle Plaine, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kenneth Cosby  
Wake Village, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Petrakian  
Milford, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Hahn  
Chelsea, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ted Renner  
Dickinson, ND

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doyle Whitesel  
Hot Springs, AR



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Wickline  
Wimauma, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Prettyman  
Glen Allen, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig buckey  
Mountain Top, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dean Cobb  
Stockton, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Lane  
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Kearns  
Athens, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresita Lain  
Miami, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Bruner  
Riverton, WY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carol buckey  
mountain Top, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lloyd osmun  
Nazareth, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Daniel Billingsley  
Elkhorn, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laura FitzGerald  
Shreveport, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN KIDD  
Lindsay, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Mendoza  
Glenview, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Bandy  
Frederick, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Crawford  
Bella Vista, AR



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Hall  
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tommy Holton  
Fort Lupton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

patricia kennedy  
Hurricane, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rosejoy Stagg  
Pineville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Fagersten  
Kitty Hawk, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lawrence  
Stafford, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Kusterman  
Kissimmee, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L C Pruitt  
Cleveland, GA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Henderson  
Lexington, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dewey Roof  
McArthur, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bert Conner  
Payson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Knapp  
wellsboro, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Yale  
Santa Ana, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rengstorf, SMSgt, USAF, Ret  
Windsor, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Moreland  
princeton, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Hoyt  
Winton, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roseanne Price  
Lebanon, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Evens  
Sutherlin, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tracey Wells  
Indian Head, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

naomi wynne  
Hawthorne, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irvin Harvey  
Huntsville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duncan McArthur  
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Sperber  
Lake Charles, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Loreman  
York, NE



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Franks  
Sebastopol, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Shrope  
Lawrenceville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mari-Lynn Slayton  
St. Paris, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kent Mueller  
Costa Mesa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Hetler  
Fremont, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher seaver  
Houston, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Shalna  
S. Weymouth, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

FREDA WHALEN  
TEWKSBURY, MA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

karen miller  
georgetown, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Scott  
Alexandria, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Oxenhorn  
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Felts  
Edwardsville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Clifford  
Spring Hill, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Johnson  
La Grande, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Maxon  
Loleta, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Yung  
Middleburgh, NY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Rose  
Ellisville, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barry Muldrey Sr  
Metairie, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynette Lewis  
Springfield, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

joan wood  
Osage Beach, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hermene Barthold  
Forest Lake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Brundin  
Sioux Falls, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Steiner  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andre' Fournet  
New Orleans, LA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Stout  
Little Rock, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Baker  
Milan, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Thomasson  
Mayfield Heights, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Powers  
Dripping Springs, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Marion  
Puyallup, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Gettel  
Long Prairie, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Quetta Woodard  
Bakersfield, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Wilson  
Cut Bank, MT



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danielle McClure  
Irmo, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Hammel  
Greendale, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Julius Sternfels  
Thibodaux, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Peters  
Warrior, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shereen Gillette  
Raleigh, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelia Surges  
Bealeton, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Runge  
Seymour, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Haddad  
Scottdale, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Oellerich, PhD  
Athens, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mikel Conner  
Johnson City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan McEachern  
Yorkville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marissa Skaja  
Minneapolis, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Loffelmacher  
Rockford, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Davis  
Lewiston, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clyde Chisholm  
Baton Rouge, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jimmy Chambers  
Clark Fork, ID



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Aurelio Vizcarra  
Carson, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wallace Angles  
Bluefield, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JASON BUCKWHEAT  
Ocala, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terrance Traylor  
Scottsdale, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Withers  
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kibitz  
West Olive, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Stanley  
Mooreville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy Popp  
Missouri City, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Evert Kooy  
Moses Lake, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Lisle  
Point, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

judy hatlevig  
Friendship, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Nichols  
Commodore, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Murlowski  
Saint Paul, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janelle Murlowski  
Minneapolis, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Lowder  
McKinney, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Petschke  
Saint Paul, MN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernie McLoney  
Verona, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Gilbert  
Buxton, ME

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Anderson  
Sigourney, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

raymond woodham  
Santa Rosa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Pearce  
San Diego, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul VanLeer  
Elmer, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Andrix  
Blissfield, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlotte fischer  
Rancho Cordova, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Fowler  
Bryan, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

cynthia jones  
North Richland Hills, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Berry  
Melrose, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Hart  
Mound, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Wright  
Iuka, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Ramsey  
Las Vegas, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary McCormick  
Springview, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stacy Martindale  
Walnut Creek, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Schwiderski  
Henry, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Dobesh  
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Donovan  
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Arturi  
Depoe Bay, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leslie Warren  
Memphis, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Sumpter  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Gall  
Hendersonville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Felicetti  
Sea Isle City, NJ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Carsrud  
New Braunfels, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Fast  
Littleton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl Grunden  
Springfield, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brad Craig  
Salida, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendy Tarrant  
Santee, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Zehr  
Gretna, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Seth Cottrell  
Sioux City, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Liendo  
Corpus Christi, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rita Jane Smith  
Felda, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Neal Keller  
Fleming Island, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G.Vern Yates  
Vansant, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Brickhouse  
Columbia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Luxton  
Washington, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Slomian  
Monroe Twp., NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Estes  
Pana, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Offerdahl  
Stoughton, WI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Flint  
Boise, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

h.melvin bigler  
jacobsburg, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Debra Woerndell  
Valley Mills, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ray  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thaddeus moore  
Elmer City, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Vernotzy  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MARC DEVILLERS  
Albrightsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Poorman  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alfred Bishop  
Mico, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kaaren Atchison  
Snohomish, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Boyer  
Anaheim, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Noren  
Pequot Lakes, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Donati  
Hickory, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hartmann  
East Chicago, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irene McNish  
Sun Prairie, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Heraty  
San Diego, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G. Gurney  
Point Roberts, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Bulna  
Gibsonia, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Theodore Glende  
Rochester, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Magers  
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Scase  
London, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Bjornson  
Cape Coral, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Gibson  
Southgate, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Huber  
Salt Lake City, UT



