

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

judith brill

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carole Ashley

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Allan Clark

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

angela fazzari

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Terry Ogborn

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David DiBlanca

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alan Rosenblatt

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Geraldine (Geri) Aird

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Gordon

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rob Levy

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

vicky Ramirez

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate



that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Theresa Morris

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Robert Poblete

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Pizzente-Morich

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Gorr

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joseph Brill

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cindy connine

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

DUANE PALMITER

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Haavind

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vaughn Baker

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Josephine LaRose

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Eileen Hamlin

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Briana Clements



-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

manuel rodriguez

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sharon Goldstein

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Tighe

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sheldon pasternack

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andre Gillardin

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

THEY MAKE THE PROFITS, WE GET THE CANCERS - FRACKING IS TOO DANGEROUS, RECKLESS, TOO MANY TOXIC CHEMICALS AND RELEASES DENSE METHANE INTO THE ATMOSPHERE - NOT CLEAN ENERGY AT ALL.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Leitch

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sally Thompson

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joshua Lee

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anne Salzer

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Belinda Bryce

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

There are very serious problems associated with the export of LNG. The negative sociological and economic impacts, particularly in the areas in which the drilling occurs, will likely far outweigh any positive benefits.

First, it makes no sense to export the natural gas -- we need to keep it in the ground until it is really needed. There is a glut of gas now. The main beneficiaries of the exports will be stockholders and gas companies. The rest of us will be affected negatively while a precious natural resource is sent elsewhere.

Second, drilling for natural gas is a boom/bust activity. HVHF tends to have a very short boom followed by a bust. This activity produces major and possibly permanent environmental damage. In addition, it is likely to have significant negative effects on the local economic activities such as agriculture, dairy farms, wineries, breweries, tourism, etc. etc.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.



The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Catherine Wagner

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Lisk

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Lisk

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lynn Baron

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ruth Gollobin-Basta

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ronald Bjick

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stanley Lisk

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Recent revelations about EPA dropping of investigations about water contamination related to fracking because of pressure from gas producers further calls into question the true long-term costs of this procedure to the health of our environment and our citizens. Fixing this damage after the fact could well end up costing exponentially more than any profits we might make selling the gas anywhere, either at home or abroad.

Sincerely,

Marianne Mukai

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Marion Kaselle

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

There will be some impacts that can't be mitigated,; peoples's health ruined and the damage to the water shed, especially for NYC and for local folks.

**DON'T ACCEPT THIS STUDY!**

Sincerely,

Tom Moore

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States.

Currently, gas prices are low in the US. Foreign markets pay three times more for gas than we do. If we allow the sale of fracked gas to foreigners, then the price will rise to whatever the highest bidder is willing to pay. Foreigners will bid three times higher. So gas prices in the US will rise three times above what they are today, to compete with the foreign buyers. Who will receive these profits? Foreigners will. A series of NY Times articles showed that most of the big gas companies involved with fracking gas, are owned by foreign investors. So most of the profits will go overseas to them. The US will not not be the winners, we will be the losers. Foreigners get the money and the gas while we get poisoned water, carcinogens released over our lands and huge costly doctor bills and hospital bills. Our citizens get lost wages when they are so sick they cannot work, or when they have to stay home to take care of sick family members. The labor pool in America is reduced as people start dying. That means less revenue in the form of income tax for the government too. Since engineers working in the field for gas companies are likely to receive more exposure, the US is more likely to lose this skilled, intelligent, labor force to sickness and death. When this happens, the survivors will not encourage their children to follow in their footsteps. There will be ramifications in the future when children refuse to enter the engineering fields that killed their parents and damaged the gene pools of their siblings. the Us will fall in engineering, and energy science skills.

As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,



Margaret wOOD

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Ranstrom

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sam Sebren

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Binder

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Douglas Turnbaugh

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Linda Frank

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Laura Koestler

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Fiore

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peggy Haine

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Norton

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Schwin

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

CandyLou Biederman

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:



The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Emily Collins

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Develder

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barry Bryson

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Timothy R. Montroy

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Brad Yentzer

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

lynida darbes

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

WHAT THE HELL IS THE MATTER WITH YOU? DO YOUR GODDAM JOB TO PROTECT CITIZENS, YOU JACKASSES!!!

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stan Serafin

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Serena Farhi

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dennis Schaef

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christine Brunner

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrea Zinn

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.



