

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Heiartz

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Christine Leiva

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lenore Reeves

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Drake Scott

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Diana Moll

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Helen Anderson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

dogan ozkan

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Denise Lytle

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

D. Singer

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shanti Chagnon

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

susan delles

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Hannigan

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dennis Pennell

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Peterson

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lynn Mystic-Healer

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Shipping U.S. gas overseas is not a solution for 21st century economic development but a greedy, dangerous, and detrimental option for the future of our country.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

Gas industry profits, while local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. Unfair! The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

The Dept of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these very serious consequences into account. Look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late!

Sincerely, C Skinner / Portland OR

Caroline Skinner

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

rhonda lawford



---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Stone

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robyn Janssen

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Nona Donahue

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late. ban all overprofiting energy companies.

Sincerely,

john pasqua

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robin Maule

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dianora Niccolini

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Patricia Shade

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brian Beinlich

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kari Rein

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Raja Anderson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Nettleton

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Vileisis

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

William O'Brien

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

GRACE NEFF

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

francis mangels

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Pamela VourosCallahan  
  
-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Joseph Vaile

-----



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Maureen Roche

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

We need renewable resources...not business as usual. We need good clean energy..no more polluting energy. We will only be making money for the rich while devastating our planet. This is immoral to continue supporting polluting energy sources.

Sincerely,

Vivianne Mosca-Clark

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Chad Derosier

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Hannah Grace

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Erika Giesen

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Angela Wyble

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

HUGH LENTZ

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ayani Mikasi

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Basey Klopp

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Olson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

LeeAnn Thompson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ronald Weaver

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Betty J. Van Wicklen

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Debbie Thomas

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Edith Montgomery

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

lore witt

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jenny Riley

-----



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ron Szymanski

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Forest Shomer

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steve Lanusse-Siegel

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michele Horton

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Anthony Montapert

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jerry Sullivan

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Charlotte Sahnaw

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

robert l. smith

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.



Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Sally Purbrick-Illek

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

Exporting our precious non-renewable energy resources can not in the long run possibly benefit the American people. We will then run out sooner than if we were to keep it on our own soil and then what?

In the meantime, it seems obvious that exporting will increase the price of natural gas for the American people to compete with the higher overseas prices.

Not to mention the environmental compromises and threats created for Oregon proposals to build a pipeline across rugged steep terrain and disturb one of our best harbors.

Surely the cost and pollution created by the huge tankers crossing and recrossing the ocean is not in the American interest.

Natural gas is flammable and explosive. Humans often make mistakes. The risk is increased by the massive infrastructure required to transport and freeze the LNG for export. If an accident were to happen it would cost the American people hugely.

Please look realistically at the public health, economic and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before approving anything.

Sincerely,

M.R. Buddenhagen

19145 Upper ow Creek

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Paul Burke - Author Journey Home

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Matthew Riley

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Marcella Laudani

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Karlovich

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bryant Helgeland

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michael Hall

-----



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Travis Walters

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Please help protect 'our fragile island home,' earth!

Sincerely,

Susie Stevens-Briody

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu philips

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu philips

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mary Lyda

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelley Elkovich

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

arlene dreste

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Colleen Lobel

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am so against this !!

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

M McGillivray

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Heather Dale

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

George Neste

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

William Sharfman

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Cobban

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Phil Hanson

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Helm

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The current fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Plus this valuable energy resource is not re-newable and therefore, should be reserved for our own use.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Mumby

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neste

---



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Kapuler

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

James Mulcare

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mr. & Mrs. Andrew & Antonia Chianis

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities. I am especially concerned about the proposed Jordan Cove facility and associated pipeline, which is in my

own cherished landscape, endangering salmon and critical watersheds, but it will adversely affect water and landscapes across the country as fracking becomes even more of a 'rush' for extraction of natural gas anywhere and everywhere.

Communities needing to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export, are not going to get much help from the industry; homeowners in New York and Pennsylvania are already seeing irreversible damage to their water, their property rights, and even their property values.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution. How any of this can be honestly presented as 'the public good' completely eludes me.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carol & Kenneth Ampel

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

George Sexton

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Linda Bescript

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

opie heyerman

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Laura DiPietro

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Doug Landau

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Tidwell

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

It's time to think about the health and safety of American communities and not corporate profits!

Sincerely,

Charles Steadman

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a

major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brenda Troup

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

james thompson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Brent Jensen

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Melba & Dan Dlugonski

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jeannine Mihalek



---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Helen Logan Hays

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

dinda evans

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

G Maynard Freemole

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

This being a form letter does not negate the fact that it represents my feelings.

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Edwards

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lynnea Lux-Kosiewicz

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Anthony Capobianco

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Karen Olsen

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mallika Henry

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Esther Juhl

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

clea arthur

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Burkhart

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

shane daugherty

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

andrea frank

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

bronwen evans

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Woolley

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Susan Dunaway

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

I see the export of natural resources as the action of a colony.

By the law of supply and demand, shipping our natural gas to opening markets increases demand, and will also increase prices to American households. Granted, it will further enrich the 1% and further increase the gap between the haves and the have nots, but right now I am more interested in heating my home than making already rich people even richer.