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Grbski  
Champlin, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Steele  
Idaho Falls, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcus Goldman  
Magnolia, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Sparano  
Laguna Niguel, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Janssen  
Moreno Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Lehtonen  
Rocky Point, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Erik Somirs  
Mansfield, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Leiss  
Sedalia, MO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Diane Armstrong  
Heber-Overgaard, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fleisher  
Tyler, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david nixon  
Medina, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Nelson  
Eugene, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Lloyd  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Knapp  
Wellsboro, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry White  
Byron Center, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Thomas  
Carlton, GA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dana Wood  
Humble, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eliece Rybak  
Tyler Hill,, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Cleveland, Esq  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wanda Whyte  
Huntsville, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Barrett  
Hopatcong, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

S White  
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlin Cotter  
Seminary, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Ottesen  
Chula Vista, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mildred Espy  
Carlsbad, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gordon Huls  
Lincoln, NE

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glen Bosma  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Kennedy  
Puyallup, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis jay  
Washington, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Bajada  
Los Angeles, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Kohls  
Manitowoc, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andy Withers  
Raleigh, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Kortsch  
Madison, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheila Wylie  
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard bunnell  
Gainesville, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oralia Perez  
Elsa, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Nugent  
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Murphy  
Oakhurst, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Baker  
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DAVID HEINZ  
Lake Geneva, WI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Follari  
Hayesville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brad Bakalyar  
Medford, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william soutar  
Brighton, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Hemshrodt  
Clear Spring, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Winston Harriott  
Laurel, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Coffelt  
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas ware  
Muncie, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nikki Sims  
Casper, WY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Walker  
Cadiz, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lloyd Luthringer  
Lakeside, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth GUnter  
Seaford, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Warmerdam  
Arlington, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Hauck  
Shelbyville, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Gilliam  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garrett Matheron  
Cheektowaga, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl C Brewer  
Wilkesboro, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dottie Harm  
Dayton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Azelton  
Wallace, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Bullington  
Florence, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Thompson  
Thousand Oaks, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Heskett  
Clinton, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Powell  
Amenia, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Pelger  
Reno, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Walker  
Indianapolis, IN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dan geyer  
Reno, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Young  
Gentry, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Aron Hanson  
Homer, AK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gilberto Pazo  
Naperville, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Huteson  
Los Angeles, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Landrum  
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

R. Wayne Adams  
Blackfoot, ID

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Foster  
Cheyenne, WY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Trainor  
Tulsa, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Berrian  
Schenectady, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Kain  
Bolivia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Ursini  
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Erwin B Wingfield  
Leesburg, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Ikerd  
Santa Paula, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stan Dempsey  
Golden, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheila Charter  
Casper, WY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angela Shank  
Howard, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

sally griffith  
Sumter, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Crain  
Wolfeboro, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leo J. Schwartz III  
SAN ANTONIO, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Bartholomew  
Mebane, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Buckner  
Bradley, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jim geyer  
Atlanta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Willis  
Blue Ridge, GA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Louis Jaccard  
Edwardsville, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Desch  
Gig Harbor, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul McIntire  
Meeker, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Lennartson  
Crosslake, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Gray  
Longview, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

robert barklage  
Waynesboro, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Doetzer  
Lake George, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Termini  
Temecula, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Marmande  
Houma, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Nester  
Rock Rapids, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nicholas Celentano  
Bronx, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Smith  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kevin Sago  
Labadie, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerri Kurdila  
Trenton, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gwen Mdyer  
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Embke  
Neillsville, WI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Crippen  
Dufur, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Dovey  
Wheatland, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

clark buisker  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eric Zolner  
Summerville, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelly Cook-Cowden  
Farmington, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Truette  
Fayetteville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Jreij  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Loretta G. Box  
Buchanan Dam, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Harrington  
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Dennison  
Grand Junction, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Morgensen  
Scotts Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Wright  
Everett, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

WILLIAM BRAY  
Sabinsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Lumsden  
Franklin, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Victor Bagley  
Elliston, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Turner  
Smithville, MO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Ruf  
De Pere, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dunleavy  
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Anderson  
Hereford, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Pedersen  
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patsy Bratcher  
Abilene, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Lyons  
Grass Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Howard  
Crosby, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Wolensky Wolensky  
Hyde park, NY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Gronlie  
HOT SPRINGS NATIONAL PARK, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Woodburn  
St. Cloud, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Bippen  
Florissant, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBBIE TEEUWEN  
PILLAGER, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Yates  
Longmont, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dayna Roy  
Galveston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dallas Ezra Haynes  
Monroe, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Wyatt  
Bastrop, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Bahlburg  
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Burwell  
Morristown, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virgil Rittenhouse  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

TJ Greenwood  
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Peterson  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Fullmer  
Lutcher, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Wingate  
Lafayette, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillip Midtvedt  
Alexandria, MN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Muskovitz  
Mayville, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Connour  
Heyworth, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kim Wyatt  
Bastrop, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Trapp  
Pleasanton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Picchiotti  
Fairfield, ME

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammy Dyer  
Killeen, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roland Womack  
Hilliard, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George McDaniel  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Keechi  
Oklahoma City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Earl Manning  
Pocahontas, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Leinfelder  
Dawson, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Spencer  
Livonia, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dick Simpson  
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dee Epps  
Hayesville, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Roberts  
Lantana, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas gili  
west babylon, NY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CHARLOTTE BELDEN  
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Jacobson  
Blaine, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nick Dunse  
Taunton, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beverly Worcester  
La Habra, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Bradner  
Bath Township, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hollister  
Oceanside, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Ormond  
North Myrtle Beach, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nelson Alandt  
Vancouver, WA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary K Meisner  
Doylestown, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Tiner  
Mariposa, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Margaret Hall  
Marion, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Henderson  
Wichita, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LARRY&VA WEIGUM SR  
St. Joseph, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rasure  
Rattan, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

KEITH HUNDERTMARK  
Westminster, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Baker  
Whiteland, IN



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Dow  
Sarasota, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ginger Serpas  
Metairie, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joan Schneider  
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ferguson  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Charlson  
Surfside Beach, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Cartmill  
Midwest City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