The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Wilma Mazo

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Schneck

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Tykulsker

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

judith johnson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kristopher Neidecker

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Recent history in Pennsylvania, Texas and elsewhere has shown that natural gas cannot be extracted by hydrofracking in a manner that is safe for citizens of the communities in which the extraction is occurring. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ian Rosenstein

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Camille Gaines

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Helmut Hubner c/o Lindsey

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Richard Livingston

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Bob Thomas

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alan Lewis

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gail Perlmutter



---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carol Jellett

Carol Jellett

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charles Leiden

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anna Henriques

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sylvia Gasoi

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Johanna Cummings

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lee Evans

Lee Evans

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Raymond Bain

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Natalie Hanson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Derek Stockdale

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat and Jeff Gressler

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Vasily

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate



that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Frances DeMillion

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lesley Wood

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

linda jesse

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

In its approach to this issue, as well as numerous others, the Obama administration, which I supported through two elections, has left me extremely disappointed. My cynicism and lack of faith in government is at an all time high.

Sincerely,

James LaCava

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Back

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jessica Brill Ortiz

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Erica Crytzer

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nick Page

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Maureen Vollmers

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Constance Norgren

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

P. J. Bull

Jeff Bull

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

Jeff Bull

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

Sincere

G. Cammisa

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

gennaro cammisa

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

JUDY RHEE

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Thomas

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tomasz Makowicz

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Unai Fuente Gomez

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Katie Higgins

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Dibble

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rolla Herman

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David DeRemus

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy D'Elia

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Leah Maidenbaum

---



Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jason Shea

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

**BAN FRACKING TOTALLY, EVERYWHERE, FOREVER, NOW!**

**THERE IS NO SAFE OR CLEAN WAY TO FRACK! STOP THIS INSANE POISONING OF OUR WATER AND AIR!  
WE MUST HAVE CLEAN AND PURE WATER AND AIR TO SURVIVE. WE DO NOT NEED GAS! TOTAL BAN  
ON FRACKING NOW!**

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will

increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Harlib

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Birch

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

rodney hemmila

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jeremy Neimand

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rachel Youens

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gordon Wildermuth

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Stewart

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dale Zavadovics

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

michael richey

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pete Hart

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate



that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Edeltraut Renk

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judith Canepa

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lionel Ruberg

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

sandy conway

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Brian nadworny

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Victoria Trinko

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Art Hanson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Martha Robertson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mary thomas

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Naomi Schechter

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sandra Kissam

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Braun





Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christina Loccisano

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Buckman

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vicki Fox

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

anita Lanziero

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

zoe randall

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Toby Stover

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely, Patricia Rigby

Patricia Rigby

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Scarmack

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lucy VanArsdale

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Glenn Giles



---

Reject the flawed economic study, and protect our environment.

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Giles

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Audrey Gozdiskowski

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel Wilkinson

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel Wilkinson

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Ferguson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carol Steytler

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jim Newton

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

john Visci

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sheldon Feld

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Doug and Nancy Vitarius

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Christine Vlahos

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:



The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jessica Paxton

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Helen Sacco

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

karla mcbride

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ginny Boyle

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Filsinger

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Curran

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

All these factors should be considered. This is merely a way to shore up a floundering industry which has dug itself into a virtual hole by over drilling, which drove prices down. This industry cried to us for years about how they were going to make America energy independent, and now they want to send the gas abroad? This will not help America, it will only cause MORE drilling, which will degrade the environment further, and leave us empty handed. Or shall I say, this plan will leave us with millions of tons of toxic waste and contaminated water. Who will pay for that mitigation? Who will reimburse homeowners for property devaluation? Who will help out the rural towns to rebuild roads and make up for property tax losses?

This study takes none of this into consideration. Please reject it!

Sincerely,

Annette Gurdo

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sherry McNeil

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Bevier

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ms. Smitty Gadomski

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

roger Stehlin

---

Dear Sir or Madam:



The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

britt bollenbach

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Irene Case

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrew Suggs

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Beth Walsh

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Strong

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Garrett Newfield

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Beth Olearczyk

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ronald Lytel

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mary malloy

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Hall

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dean Cutler

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

Michelle Cady

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nina Pesante

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bernstein

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia J. Koenig, Oxford, NY

Patricia Koenig

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Bochenek

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

mae kang

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dr Reverend Ellen Sokolow

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

SARAH KNOWLES

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rita Gentile

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Erlend Kimmich

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Keith Gilmour

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

Heather Greene

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Howard Burman

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rose Dippel

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vicki Bizallion

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Fitzgerald

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charleen Kavleski

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michael Jay

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

wm rohrer

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Simcoe

James Simcoe

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Paul Adams

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Strange

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

---



Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Mendelsohn

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Cherry

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judith Katz-Schwartz

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Lipshitz

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat Halpen

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susanne patzold

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place. The study is flawed and should be rejected.

