

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jo Anne Burke

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pete Morabito

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stephen Childs

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Horvath

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Walter Phillips

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tanya Arguello

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

mark petricca

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Mello II

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diana Urbon

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jon Rosell

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in

our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Hunt

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.



Sincerely,

Linda Borgerding

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sheila Bradford

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Doherty

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Fracking is yet another way that some people want to get rich at the expense of others. The people who LOVE fracking wouldn't live on the ground over which it was done.

They don't know or care about how bad this process is for the environment.

Do some research here and stop giving in to these companies.

Why can't the focus be shifted to wind and solar energy? Is it because these energy sources are renewable and therefore companies cannot charge for the natural gas/oil/gasoline for years to come????

Do what is right, not what the lobbyists try to sell.

Look around and see what is happening out your window!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Theresa Lawlis

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

In the interest of energy independence and national security, please keep this valuable fossil fuel within our borders. The oil and gas industry is controlled by corporations, often multinational, who dont care about the USA, our people, our environment --- their goal is to increase their profits. Dont sell out! Dont listen to their powerful lobby. Over the past 20 years our government has allowed our manufacturing jobs to be shipped out of America . Our troops and national guardsmen have been shipped out to foreign wars and now our gas will be shipped out! This is not good for the American people or our environment. Lets bring it all home, be conservative and KEEP IT HERE because thats what will make the USA powerful and healthy again.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

suzanne watson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This issue is critical to our common health and well being. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Octavia Haines

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Luchman

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

nando Ab.

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Audrey Dack

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Loren Willson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Berger

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Little

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with



environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eugene Blue

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bernadine Owen

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steven Freiman

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ali Boraby

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ursula Rodriguez

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dolores Cohenour

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This INDUSTRY-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of OUR pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely IGNORES or unfairly DISMISSES the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a RESPONSIBILITY to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A FULL environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the REAL costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas.

Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests BEFORE DOE authorizes any exports.

Thank you.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patti Wright

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marylu Kelley

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melanie Foster

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. The biggest problem with fracking is the pollution that is pumped into the earth after the gas is extracted. Water is our greatest resource and needs to be protected for the future of the earth and mankind.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Syl Al Tukhaim  
-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

The ugly truth on fracking is out. Why is it still being allowed near schools, parks, and populated areas??? WHY?? You're killing my family with the poison.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Lord

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to



know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brooke Smith

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lil Wisby

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Ward

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Shaffer

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joanne Sauter

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Tolbert  
-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Zoller

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clarissa Lotson

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Seamans

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Shannon

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Moore

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

A valid determination can only be made by way of a genuinely OBJECTIVE THOROUGH analytical assessment conducted strictly by competent reputable investigators who are totally free of any conflict of interest.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Adamick

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Moffett-Ward

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alicia Zody

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Patten

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dave Piszker

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Piszker

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

It truly is a pity that the neo-cons are still in such a position of power that they seem to be dictating environmental policy. Back room wheeler/dealers (a.k.a. 'economic consultants') are generating millions of dollars worth of pro-industry propoganda in order to continue to rob our country of its most basic natural resources. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to

know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

What good can come of this program? What are its goals? Are we trying to prolong wars by depriving emerging middle eastern nations of their only source of income by shipping our excess LNG to their customers? Are we hoping for more dramatic oil spills, terminal fires and container ship leaks? Does anyone notice the steep drop in wholesale LNG prices in recent months, which must lower the dollar value of the exported material to the point where it will no longer be worthwhile to frack, or to pay prohibitive shipping costs and fines for environmental damages? Just what is the point, what is the benefit, to the US as a whole, of these fracking and exportation programs? In the final hours there will be only the Halliburton consortium and their backers, alone in the wasteland they have created. Look to western Pennsylvania and North Dakota for just two examples.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dana Lamont

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim & Carol Watkins

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Ruster

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrew Brinkhaus

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annette Windham

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Trivisani

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Bartley

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Denise Bivona

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Andre

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working people and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lyle Funderburk

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christene Williamson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula McNight

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Miriam Johnson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Storrs

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Gray

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Albers

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lauren Bouyea

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with



environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tim Brainerd

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lynn Hartung

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gregory Moore

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shirley Bayless

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Kanter

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Chester

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Curtiss

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark And Judy Harvey

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robinson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Meyer

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Wilcox

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,



Doris Wright

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Foster

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Adil Mehta

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cathleen Kanninen

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Hendin

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ana Castanos

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Montanero

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alyson Desmond

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Wick

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Van-Andy Prevalus

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Poppe

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Wheatley

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.



This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Lussier

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Brown

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Morgan Billingsley

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christina Aragon

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martin Washington

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

DOE My request is to please ensure that all aspects of fracking are calculated and are reflected in costs natural gas; including accountability, the actual energy costs associated with fracking, the polluted and water, the contaminated waste products, health hazards and cost of medical care, animal and plant kill off, other environmental destruction, cost to future generations.

Thank you, T Sonta Franklin

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

T Sonta Franklin

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marco Washington

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lorraine Brabham

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leila Cahillane

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joyce Wheaton

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shane Cassidy

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.



The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marlene Hutchison

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe trujillo

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please support a timeout on fracked gas exports. People all over the country are aware that the consulting firm you hired to study fracked gas exporting had strong fossil fuel industry ties and the results of the study are industry-friendly.

This report has many holes, focusing on how the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country will profit. The costs to our communities, such as air and water pollution, environmental destruction, lost jobs, climate disruption, and even increased energy costs, are completely ignored or dismissed as unimportant to big business.