C. Smith  
Palm Beach Gardens, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charlie Lyons  
Greenville, SC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Warren LeBoeuf  
Houma, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Druge  
Bradenton, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Morgan  
Grass Valley, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Strutko  
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Young  
Gentry, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald L Crusan  
Rillton, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kenneth ott  
Bowman, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Brown  
Mustang, OK



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Stapleton  
Fairview, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Velvet Hefner  
Chelsea, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dianne Smith  
Groesbeck, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

lesley throup  
Montgomery, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Hornquist  
Eau Claire, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Reese  
Sherrills Ford, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Boris Shneyder  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBERT RENFROW  
Sandia, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Raymond Fales  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phiane Sayarad  
Richmond, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Seal  
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phillipe Violette  
Hudson, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pam Carlson  
Cleburne, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Barry  
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Morton  
Muleshoe, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynn Chaney  
Oceanside, CA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Mallinckrodt  
Chicago, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JENIFER O'CONNOR  
STATE COLLEGE, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

james preston  
Prichard, WV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

floyd herbert  
Schleswig, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Rees  
Monaca, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

bernie waterbeck  
Garwin, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nina m  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Campbell  
Cottonwood, AZ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

doug scheidegger  
kingsville, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Benoit  
Vinton, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Coffee  
Burbank, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Schweitzer  
West Des Moines, IA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlie Rickborn  
Lyons, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BUB SAFRIT  
Harrisburg, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Hefner  
Chelsea, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Lohfink  
SLIDELL, LA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JAMES GREEN  
Frisco, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth McGee  
Ponca City, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Amber Chambers  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Victor Wesselhoft  
Bristol, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

brian scofield  
Addison, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JAMES GRIBBLE  
ROGERS, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sharon Tomas  
Edna, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Nelson  
De Kalb, MS



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Scott  
Missouri City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rita Brunell  
Plattsburgh, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Knudson  
Naples, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Quirk  
Rancho Cordova, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Monita Olive  
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mitzi De Nell Lannom  
Gallatin, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Woods  
Rapid City, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melissa Manuel  
Kinder, LA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Pesek  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marion Spiers  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerry Cole  
Indianapolis, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Stuart  
Thornton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Aberdeen  
Atlanta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerome Jacobsmeyer  
St. Louis, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Goebel  
Battle Ground, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russ Grove  
Dillsburg, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Holly Russell  
Rockford, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Garrett  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Kadoch  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hebeda  
Surprise, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gretchen Wilson  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Swett  
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ed Ginter  
Fort Thomas, KY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Hall  
Casa Grande, AZ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fish  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Jones  
Bryant, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carl decker  
Norfolk, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Brubaker  
Hollywood, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob DeMell  
Willoughby, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Cavanaugh  
Winchester, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Brown  
New York, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Sellers  
Conway, AR



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Tust  
Tucson, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rodney rooks  
Luray, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd VanDyke  
Great Valley, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas White  
Punta Gorda, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

mark standre  
grifton, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Shaffar  
San Antonio, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Bond  
Essex, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig smith  
casper, WY



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Mease  
Chester Springs, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Neumann  
Bellaire, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Samuel Beckham  
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Lemieux  
McKinney, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ross Cooper  
Howell, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Aurand  
Johnstown, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rickey Wilson Boles  
Mountain Home, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bob Garland  
Bethel Park, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ronald Rockwell  
Troy, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Thoen  
Watertown, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Royce Cupps  
Stephenville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hon. & Mrs. Paul Deis, Esq. III  
Anaheim, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Liz Ellis  
Llano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Crayton  
Carrollton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peggy Swineheart  
Mannford, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hoyt Clevenger  
Liberty, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Natasha Harp  
Tulsa, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Roth  
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL PARROTT  
WICHITA, KS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Kershaw  
Shrewsbury, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy McEntire  
Lubbock, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Chancellor  
Northport, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Nicholson  
Warrenton, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lydia White  
Flowery Branch, GA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

luther marshall  
Cortlandt Manor, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LUSHONDRA GLOVER  
HUMBLE, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Luke Coderre  
Orange City, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janette Stodola  
Savage, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Mcleod  
Lucedale, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Saenz  
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Wismer  
Williamsburg, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Booker  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jerry beasley  
Snyder, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henny DeRoo  
Holland, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Helen Cole

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sam Vazquez  
Seminole, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carlton Henry  
Lenoir, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gordon Woods  
Bridgeport, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Ladd  
Washington, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

F.N. Ted Barker  
Grand Isle, VT



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernest Sharron  
North Brookfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis wunder  
Crossville, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Schorlemer  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Camille Noonan  
Murrells Inlet, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Mounce  
Mebane, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doris Konduros  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Karnecki  
Gainesville, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Devin Fitzgerald  
Marietta, OH



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shirley Allman  
Johnson City, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Daniel Fels  
Apollo Beach, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Rodriguez  
houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Wehmeier  
Franklin, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Demetra Apostolou  
Kingston, NH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Craig Schmidt  
Vancouver, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Comfort  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Connie Cammack  
Humble, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chance Deere  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jose Zuniga  
Kingwood, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Ganner  
Palm Harbor, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Reiff  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Carroll  
Saugerties, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Kurtz  
St.Croix Falls, WI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

VIRGINIA ARMISTEAD  
Columbus, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benton Kelly  
Castle Rock, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ANDREW PILIHOS  
Pascagoula, MS

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Danese  
Franklin Lakes, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laron Allen  
Hiawassee, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Majthenyi  
Scarsdale, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Twyla Tranfaglia  
Fredericksburg, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Todd Freeman  
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Ulrich  
Ridgway, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

herman mueller  
Waukegan, IL



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

DENNIS GALBREATH  
Seminole, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Coate  
Freeburg, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Beecham  
Sewickley, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Deshotels  
Opelousas, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carroll Rogers  
La Grange, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rockford Thorpe  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred London  
Plainfield, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hope Rodriguez  
Holland, MI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paula Jezich  
West Plains, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard DeGiglio  
Ringwood, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Soltis  
Sparta, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James T. Handy  
Woolwine, VA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Reed  
Conyers, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Bollom  
Santa Fe, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Attila Revesz  
Phoenix, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carole Harmon  
Edgewood, NM