Sincerely,

Lorien House

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Tervo

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Tervo

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

David Barlup

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.



The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susanne patzold

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kallyn Krash

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Rosenbaum

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Clements

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Clements

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Clements

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Reed

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Reed

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Bergen

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Barnie graca

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cristian graca

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Denise Speicher



---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Robin Solvang

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter Neuschel

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

James Kuhnert

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Yuri Gorby

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Thomas Leong

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tomas DiFiore

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tara Holmes

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Roter

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

susan riddiford shedd

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Albury

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tracy Griswold

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate



that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Madeline Rogers

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Kathy Shapiro, Woodhaven 11421 ny and Glen Spey 12737 ny

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,



-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

This is my letter to the President. Let us begin this 2nd term truly using our creativity and inventiveness for a sustainable future.

Dear Mr. President

In your inaugural address today, you felt that one of the most important efforts we must make is to seriously address Global Warming and promoting sustainable energies of which none are fossil fuels since they are not sustainable and natural gas is one of these fuels.

Exporting Natural gas will not only promotes more global warming but also it will promote more extreme forms of drilling for Natural Gas, called fracking.

How are we to be “energy independent” if the resource that is to make us “energy independent” gets shipped abroad to the highest paid customers. The result will be that this “cheap fuel” will not be cheap. In addition even the Department of Energy in their energy Review of 2012 admitted that in the entire United States there is only 20 years of shale gas basing our usage from 2010. As for “Riches” from Natural Gas. The question is who gets the riches, certainly not the general population.

You had mentioned in your eloquent address today, that as written in the Declaration of Independence; “We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the pursuit of Happiness.” To promote now exporting of fracked fossil fuels are contrary to this important declaration made by our founding fathers more than 200 years ago. Fracking is mining Death but our declaration is about our right to life. People and communities are being forever damaged by extreme forms of drilling for fossil fuels including Natural Gas. Please adhere to your uplifting tenets of today for the remainder of your second term. The country and the world so desperately need you to use that as your guiding goal for the next four years.

Sincerely,

Margery Schab

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Silvia Benelli

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for the minority of people that own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally, it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joel L. Bromberg

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Contrary to what BP, ExxonMobil, Shell and their greedy cohorts think, it's NOT all about jobs and money. Our kids still need a planet they can live on.

Sincerely,

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Donna Hawn

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Ann Harley

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:



The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen London

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Amy Little

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Patricia Covill

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gary Hindin

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter and Gert Coleman

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joseph Mangan PE

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Judy A Smith

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Dorothy Hudson

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Joan Conca

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lea Harper

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Lynn Sherrick

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Larry Pearson



---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Vincent A. Sanborn

Vince Sanborn

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gabrielle Stubbert

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sharron Stewart

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Carola Polakov

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

julie schroeder

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans. Americans will suffer from fracking so that other countries can gain. Americans in fracking communities suffer from cancer, asthma, birth defects and neurological problems from exposure to toxic fracking fluids. Just because the gas companies have gag orders on people who suffer harm does not mean the suffering does not exist or that people don't suffer. Unfortunately, it means they suffer in silence.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place. When fracking occurs, real estate values plummet and the water and air are polluted and the landscape ravaged. Many banks will not give mortgages to people who own gas leases and Nationwide and Metlife will not provide home insurance to people who have gas leases because fracking is too dangerous.

Sincerely,

Shirley Schue

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

April Peress

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Irina Peress

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

brannen molly

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Patton

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Emma Gonzalez

---



Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Roy Doremus

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

Exporting gas to other countries gas will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

loretta prisco

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Cimino

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Steven Hebdon

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mina Bail

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Louise Lessard

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Mary Nielsen

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

SUSAN CHEW

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

cathy cioffi

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Penelope King

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Duane mcdonald

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.



