

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gayla Gatling

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sara Townsend

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alice Pascale

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Megan Cudog

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicky Ernst

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rhonda Saak

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Kushmerick

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane O'Bannon

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicky Ernst

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brandi Kessell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shawn Muluihill

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Swanson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth King

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Ognjanovic

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cliff Hatcher

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brinton Culp

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Clarissa Almeida

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Franklin Knight

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Chris Cooper

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Riley

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patty Mcinnis Gray

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kent Heuer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Estes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Derek Cronin

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Terry Vaccaro

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Karen Hatten

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stella Godbey

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emmett McMahon

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joel Forbes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Skrzynecki

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula Cordes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judy Thompson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Paula Cordes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sithfredo Rivera

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Arthur Noble

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Deborah Keim

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tamara Hayes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathreen Arscott

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geraldine (Geri) Aird

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lester & Judy Hoyle

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carrie Belanger

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports. Please reconsider.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine & John Mogerman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stive Lecler

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

When the gas industry first arrived in PA we were assured that all the gas extracted here would be used within the U.S.A. What has corporate dishonesty cost us all?

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Kaufman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Kaufman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Craig Kaufman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Glen Williams

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leigh Fabbri

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Caryn Brock

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Schmidt

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joni Jupin

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Hoff

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Samantha Morgan

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doug David

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

DO NOT under any circumstances allow the export of liquefied Natural Gas. The entire fracking industry is doing exceptional harm (at a VERY HIGH COST) to the health and well being of our citizens, polluting our water (which is essential to the survival of our species), polluting our air (also essential to our survival and our health), creating havoc with our environment. IT IS NOT GOOD ECONOMICS!

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Grover

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mikki Weidman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Therese Jungels

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Ervin

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James Walker

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julia Estes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shelly Peterson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

William Resseguie

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Leaf

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Estes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ron Olson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Zanic

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shannon Moore

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dan Dowdall

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Taylor

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Julie Elfin

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Richmond

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Falk

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rafael Albarran

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robin Wagner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Robinson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mark Dodel

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kim Sanders George

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Giovanna Tonelli

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Willis Kuwanvama

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vernon Snyder

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nick Lattiere

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Floris Mikkelsen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Jergensen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mel Bayon

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Huber

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Von Bramer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gregory Brown

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victoria Peyser

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gloria Merlino

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michelle Clement

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Macmillan

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Monique Musialowski

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jaye Trottier

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric Hoyer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brad Knopf

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diana Reeves

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Katy Noone-Kesselman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Liz Kellor

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marianne Curtis

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenneth Berkeihiser

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Floersch

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Mcdade

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen VanGorder

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joshua Maizel

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Martha Lammers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mikerra Stonehawk

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alan Nahum

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jane Myers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Claudia Miranda

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Bragg

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Neste

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Rogala

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helen Breasted

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lucy Nichols

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Bush

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jonathan Wagner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Weber

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kamren Moore

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Holyfield

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Daniel Hubbard

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Simmons

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kerry Owens

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Angeliki Kostantinou

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

April Narcisse

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Geri Pilcher

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Greenberg

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Emma Fisher

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leo Isaac-Dognin

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sam Rogers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Cook

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marsha Barton

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pete Langr

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alicia Baker

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

L Weber

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Juan Gallardo

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kevin Anderson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shannon Pendleton

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Holsman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ed Fikani

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Helena Liber

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jessica Baron

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ann Smith

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharon Kelley

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Chirillo

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anni Rainio

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Richard Chirillo

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nadine Shrewsbury

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Walker

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gloria Ann Callahan

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Maria-Viktoria Abricka

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Heather Palmeira

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janet Bender

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please don't gamble with our air and water quality!! LNG is stacking the deck with bad information.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laine Sutherland

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Matthews

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Noel

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please look at all sides of the liquefied natural gas exports. The air in industrial areas in the US is already making people sick. This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elise Murray

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Helmsie

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacy Leafsong

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

A David Roth

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy Ruopp

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Please reconsider your support of this action.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elaine Betoncourt

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rina Malerman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Erkert

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sue Christiansen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Thompson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kelly Piazza

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Pinter

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Krausz

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brenda Thacker

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

marianne vogel

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patricia Martinez

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Rattigan

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Crystal Wolf

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Minger

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scarlett Higgins

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Romzick

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alessandra Vittorini

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Zella Nisley

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Victoria Pitchford

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Morgan Larson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

JoAnn Nelson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbi Bell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Donna Hamilton

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alejandro Sanchez

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Sexton

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pamela Du Mond

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jenn Kervian

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Franklin Platizky

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Nealon

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Melissa Allen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jaime Saranczak

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jordan Wehr

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Christine Lomaka

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marle Vane

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Garry Dufour

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy Oppenhuizen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeannette Molina

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Brian Arneman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Thank You for your Time and Attention.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

lisa pollei

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roger Tembreull

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Garrett Mason

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The citizens of Pennsylvania are not even being reimbursed for the extraction and sale of our publicly owned natural gas; being denied the benefit of using it at a reasonable price would truly be adding insult to injury. We need to plan for the long term and preserve this resource for our own transition to renewable energy.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ruth Roberts