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Zeno  
El Reno, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Jett  
Toledo, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lynn Beale  
valencia, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Marino  
Mount Laurel, NJ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Schumacher  
New Philadelphia, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Powell  
Sacramento, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Scott  
Tyler, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paula Strahm  
Brookings, OR



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Darling  
Longs, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Putz  
Webster, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JOHN WOOD  
Woodbury, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Neff  
Drexel Hill, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laura Ketcham  
St. Augustine, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Parker, Jr  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HARRIETT smith  
Huntsville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Bundy  
Saint Joseph, MI



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

tim harrel  
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Worley  
Clinton, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicky Sexton  
Canyon, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carroll Maxwell  
McKinney, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Asper  
Ramah, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lois Belveal  
Kuna, ID

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randol Woodie  
Hickory, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sue Collins  
Worthington, IN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arthur Sommers  
Hammond, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Clark  
Camdenton, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Drake  
Prescott, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CINDY DALTON  
HENRICO, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nina patrick  
Fort worth, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oneta Henry  
PORT BOLIVAR, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judi Holmes  
Elk Grove, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william brown  
Seal Beach, CA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Muscato  
Huachuca City, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kim R. Peters  
Las Vegas, NV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Mingo  
Covina, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carmilla Malgren  
Round Rock, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Volesky  
Castle Rock, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Dannheim  
Greenwood Lake, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Paradis  
Apalachin, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Trina Engels  
The Woodlands, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathy B. Smith  
Rocky Mount, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doc Morris  
Baton Rouge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul kelly  
newman, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Mulvihill  
Charlottesville, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Gentile  
Branford, CT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jan Huffman  
Newton Upper Falls, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Safwat Abdelsayed  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clarence Wagner  
Tawas City, MI



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Nonn  
Campbellsport, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Cameron  
Pearce, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Smith  
Montgomery, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Allen Crane  
Martinsville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hugh Brown  
Rockford, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garry Burgett  
Ashland, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

scott curtis  
Montclair, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

michael Esposito  
Barnegat Township, NJ



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

kathy means  
grove city, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Frye  
Ocala, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sione Fisiiahi  
Palo Alto, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Herbert Wooten  
Crystal Springs, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Woehrle  
Columbus, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russ Toell  
Farmingdale, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Sherrick  
Lucasville, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Hieronymus  
Granite Bay, CA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Boenig  
Louisa, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Keaough  
Wellman, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Paskali  
Nashua, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Bartlett  
Southlake, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Colleen Rominger  
Carbondale, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Sawyer  
Chino, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert VonLehe  
Lyons, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lyle Niswander  
Indialantic, FL



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Pardue II  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eva Corbett  
Aberdeen, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas D\Amico  
Buras, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brenda Cave  
Wichita, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Philip Whitaker Jr  
York, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael P McAleenan  
Collierville, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Fitzgerald  
Burlington, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hartley  
Owensboro, KY



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beth Washburn  
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Milton Highhouse  
Belleville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Vogrin  
Downers Grove, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Farmer  
Snohomish, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Harder  
Sierra Vista, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lonnie Webber  
San Antonio, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Dickie  
Artemas, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Elliott  
Yakima, WA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrian Stringer  
Visalia, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Everett Haggin  
Roseville, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Neubauer  
Dearborn, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Stearns  
Centennial, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Samuel Chapman  
Conway, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pauline Elton  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Peter Lopacki  
Plainfield, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Nelson  
Smiths Creek, MI



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael McBride  
Allentown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grazyna Janus  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Rice  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Susan Misa  
Hop Bottom, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Henry Buck  
Lawrence, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cy Aures  
Morgantown, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Schmidt  
Wesley Chapel, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Cunningham  
Dallas, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Schneider  
Littleton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

wendell coker  
Stonewall, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Haberland  
Westcliffe, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

NW Botting  
Florissant, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Mohrlang  
Parachute, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Symms  
Watertown, SD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lary Larson  
Dagsboro, DE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Lanz  
Wickenburg, AZ



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shane Sturgeon  
Rolla, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Hrubesky  
Bend, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendell Roquemore  
Odessa, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vicki Countz  
Roberts, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Tucker  
Greenville, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Southard  
Atoka, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Garmon  
Adrian, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Stanton  
Houston, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John & Rose Orr  
Burkesville, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Miller  
Woolwich, ME

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Warren Parr  
Harker Heights, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Lunceford  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Ziobro  
Clemmons, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Flick  
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Hall  
Parachute, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlen VanAlstyne  
parker, CO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Johnson  
Odessa, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adrianna Spitler  
Midlothian, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ron matile  
Berea, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

carol griffin  
North Tonawanda, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edwin Dawson  
Fryeburg, ME

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Suzanne St. John

,

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larryu Glick  
Perry, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Mandracchia  
Davenport, NY



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wendell Newman  
Salem, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Rogers  
Austin, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hilliard  
Sugar Land, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Phillips  
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen K Madsen  
Clovis, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Kelly  
Florissant, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALAN DECHTER  
NEWTON, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Ragsdale Sr.  
Manchester, TN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Podgwaite  
No. Haven, CT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ieland long  
Saratoga, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert R. Buzzell  
Kalispell, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Wilkins  
Rio Rancho, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tracy Ruggles  
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Hensley  
Dallas, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martin Brooks  
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judi Morella  
Lansing, MI



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Illian  
Crossville, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Nawrocki  
Livonia, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

thomas bowen  
Grafton, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Cothren  
Decatur, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Murphy  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Romero  
Breux Bridge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Harriger  
DuBois, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Buttram  
Oronogo, MO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gayle Holste  
Frankfort, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bryan Crawford  
mitchellville, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dayton Moses  
Lake Hamilton, AR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Libby  
Gwinn, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Rye  
Preston, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Drysdale  
Nipomo, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chuck Polley  
Santa Cruz, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ann Brannan  
Lizella, GA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Phil Robinson  
Gainesville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerri K Bartels  
Fort Wayne, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