Sincerely,

Paul Shoffner

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Jan Mengel

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Andrew Silvestri

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Maria Diaz

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

alice schneiderman

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

lise brenner

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Edward Wolfe

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Peter Cramer

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

kathleen schnitzer

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Glen Van Blarcom

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

charlotte rau

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Michelle Howell

---



Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

kimberly slack

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Steven Crismore

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Alison Guzman

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Nancy Hoppin

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

It does not make sense to put our nation at risk from the side effects of hydraulic fracturing. It is not consistent with the public interest. It is a national + food security risk that we can not afford to take. The profits of the few gas companies to sell their products abroad is not a good enough reason to risk the future contamination of our precious watersheds, air and health of our population.

Sincerely,

Vivian Beatrice

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Masako Grow

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John striley

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

christine newell

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Sue Elliot

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

I wish to comment here on the NERA Study outlining by not filling in necessary background studies (such as the combined losses of regional, state and local impacts, its gross overestimate of stock holders and its concentration on a top class of investors--not taking into account present bankruptcies from foreign investors; its overstatement of US macroeconomic impacts and many more flaws too numerous to mention here)--flaws which I find shocking considering the weight this Study plays in your decision to export gas.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Henrietta Wise

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Phyllis Bass

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.



The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

dawn birch

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Tracy Bramlet

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Karen Vaughan

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Anna Engdahl

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Pat Whitehead

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

laure woolverton

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Gordon Thomson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Terrie Kasper

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Arden Zollweg

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

John Thompson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Donald Quarles IV

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Daniel Wise



---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Brown

---

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

G Bedrosian

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diane Borgmann

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Charles Burch

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Diana Yatsonsky

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Susan Nobel

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Traci Holt

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
ANNA WRIGHT

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Jonathan Highfield

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and

health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Sally McMahan

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Gina Carola

-----  
Department of Energy Personnel:

The NERA Consultants' study is flawed on many fronts. The very basis on which it was conducted is faulty, for starters. Even if it were remotely possible to extract shale and tar-sands gas and oil via current methodologies were safe for people, environment, planet, and communities (which it is decidedly, scientifically NOT), unfettered exports could not benefit the United States in the short term or in the long term.

As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for U.S. consumers and depress wages for some workers. Financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants cannot outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans. As you and people who work in the three branches of government are surely aware.

The NERA study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. More precisely, it fails to consider and acknowledge that it is simply not POSSIBLE to ever mitigate these harms, as many Pennsylvanian and West Virginian and other families attest.

Ditch this NERA study. If you want help finding other, non-industry-connected researchers with whom to

work on a VALID study, get in touch with me and I will put you in touch with economists who do not have a personal stake in pushing fracking.

Sincerely,  
Maura Stephens

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Barbara Endes

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
James Carter

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that



unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Susan Liebell

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Joseph Fekete

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

**STAND UP TO THE PETROLEUM INDUSTRY AND PROTECT OUR PLANET!**

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Jacqueline Gilbert

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Christy Rohner

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Chris Erikson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Dorothy Clarke

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Chris Erikson

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

Even recoverable shale gas is not infinite, the amount that acutally can be recovered affordably is even less. Exports serve the gas producers and not the vast majority of consumers, business and home users who coose those fuel option. Yes we have a balanc eof payments issue in the US but exporting LNG is no way ot fix it, it will cost the federal government far more in the long run. Don't fall for the fastle arugments proposed bythe NERA Consultants.

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and

health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Ralph Kisberg

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Natella Vaidman

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Julie Longmore

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that

unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,

Cliff Westfall  
Highland Park, NJ

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Elizabeth & Wilbur Wood

-----  
Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Matthew Lipschik

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Karen Scugoza

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
susanne patzold

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate

that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
George Fawcett

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Andrew Hatfield

-----

Dear Sir or Madam:

The study conducted by NERA Consultants is flawed because it fails to support the conclusion that unfettered exports will benefit the United States. As the study itself acknowledges, gas exports will increase costs for American consumers and depress wages for some workers. It fails to demonstrate that financial gains for a minority of people who own energy stocks and gas liquefaction plants will somehow outweigh the negative economic impact that exports will have on most Americans.

The study is also deficient in that it fails to consider the full costs of mitigating the environmental and health impacts associated with gas extraction. Finally it fails to consider the adverse economic impact that extraction activities will have on local economies in areas where extraction takes place.

Sincerely,  
Janiece Staton