Please do not make any decisions about the future of exporting fracked gas without looking at the whole picture.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

C Tracy

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Runfors

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J Newman

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Bernhardt

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alexander Birrer

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Megan Baker-Arrowsmith

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Johnson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Renee Klein

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sarah Sundquist

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Benjamin Bernhardt

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cinzia Maddalena

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Mr. President, this issue is having a direct impact on a state park in a state you claim as your home. The Illinois DNR has granted permits to a sand mining company to mine sand at the east gate of Starved Rock State Park in Ottawa Illinois. It granted these permits with almost no environmental studies, simply caving in to pressure from unions and large corporations. The truth is that this mine would discharge waste into the Illinios River via Horseshoe Creek. Their rationale? It would create new jobs. Guess how many? 39! Only 39 new jobs, to have a state park wrecked and make home values in the area plummet. Bonus -- there are other sources for seven different types of sand in Lasalle county, that could all be mined and sold to non-fracking industries like building and glass manufacturing, and this would not cause the destruction of a great natural resource or massive damage to the local economy.

Please consider whether the limited number of jobs created by fracking and associated industries is really worth destroying your own piece of this amazing planet. We can't move. We don't have anywhere else to go yet. Thank you.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Adams

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Sjoberg

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daphne Simpson

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Douglas Cooke

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhesa Olsen

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Tingler

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Lastly, there is the critical issue of energy independence for the future. If we sell off this gas cheaply now, what will our children and grandchildren have when other sources are gone? Let's have some sense and some planning, please!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Attfield

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Until this industry makes transparent all the chemicals used in the fracking process, there should be NO exports of those products, period!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Mcnaughton

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Leonowitz

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.



Sincerely,

Catherine Mather

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Evelyn Mayo

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emilie Bertin

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

(added) The report also ignores the desire many Americans have that fracking not occur anywhere near where they live or work. Also the disruption that fracking would cause in communities. Fracking will almost certainly cause property values to decrease in affected areas.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Curtis Miller

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Vedder

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Polizzi

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Henry

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Snell

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angela Fazzari

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

gerry berry

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shanna Mottshannam

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lindsey Hollands

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,



The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elissa A.L. Gordon

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy McDonald

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

PLANINKA ALBITAR

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Glaaser

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gene Stancak

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jodi Roberts

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Jurek

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Regular unrich, hard working, non-loopholed taxpaying Americans expect our government to look after our interests too.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Brogdon

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to

know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Walt Barlow

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Ann Crowley

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Goodman

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.



The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank B. Anderson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Fabiano

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Davide Cogliati

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans

and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dick Burkepile

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicki Cogar

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andy and Paula Pollak

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Campbell

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Bobbie Miller

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ewa Jakubowska

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sally Garcia

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marda De Wet

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula Kay Alameda Paula Kay Alameda

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Norwood

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Adam Oehlers

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anthony Jacobs

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Howden

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clifford Provost

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maria Rodriguez

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Barton

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Guy

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kermit Myers

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jon Corlett

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Beverly Spande

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with



environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Mock

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn & Roy Treadway

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Hugi

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Chase

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anthony Debiase

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

De Ru

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Wood

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joann Ramos

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nathaniel Weeks

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barb Mechalke

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Edward Priem

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,



Barbara Delgado

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Porter

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

J Minich

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Iris, Aurora & Raul Insurriaga

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Wilson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ana Alvarez

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Skeen

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marney Mathison

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Can we just start doing the right thing EVER?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Fox

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries

most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paul Schollmeyer

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The Department of Energy hired a consulting firm with strong ties to fossil fuels to investigate exports of fracked natural gas. And guess what -- the firm's report lauds fracking and the exporting of natural gas, and conveniently ignores the harmful consequences to the American people.

This industry-friendly group concluded that LNG export is good economics only by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country.

The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports should be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. Additionally, rules should be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Americans deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mike Strawn

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Priscilla Rose

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Whiteman

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John & Kathy Henke

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

The true cost of all externalities must be taken into account in any decision to exploit any fossil fuel resources. This is now more critical than ever.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Feuer

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Utt

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Cotner

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katherine Aynilian

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rick Provencio

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dennis Boyle

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Johnston

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margaret Davies

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Davis

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with



environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cy Neu

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jo Zhou

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jake Cohen

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Annie McCuen

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water. Environmental and health consequences are not just 'costs'!!! Climate change, filth, pollution, illness and death are not just 'costs'!!!! There is something deeply, intrinsically wrong with a system that only counts the most superficial and trivial items as being meaningful to humanity and the planet; as being 'economic'. Learn to use a system of economics that factors in the REAL 'cost' totals!!!

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to

know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. It is absurd that your decisions should be limited to this paltry excuse for a study! You MUST find a way to actually deal with reality!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gloria Purcell

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frances Foster

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Bartos

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Timothy Lippert

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Proudfit

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Phillip Liming

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ingrid Erickson

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.



It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nina Potts-Jefferies

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Keiser

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Georgette Korsen

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact

statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Richmond

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Long

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelsey Cormier

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Candy Anderson

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sema and Bob English

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Kravcov Malcolm

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles McCormick

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

I do not want to pay, both in health and dollars, for the damage done by the process of extracting liquefied natural gas and it's exportation out of the US. Corporate elites and shareholders reap the profits while the majority of americans, being neither, are stuck with the bill along with the disaster of Climate Change.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

P Cleland

-----

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lundberg

-----



Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sue Anne Gershenzon

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with

environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Johanssen

-----  
Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Sullivan

---

Department of Energy

Dear Energy,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Obyrne