B. R. Kissman  
Richmond, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Salsbury  
Belleville, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Castrechino  
Rochester, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marcie Dreyer  
Santa Fe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

jJoe B. Lorange  
Green Valley, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Allred  
Stroud, OK



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Timmerman  
Stuart, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Floyd Radebaugh  
Marion, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robin Haney  
New Castle, DE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Lee  
Clifton Forge, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ken links  
Equinunk, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cristina Kirby  
Stephenville, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Wright  
Martinez, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Dougherty  
Binghamton, NY



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Renee de la Fuente  
Peoria, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Sutro  
Aspen, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fran Stefka  
Saint Cloud, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dale Mensch  
Pittsburgh, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randolph Murdock  
Moline, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michelle Potter  
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Versical  
Harrison Township, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

edward jensen  
Elk River, MN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Schooley  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Matson  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eugene Davison  
Foxboro, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Deiser  
Salina, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Monte Williams  
Missoula, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L Pierce  
Parkersburg, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juerg Aeschbach  
San Francisco, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Clark  
Rolla, MO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Ohmann  
Zephyrhills, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Richardson  
Bristol, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cliff Day  
South Bend, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

james canzonetta  
Cortland, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Cook  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Mellette  
Woodstock, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Holland  
Wadsworth, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Tharpe  
bellaire, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

david roberson  
ada, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

paul cerny  
Coshocton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leonard Jack Robbins  
Denison, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LINDA Geeding  
Anderson, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jon Richmond  
Beckley, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Sandel  
Granbury, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patric Gibson  
Milton, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Wakefield  
Meridian Charter Township, MI



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Sherman  
Eau Claire, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

richard bedard  
league city, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Black  
Brooklyn, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Arlie Daniels  
Sitka, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alex Jordan  
Vero Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Merrell Crumley  
San Angelo, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Seale  
Glendale, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sherry Long  
Parachute, CO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Laurie Layne  
Buckley, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grace Nowak  
Luray, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JEFFREY LEACHMAN  
LOUISVILLE, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Chase  
Marietta, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bryan Graciana  
Broussard, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Carlisle  
Kelseyville, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George and Carol Latourette  
Port Jervis, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Morris  
Fayette, MO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Erickson  
Humboldt, KS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Hodge  
Summerville, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony CONTE  
Winchester, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pamela Rutkowski  
Grosse Ile Township, MI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Peters  
Brooksville, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack M. Gandolfo  
Mandeville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Grogan  
Lufkin, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Valerie Dowden  
Kokomo, IN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Van Grunsven  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Thomas  
Monroe, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

rodger johnson  
Morehead City, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Nickerson  
Elkhart, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

dennis donat  
Watertown, MN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Files  
Portland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAT CHRISTENSEN  
Bartlesville, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kent Holman  
Bartlesville, OK



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Raney  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Evan Lasky  
Dalton, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Morris  
Bellaire, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry VanRiper  
Farmington, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Capt. Chas. A. Stocking  
Accord, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chris Taylor  
Fletcher, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Funderburg  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Davis  
Arcadia, FL



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

AL MYREN  
Milton, ND

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Perkins  
Winnabow, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Barnhart  
West Alexandria, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Aultman-Eisenbeisz  
Arlington, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Ann Warwas  
Nordheim, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

IT Phinney  
Canton, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

THOMAS HALSEY  
Greensboro, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Utrup  
Soldotna, AK



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rick Carroll  
Parrish, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Reynolds  
Kathleen, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PATRICK HARRISON  
Springfield, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Wellington  
Abilene, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Hunter Rome  
Washington, DC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Howard Wood  
Raleigh, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Babb  
Las Cruces, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Toops  
New London, MN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Coffman  
Elizabethtown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curtis Johnson  
Meridian, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Hooper  
Fort Walton Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Spencer  
Wesley Chapel, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Baxter  
New Martinsville, WV

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Betty Walker  
Sand Springs, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Bailey  
Port Charlotte, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Bagby  
Picayune, MS



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Stepp  
Omaha, NE

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Steffy  
Dayton, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

THERESA McBride  
Hamilton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Juan Silva  
Altamonte Springs, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Deborah O'Hart  
Seneca, SC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Heather Clover  
Thayne, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Smejkal  
De Pere, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ross Henning  
Tomball, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Heinz Gf. Matuschka  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Hunter  
Mount Airy, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Lindsey  
Goldthwaite, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Myron Shemek  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Beeney  
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Julia Lewis

,

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary and Teresa James  
Weston, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy O'Connell  
Portland, OR



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Thompson  
Tyler, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Young  
Chesterfield, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ray Detiveaux  
Bossier City, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Ward  
Henrico, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Bancroft  
Mead, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Metcalfe  
Mount Vernon, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Abell  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bobby Fletcher  
Farmerville, LA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Whitehead  
Tucker, GA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ball  
Efland, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Haney  
Pedro, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Fougerousse  
Greenwood, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Metcalf  
Marina, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

mark zimmerman  
Nekoosa, WI

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jill Kozur  
Garnet Valley, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

JUAN SANTANA  
Weston, FL



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Bussell  
Anchorage, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roderick Snyder  
Lake Jackson, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Pipp  
Jamestown, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

RODNEY IRWIN  
Bismarck, ND

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Montie Young  
Mont Belvieu, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Paul Smith  
St. Martinville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Randolph  
Galatia, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Dunn  
Mound, MN



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Gardner  
STEVENSVILLE, MT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ernie Jennings  
Tipton, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Powers  
Deale, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Holden  
Norman, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bart Reynolds  
Morgan City, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Billie Massie  
West Salem, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Rangel  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Dahlstrom  
Mechanicsville, VA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROCHELLE MARTIN  
Flower Mound, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hefner  
Edmond, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert M Stach Sr  
Montgomery, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patricia Pointon  
Pennington, NJ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John DeLuca  
Plainfield, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harry Ameen  
Deerfield Beach, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Greene  
Willow Grove, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

chuck jaeger  
Houston, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lisa Wise  
Richmond, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angelo Yoder  
The Woodlands, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edris Lambert  
Whittier, CA