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Inghima

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joan Schiess

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cathy wiseley

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Willard

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ginger Massie

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Guffey

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jenny Roberts

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sandra Toomey

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Birgitta Siponen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Allan Yorkowitz

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marcus Howell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Armand Biron

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

James J. Formichella

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nikolay Kolev

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Magdalene Bumford

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Leonard Jones

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Frank Reed

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nikolay Kolev

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Lowe

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kristina Gravette

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scarlett Higgins

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Stewmon

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Scott Dannenbring, Crna, Ms

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pat Bowen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Janis Upham

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Diane Kent

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kenny Villacorta

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Hield

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Robert Hardman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Lubonovich

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Catherine Kenward

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shelia Monroe

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Barnes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Dale Hammons

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gary Jones

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Yvonne Smarzhevsky

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Abbi Surles

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joseph Montes De Oca

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Celeste Winkle

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Keir Novak

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Philip Ballbach

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Erin Ottowitz

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

George Logeman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

thomas nonn

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg & Alice Willmarth

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Loosmore

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lawrence Kavanaugh

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Kipnes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michael Barnes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathy Doyle

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shirley & John O'Connell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tal Davies

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lisa Unruh

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Charles Swett

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Baumgardner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Bocsusis

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Headley

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Mcatee

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cori Bishop

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherry Nillissen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Campbell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amy Campbell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Crystal Hafenstein

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Minor

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

It is well documented that the industrialist who made America did so without responsibility for damaging harm to human and environmental health. Average, balanced Americans not driven by the insatiable 'more' factor ask you to stop the madness of industry and listen to truths of others.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Etta Albright

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Walters

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tassa Carruthers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jim Wanner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Eric and Christy Daniels

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Drexler

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Margie Tomenko

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

Please act like the scientist you are, not like a politician.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Greg Sletten

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ozlem Serif

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rocky Myers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Susan Lafaive

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Tom Campbell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Merrick

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

We should not expose ourselves to toxic chemicals in our water table in order to line the pockets of the energy industrialists.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

David Rechs

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gerald Mcfarlane

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Roseann Fiore

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ronald Birdsong

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Krystal Schnoes

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lauren Titus

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Don Ament

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

Thank you, Pam Stewart

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Pam Stewart

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Rosemary Compagno

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patrick Vogelsong

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Meyer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Anne Lentz

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Vicki Ireland

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Alagammai

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Andrea Alagammai

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Stacey Rohrbaugh

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Keiser

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Taylor Brown

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sean Allen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Raynalde Schagen

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherry Skramstad

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jude Sky

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nancy Watts

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cara Mcdonald

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carolyn Spencer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

For my region, the risk of transport and export of LNG is degradation of our coast and ocean. This would have severe impact on our fisheries, tourism, and environment.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Myers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

PUT THE LIVES AND WELFARE OF THE AMERICAN PEOPLE AHEAD OF THE PROFITS OF LNG!!!

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Doris McNary

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Derrick Hester

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Gabriela Rodriguez

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharron Walter

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ilene Wagner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mariana Espinoza

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

John Heilman

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lori Conrad

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Marisol Ruiz

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Salazar

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Villa

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Judith Stauffer

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Nicole Williams

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Joe Salazar

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Matt Albers

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Amanda Mikalson

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jean Rodine

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lena Salamanca

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeff Alford

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Patti Batchelder

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Steve Padgett

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Montero

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Gehre

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Laura Goldstein

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Alina Rossano

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mary Jo Knox

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carol Ruth

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Virginia Carter

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Barbara Bate

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

McKenna Grace Fisher

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sharone Oren

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Coral Friesner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Mitch Miller

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jon Cooper

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Ryan Moore

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Lucia Shorts

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Cathy Dale

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Wagner

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

We need to look at the long-term situation, not at short-term profits. What about the costs of air and water pollution and the costs of accelerating climate change?

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Linda Silversmith

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Jeanne Strickland

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Peter Branch

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Shaya Alexander

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Carl Connell

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

This industry-friendly group only concludes that LNG export is good economics by focusing on all the money that would flow out of our pockets and go to the natural gas industry and the wealthiest people in our country. The report completely ignores or unfairly dismisses the real costs to hard-working Americans and their communities -- increased costs to heat our homes and businesses, the loss of jobs in industries most affected by LNG exports including domestic manufacturing, and projected costs associated with environmental destruction due to fracking and healthcare costs for those affected by polluted air and water.

The DOE has a responsibility to conduct a complete environmental and economic assessment of LNG exports to truly determine if it is to the benefit of the American public. A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities. I deserve

to know what the real costs will be to us when sending natural gas overseas. Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Sherry Siegel

Secretary Steven Chu

Dear Secretary Chu,

The recent economic study on liquefied natural gas exports by NERA Economic Consulting is a flawed report that must be rejected by DOE.

A full environmental impact statement for LNG exports must be conducted, including the impacts in fracked communities.

Additionally, rules must be put in place to protect our interests before DOE authorizes any exports.

It's time to go back the drawing board and conduct a truly independent study of how LNG exports will impact our communities.

Sincerely,

Michele Shimizu