Thank you for your consideration,



Sincerely,

Gerard Payne

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dianne Douglas

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Adam Marlow

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

GC Panagin  
  
-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jacob Kann

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jan McCreary

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

JoAnne Eggers

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Watters

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Hanus

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline



swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Chris Drumright

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Martha Shelley

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am EXTREMELY concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

The natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create great economic, in addition to environmental, problems nationwide -- especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing (i.e., the 'fracking') needed to supply this gas.

Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property -- and the adverse impacts to rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits this endeavor to export this fuel, the local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Therefore, I earnestly EXHORT you to require the National Economic Research Associates (the NERA) to revise its economic study so it will take into account all those various adverse economic and environmental impacts -- and then DENY THE PERMITS!

Sincerely,

Fred Fleetwood

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kelly McConnell

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Grant Low

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

stu o'neill

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Alicia Ritter

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

kathleen tomko

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.



Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kenneth West

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

vonda welty

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Wayne Kelly

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Tierney Grinavic

-----  
  
Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Pam Lucas  
  
-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steve Sheehy

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bonnie Shaffer

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Wade Ward

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Melanie Mindlin

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.



Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Sean Peterson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Nagel

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Levine

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Susanna DeFazio

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Rice

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Tyler Kegler

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Teresa Morris

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robin Kegler

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,



John Kegler

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Susannah Kegler

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ann Hollyfield

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lori Kegler

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Thomas

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Nelson Baker

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

William Ward

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John and Polly Wood

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Andrea Vazquez

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Harlan Walker-Young

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Gregory Monahan

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

After reading Senator Wyden's letter - <http://www.wyden.senate.gov/news/press-releases/wyden-highlights-flaws-in-doe-export-study> - I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jay Roelof

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Richkus

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Valerie Hildebrand

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

We are extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bill & Marilyn Voorhies

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Allan Peterson

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Randy Pearson



---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Stacey Rohrbaugh

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

G Collins

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Denise Brennan

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carl Lorenz

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Betsey Norton

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

nicole silva

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Modarelli

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lorenz Steininger

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John and Martha Stoltenberg

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

John Herberg

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Paul Lima

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bastian

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

angela fazzari

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Randy Harrison

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lisa Champlin

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Bechmann

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.



While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Steven Tichenor

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Natalie A Carter

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create

liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Mervi Rantala

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Judi Stratton

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Valerie White

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Bradley

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

fred fall

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Marion Hadden

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

jean lopardo

---



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Laurie Solomon

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Richard Heinlein

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elena Kalmykova

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Janelle Pollocl

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Kalisher

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

jane civiletti

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Chin

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

J. Gregory Twain

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create



liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Frank Hill

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Malena Marvin

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Aaron Taylor

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Wiley

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jeff Jackson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

David Stuart

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Alex Landt

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

You really want to help children? I mean millions of children? Help them for decades and centuries? Then do something about the environment? Gun legislation is all well and good. How many times has some mad man gone in and killed children in a class room? We're watching the Earth tell us the way

we're living is unsustainable and not doing a damn thing about it. Get your act together. Stop giving into republicans all the time. Use your power to do something good.

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dave Dunkak

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,



I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Lesley Adams

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Gudrun Dennis

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am concerned that the Department of Energy is failing to examine effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG), in making decisions on permitting LNG export facilities.

The natural gas industry plan to export this fuel would create a nationwide crisis due to an increase in the 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also feel impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coastlines and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses enormous amounts of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

The DOE study is proceeding without taking these serious consequences into account. Please look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG.

Sincerely,

David Lawrence

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

June Cattell

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Carla Hervert

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Glyn Deputy

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ben Basin

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Allan Peterson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,



I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Ashlee Davis

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elisabeth Bechmann

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jason Green

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Nicole Weber

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Elaine Lurie Janicki

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Jody Conrad

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Bob Morse

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Terry Tedesco-Kerrick

-----



Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Daniel Bastian

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelly Peterson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Shelly Peterson

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Michele Mercer

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

sandy kavoyianni

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Toni Geer

-----

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Kevin Silvey

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Candy LeBlanc

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.



Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Candy Bowman

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Robert Janssen

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Dia Paxton

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Tidwell

---

Dear U.S. Department of Energy & President Obama,

Admittedly, the following words were not composed by me, but I have read them all and agree with them wholeheartedly. They speak for me

I am extremely concerned that the Department of Energy is not looking at the full effects of exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG) as they decide how to move forward on permitting LNG export facilities.

Moving forward with the natural gas industry's plan to export this fuel would create problems nationwide, especially an increase in hydraulic fracturing or 'fracking' needed to supply this gas. Communities will also need to contend with impacts to private property, rivers and forests from pipeline

swaths, as well as air quality impacts from the construction of new power plants that would be needed to liquefy the natural gas for export.

While the gas industry profits, local communities are often left to deal with such consequences as poisoned drinking water, devastated coasts, and extreme air pollution. The fracking process is also a major source of global warming pollution, and the massive super-cooling process needed to create liquefied natural gas for export uses an incredible amount of energy, creating even more climate-disrupting pollution.

Right now, the Department of Energy's study is moving forward without taking these serious consequences into account. We need to look closely at the public health and environmental risks associated with exporting LNG, before it's too late.

Sincerely,

Barbara Comnes