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Bonin  
Houston, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jean Manson  
Durango, CO

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ruth Soisson  
Houston, TX

2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

christi Crouch  
Hamilton, OH

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

nancy shannon  
Montrose, MI



2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Margaret Copeland  
Midland, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

L. Gary Tipton  
Roswell, GA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

HENRY KOSKI  
ROCKPORT, MA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Nellett  
Muskegon, MI

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Griffin  
Winnsboro, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Riley  
Spring Hill, TN

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

john lentz  
Hickory, NC

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Grace Sundling  
Ann Arbor, MI



2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Geraths Sr  
San Antonio, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Franklin Delano  
Middleburg, FL

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

daniel lake  
Wantagh, NY

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ALEX MAURICIO ZEPEDA  
HOUSTON, TX

2/10/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Melanie Glatt  
Palmer, AK

2/6/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

doug buckley  
Knoxville, TN

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Lanzi  
Reno, NV

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammy Stegmann  
Bradford, AR



2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Ryan  
Lake Grove, NY

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

antonio fonseca jr  
Manchester Township, NJ

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alyce Allen  
Vernal, UT

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Wheeler  
Springfield, VA

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rob Runyan  
Washington, DC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Womack  
Schriever, LA

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mead Turner  
The Woodlands, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandie Diamond  
Conroe, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Millican  
Evergreen, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Surbeck  
Green River, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Frazey  
Denver, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Fritz  
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kay Adam  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Oslund  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Derick Adams  
Vernal, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Austin Berlin  
The Woodlands, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kyle Medley  
Price, UT

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brian Lookabaugh  
Athens, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Butler  
Thornton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Marcell  
Littleton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Grimes  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Janise  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Hansen  
Cody, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tod Haanes  
Thornton, CO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kurt Baughman  
Rozet, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles Ford  
Henderson, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim large  
Powell, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Don Bigley  
Rock Springs, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tammi Hitt  
Gillette, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kerri Damschroder  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Emely Bradley  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Del Wisler  
Spring, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gary goins  
Gillette, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Abston  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Matney  
Thornton, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janice Parks  
Splendora, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeri Cox  
Montgomery, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judith Singh  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jerry Byrd  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Welchman  
Littleton, CO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darlene Tyson  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carl Speyrer  
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Vaja  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Martha Boesch  
Splendora, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lida Preston  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pat Wienke  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Bridges  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cory Weinbel  
Spring, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hook  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pedro Marmol  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lambert  
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Falcetti  
Vernal, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Heim  
Denver, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christina France  
Arvada, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alexander Wyche  
Denver, CO

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Wester  
Spring, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Moody  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Micheal Green  
Tupelo, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Syed Ali  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Feldman  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Marek  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ali Kashi  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Constantin Iancu  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Fielder  
Chalfont, PA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Edward Paiz  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Sarvaunt  
Cleveland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GREG LOCK  
Kirtland, NM

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tyler Blankemeyer  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

EMILEE MCMASTER  
STEPHENVILLE, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anthony Bennett  
Houston, TX

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cameron Hackney  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Davies  
Metairie, LA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ORLANDO GONZALES  
La Porte, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jason Allen  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jude Taylor  
Pasadena, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

suzanne OConnor  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GEORGE LANG  
Broussard, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Rief  
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Guerrero  
La Porte, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Craig Crim  
Victoria, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Granger  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rufus Pevehouse  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Terrell  
San Augustine, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Timothy Hayes  
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Dotson  
Harvey, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Gann  
Sugar Land, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Knight  
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joe Graham  
Henderson, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Ducote  
Plaucheville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Lyon  
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Leslie Bikki  
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Beale  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Goldsborough  
Saginaw, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jose Garza  
Zapata, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marvin Macip  
Opelousas, LA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Trent Willis  
Farmington, NM



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Koetting  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

D. Kent Brown  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dave Brownell  
Baton Rouge, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jae Theobald  
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Linda Zimmerman  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Parsons  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Irek Janus  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Webb  
Houston, TX



2/13/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Ratcliffe  
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dennis Paschall  
Midland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Shaw  
Ferndale, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Huckins  
Wasilla, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robyn Elias  
Sulphur, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Hazen  
Anchorage, AK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen McDonald  
Medford, OR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Pierce  
Bayfield, CO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Dewey  
Silverdale, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Marlea Harmon  
San Ramon, CA

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Poyzer  
Victor, NY

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Watts  
Tomball, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ryan Pitt  
Grand Junction, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Caskey  
Frisco, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Smith  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Eric Ren  
Houston, TX



2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Aitken  
Missoula, MT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Carlson  
Marianna, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Doiron  
Pearland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard L. Eckstein  
Bloomfield, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Beverly  
Union Mills, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Oscar Lozano  
Bartlesville, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CLIFFORD BRICE  
Connellsville, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Brown  
Reynoldsburg, OH



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

gregory maxey  
Kingsley, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Johnson  
Santa Fe, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Merendino  
Shoreham, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul LeBeau  
Orlando, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

CHARLES MILLER  
ROCKWELL CITY, IA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dan Pearce  
Sheridan, WY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Kramer  
Marshfield, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Merkler  
Cape Girardeau, MO



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Merrill Holbrook  
Yorba Linda, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william vaughn  
Kingsport, TN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Somervell  
Hardin, KY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Brannon  
Plymouth, NC

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Otis  
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Fletcher  
League City, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Omar Ochoa  
Houston, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Dill  
Pasadena, TX



2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Daniels  
Katy, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alejandro Garcia  
Carson, CA

