

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tara Slade

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Schlener

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Hutchison

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Frisk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sean-Michael Gilligan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Frisk

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Pendrey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nathan Sullenberger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Singer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Honstetter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cherokee Chastain Ash

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Romano

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Krause

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Davenport

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Braut

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brad Watkins

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Tucker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. At the very least, why isn't the gas recovered using this risky technique earmarked for domestic consumption, to help lower OUR energy bills?

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Swatos

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dane Van Voorhees

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Merrick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Dytschkowskyj

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nirmitha Mendis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gudrun Dennis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aileen Glynn

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Fitzgerald

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Derek Kortepeter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pam Macwell

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Racine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Smyth

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Mooney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Goldflies

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry Tollman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Giordano Schillaci

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Prisco

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I live in an area with active fracking. It is one thing to risk polluted ground water and increased risk of earthquakes to satisfy the energy needs of my community, but it is just plain dumb to face those hard to remedy risks so some company can make profits from exporting LNG. We take all the risks, they take all the reward. The costs of LNG extraction do not begin to include costs borne by the general public.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jan Lyons

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please!!! Fracking is far too dangerous! Do not support this; please commit to further study to apprise yourself of the real risks here. Thank you!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Betty Abadia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Hrycuna

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Al-Tukhaim

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jabari Lee

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gerald Thompson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alethea Kniffen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Morgan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joyce Raye

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Holly Chisholm

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melodie Huffman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gerald Thompson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Randall

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lucrezia Oddie

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Glesener

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gaynell Fakharzadeh

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jahan Fakharzadeh

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

kenneth guilbault

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laurel Powers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donald Wolfe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jesenia Hernandez

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Reiki Bysusanna

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jan Tervydis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Gmerek

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonae DeLong

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Gmerek

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ross Mckenzie

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mandi Houston

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Aaron Sunshine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Norman Feller

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Kinoian

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Victor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nastassia Hill

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emily Tobin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. It's time to emphasize social balance and responsibility by reducing economic inequality and directly building the middle class where possible.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Day

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Kammerer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Louis Columbus

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kay Branine

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pam Sherman

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Mcgrath

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Evilsizer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andre Feulner

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Hunter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Do not make any decision about liquefied natural gas exports as recommended by NERA as it is an industry-friendly group that concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elise Murray

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I ACTUALLY SEE NO NEED TO EXPORT OUR NATURAL GAS. SINCE WE USE NATURAL GAS IT SHOULD BE PRODUCED FOR OUR USE ONLY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA...NOT FOR EXPORT. THIS WILL ALLOW US TO BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT FOR YEARS TO COME.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kreuser

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I ACTUALLY SEE NO NEED TO EXPORT OUR NATURAL GAS. SINCE WE USE NATURAL GAS IT SHOULD BE PRODUCED FOR OUR USE ONLY IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA...NOT FOR EXPORT. THIS WILL ALLOW US TO BE ENERGY INDEPENDENT FOR YEARS TO COME.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Thomas Kreuser

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Debra Bandelin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daren Davis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Mcparland

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Landretti

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Harris

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Mcgrath

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gloria Garcia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dawn Ryan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emily Garcia

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Sincic

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jan Dawson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Oleh Sydor

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert McClurg

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert McClurg

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed and Vickie Oles

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. WE deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

PLEASE TAKE THE RIGHT, FAIR, JUST AND HUMANE ACTION AS URGED ABOVE>

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann & Frank Graffagnino

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Koles

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Areil Larsen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gaye Guida-Dennis

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anastasia Hutchinson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Fleischmann

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Golden

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula Peaslee

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Mosko

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Butler

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Christopher

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Christopher

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kocik

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Kocik

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Garrett Quinlivan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Garrett Quinlivan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

G Allen Daily

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

G Allen Daily

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Hlebowicz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Hlebowicz

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fred Shoemaker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Fred Shoemaker

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karl Sillers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karl Sillers

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Florence Cutter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Florence Cutter

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Buerger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Buerger

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Russell Hindle

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Russell Hindle

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Curtis McClurkin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Curtis Mcclurkin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Rechetnick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Rechetnick

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Torgerson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Torgerson

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Bryan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Bryan

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Thelen Thelen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Thelen Thelen

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Mclaurin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Mclaurin

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry & Karen Narlock

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Larry & Karen Narlock

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Creel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Creel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Maurer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Maurer

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I DON'T SUPPOSE THERE IS ANY CONCERN FOR THE WATER IN THE AREAS THAT ARE BEING FRACKED. YOU HAVE HEARD, I PRESUME, THAT WATER WELLS ARE PUMPING UP WATER THAT BURNS. I WOULD LOVE A RESPONSE TO THIS ISSUE !!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jackie Fay

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

I DON'T SUPPOSE THERE IS ANY CONCERN FOR THE WATER IN THE AREAS THAT ARE BEING FRACKED. YOU HAVE HEARD, I PRESUME, THAT WATER WELLS ARE PUMPING UP WATER THAT BURNS. I WOULD LOVE A RESPONSE TO THIS ISSUE !!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jackie Fay

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Virginia Werp

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in

our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Virginia Werb

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Pendrey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Pendrey

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jarno De Bar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to

know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jarno De Bar

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victoria Monroe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victoria Monroe

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Cassel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marie Drummond

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Cassel

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marie Drummond

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elisha Delaney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elisha Delaney

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Flahart

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Flahart

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alessandra Vittorini

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alessandra Vittorini

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynn Sahlem

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynn Sahlem

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Damien Luzzo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Damien Luzzo

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris rosenthal

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris rosenthal