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Barbara Smith  
Port Arthur, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Smisor  
Pearland, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Duane Quale  
Whittier, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Denise Viola  
Newtown, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Nooyen  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Junge  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Sullivan  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben Chamberlain Sr  
Deer Park, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Durr  
Keithville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Corson  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steve Grimes  
Beach City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curtis Lohr  
Cypress, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Walla  
Richmond, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Walla  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

johness bourgeois  
Saint Amant, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Claude Chauvin  
Lutcher, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joiy Murrell  
Missouri City, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wyatt Newman  
Lumberton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Jeanson  
Sugar Land, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Harrington  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carol LaBorde  
Donaldsonville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Swank  
Kingwood, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Andrews  
Kingwood, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Clay Naiser  
Pearland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Hoover  
Wellsboro, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Fred Pepper  
Silverhill, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gregory Raley  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kyle Wittenberg  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Powell  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Filby  
Anacortes, WA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Clark  
Liberty Hill, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Luiz de Paula santos  
Sunrise, FL

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

steve faltin  
Houston, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert DeVita  
Farmington, NM

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Ehl  
Casper, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Salter  
Pearland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brent Ortego  
Ville Platte, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Maria Bautista  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Buchhop  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Clark  
Cypress, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shawna Vordokas  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joshua Bailey  
Bay Minette, AL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pete Thompson  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Crowson  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Vyvial  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gerald Powell  
Richmond, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joycelyn Failla  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Morris Narunsky  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rolando Vincoy  
Kirtland, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Angela Harrington  
Portage, IN

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Scott Sigurdson  
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darlene Black  
Farmington, NM

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Teresa Ladner  
Silas, AL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Deroche  
Bourg, LA



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kraig Phelps  
St. George, UT

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ROBERT PARSON  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brett Baker

,

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walter Castro  
Bakersfield, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LeeRoy Patin  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wilfred Provost  
Saint Martinville, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Slack  
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wesley Clark  
Tomball, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

LELAND WILLIAMS  
Magnolia, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacque Robinson  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ryan Hanford  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Riley  
Mico, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Pilling  
Canonsburg, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Adam Reeder  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Hardwick  
Holdneville, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Damon Aucoin  
Houston, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Carbrey  
Slidell, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ricky Tate  
Bossier City, ME

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Flick  
Edmond, OK

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Murecich  
Ballwin, MO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joel Janco  
Key Largo, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Walker  
Tulsa, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathy Spletter  
Heath, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jevin Croteau  
Broomfield, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Brooks  
Fresno, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Matt Ralls  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

G G Lancaster  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doug Hinton  
Katy, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jorge Llanos  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Walt Retzsch  
Rockville, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Gray  
Potomac Falls, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Chittim  
Annapolis, MD



2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

ED KONKLER  
MOUNT VERNON, OH

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tony Sweazy  
Akron, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeff Klusty  
North Canton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glen Campbell  
Howard, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Rother  
Norman, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Smith  
Grayslake, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Karish  
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wade Hinshaw  
Findlay, OH



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Robinson  
Missouri City, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Furr  
Greensboro, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Deiter  
Bethlehem, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

roy kerps  
spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Amend  
Fort Worth, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Baldur Krahl  
Pearland, TX

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

deonte reed  
jeffersonville, IN

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Landry  
Donaldsonville, LA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Chipman  
Lafayette, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Underwood  
Houston, TX

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Randy Tarter  
Bellingham, WA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tim Brennan  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Candice Elliott  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jane DuJardin  
Fruita, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Quinn  
Kerrville, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bill Woodson  
Charlotte, NC



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Michael Green  
Glendale, AZ

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Jezovnik  
Harmony, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Devra Trotter  
Marietta, GA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Minner  
Bakersfield, CA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

pat langley  
Conway, AR

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Davis  
Conroe, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

George Kurtz  
Beaver Falls, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jordan Wind  
Dickinson, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Howard  
Ballwin, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MANLY D. BRELAND  
West Union, SC

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

charlie gallman  
Mt. airy, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rod Wright  
Oklahoma City, OK

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jac Lentz  
Brighton, MI

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Jacoby  
Long Beach, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Pamela Alvarado  
Kingwood, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Niemiec  
Fort Worth, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

virginia humphreys  
Pagosa Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Salvatore Rabbio  
Greenwood Village, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Collings  
Edmond, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dwayne Nall  
Yoakum, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

craig mullett  
Slidell, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mary Higgins  
Plano, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Rhoades  
Rifle, CO

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Geoffrey Osgood  
Centennial, CO



2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andrew Chadwick  
Aurora, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sandy Schissler  
Littleton, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Brent Wenning  
Craig, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jim Barnard  
Antelope, CA

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Warner  
Hubbard, OR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kenneth McGinis  
New York, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Cambiano  
Morrilton, AR

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

william rodd  
Caro, MI



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Beau Fletcher  
Beech Creek, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Catherine Geisinger  
Mounds, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MELVIN SHELBURNE  
ORLAND, IN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ben LeRoy  
Ocala, FL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Fuqua  
Huffman, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Kathleen OKelley  
Irvine, CA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stanley Handman  
Plainview, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Root  
Lawrenceville, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

BERNARD MANNING  
MOHNTON, PA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Melby  
Frederick, MD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andrew Lauer  
Watertown, SD

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Walker  
Tulsa, OK

2/22/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Steiner  
Flint, TX

2/21/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Thomas Graham  
Plainfield, IL

2/19/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Rosty  
Silsbee, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sanjeev Ram  
Berkeley Heights, NJ



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Garrison  
Plainfield, IL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Bruce Steimle  
Montgomery, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Terry Grill  
Birmingham, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Shelley Pool  
Pearland, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Greg Desborough  
Big Sandy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher Dunn  
Bolingbrook, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Lenar  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lou Liebovich  
Plainfield, IL



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Les Emig  
Bullard, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Larry Weinbrenner  
Whitehouse, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Sheri Gorman  
Montgomery, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Douglas Landis  
Katy, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donna Mitchell  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Roger Boone  
Whitehouse, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Peart  
Joliet, IL

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Hollister  
Dickinson, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Doris Tomas  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

William Miller  
Katy, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janice Berke-Davis  
Sugar Land, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Hood  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dawn Koetter  
Humble, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jodi Stevenson  
Bellaire, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Elmer Johnson  
Damon, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Albert Marcenkus  
Cape Coral, FL



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Tom Dillon  
Lovell, WY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

M. Jordan Hunter  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dianne Jopling  
Dallas, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Patrick Campbell  
Houston, TX

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Tilotta  
Houston, WA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Chadwick  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cody Condon  
Tomball, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ralph Gardner  
Villa Rica, GA



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Curt Frisby  
Houston, TX

2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Andre Grissom  
Henryetta, OK

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Janet Grothe  
Houston, TX

2/12/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Gunn  
Hermanville, MS

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Cathy Bush  
Tallahassee, FL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Donald Skotty  
Colorado Springs, CO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mark Calmes  
Fort Worth, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Josh Walton  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lee Warren  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

cecil looke  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jay Paul Smith  
St. Martinville, LA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Beckman  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gertcho Gertchev  
Brownsville, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Boals  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Harold Grinke  
Dallas, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joyce Henkin  
Dupont, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Snyder  
Longmont, CO

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Parry  
Granbury, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Anita Schuler  
Houston, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jenny Sharrock  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Drew Gill  
Wichita, KS

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ron Harrelson Jr  
Florence, SC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Simpson  
Farmington, NM

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Ken Belteau  
Humble, TX



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Willie Williams  
Coker, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Spencer Burton  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Hardee  
Rio Vista, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Herschel Franklin  
Plano, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christopher McCabe  
Stroudsburg, PA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Williams  
Spanish Springs, NV

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Benick  
Portland, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Virginia Selby  
Delta, CO



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Kumbalek  
The Woodlands, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mike Engle  
The Woodlands, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

John Thaeler  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Joseph Arceneaux  
Orange, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Gosselin  
Zachary, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Neil Nelson  
Terre Haute, IN

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Marek  
Ponca City, OK

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Karen Headstream  
Houston, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Stephen Gunter  
Beaumont, TX

2/16/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gene Maiorano  
Dobbs Ferry, NY

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

MICHAEL KNAPP  
St. Joseph, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Danny Frost  
Bolivar, TN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Urban  
Londonderry, NH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Steven Jackson  
Tuscaloosa, AL

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Papay  
Hughesville, PA

2/18/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Keith Fowler  
Seabrook, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Darrell Arnold  
Albany, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Frank Henderson  
Abingdon, VA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gary Berryhill  
Carrollton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jack Maskil  
Rices Landing, PA

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

GLENN NELSON  
Pipestone, MN

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Jo Sexton  
Cambridge, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Okey Mason  
Spring, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Dickie Vaughn  
Crowley, TX



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Wilson  
Spring, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Charles McCurdy  
Nampa, ID

2/5/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Rudy Jones  
Springfield, MA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Mae Dawson  
Fulton, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

David Youngerman  
Dayton, OH

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

PAM BUTTRAM  
Webb City, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Graham Ramsay  
Houston, TX

2/20/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Dawn Mullinax  
Seabrook, TX



2/25/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Judy Diab  
Findlay, OH

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Russell Ives  
Houston, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Glenn Martin  
Crosby, TX

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

James Collins  
Cornville, AZ

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Garlan Stroud  
Franklin, LA

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Strittmatter  
Batavia, IL

2/17/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Christina Kitchens  
Carrollton, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey.

Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry

Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States.

Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices.

In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following:

"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports."

The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue.

In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security.

In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Robert Shives  
Hagerstown, MD



2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a member of Public Power, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Paul Pittenger  
Bolivia, NC

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a mechanical engineer who has spent much of my career in power related research, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Wayne Adamson  
Annapolis, MD

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a consumer of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Only over regulation will cause prices to increase. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Garcia  
Blooming Grove, TX

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Alan Becker  
Wantagh, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Chester Payne  
Laquey, MO

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Vincent Puma  
Plainview, NY

2/14/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a concerned citizen, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Gail Daley  
Westfield, MA

2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a citizens of the United States, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "\"Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas,\"" state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "\"Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports.\"" The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard Kauffman  
Chambersburg, PA



2/15/2013

Dear Secretary Steven Chu,

As a citizen and supporter of the oil and natural gas industry, I want to express my support for approving liquefied natural gas (LNG) export permits and address some of the points brought up in the comment from Representative Ed Markey. Among other things, Congressman Markey asserts that investment benefits would not accrue widely to Americans. I disagree. As a 2011 study by Sonecon found, millions of Americans own oil and natural gas holdings through mutual funds, pensions and 401(k)s -- while corporate management owns less than three percent of the oil and natural gas industry. Rather than delay the export of LNG as suggested by Congressman Markey, we should approve these significant projects so that the nation can enjoy the benefits. As a nation, we have an abundance of natural gas. Industry advancements in hydraulic fracturing and horizontal drilling have led to a dramatic increase in the estimated recoverable shale gas resources located in the United States. Concerns about domestic natural gas prices rising seem to be overstated. Recent studies such as the May 2012 Brookings report, entitled "Liquid Markets: Assessing the Case for U.S. Exports of Liquefied Natural Gas," state the price impact of 6 bcf/day of LNG exports would range from between two and 11 percent (12 and 64 cents). The Brookings study concludes that global markets limit how high U.S. natural gas prices will rise, showing that LNG exports would have a minimal impact on domestic natural gas prices. In addition, by becoming a larger LNG supplier to our allies abroad, we could create tens of thousands of new jobs here at home. The study commissioned by DOE states the following: "Across all these scenarios, the U.S. was projected to gain net economic benefits from allowing LNG exports. Moreover, for every one of the market scenarios examined, net economic benefits increased as the level of LNG exports increased. In particular, scenarios with unlimited exports always had higher net economic benefits than corresponding cases with limited exports." The domestic job creation potential is great: an IHS report for ANGA on the economic impacts of shale gas estimates that for every 1 bcf/d of shale gas production, approximately 32,000 total jobs are supported throughout the economy. Plus, the additional gas production associated with exports would generate billions of dollars annually in much needed federal and state government revenue. In addition, approving export permits would allow for flexibility to export in times of market imbalance, which helps the industry operate efficiently, maintain production and employment levels, and enhance U.S. energy security. In our still fragile economy, it is crucial to approve LNG export permits to create jobs, support the American economy and increase energy security.

Sincerely,

Richard & Sondra Andersen  
Bella Vista, AR