

Effect of Increased Natural Gas Exports on Domestic Energy Markets

as requested by the Office of Fossil Energy

January 2012



This report was prepared by the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA), the statistical and analytical agency within the U.S. Department of Energy. By law, EIA's data, analyses, and forecasts are independent of approval by any other officer or employee of the United States Government. The views in this report therefore should not be construed as representing those of the U.S. Department of Energy or other Federal agencies.

Contacts

The Office of Energy Analysis prepared this report under the guidance of John Conti, Assistant Administrator for Energy Analysis. General questions concerning the report can be directed to Michael Schaal (michael.schaal@eia.gov, 202/586-5590), Director, Office of Petroleum, Natural Gas and Biofuels Analysis; and Angelina LaRose, Team Lead, Natural Gas Markets Team (angelina.larose@eia.gov, 202/586-6135).

Technical information concerning the content of the report may be obtained from Joe Benneche (joseph.benneche@eia.gov, 202/586-6132).

Preface

The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) is the statistical and analytical agency within the U.S. Department of Energy. EIA collects, analyzes, and disseminates independent and impartial energy information to promote sound policymaking, efficient markets, and public understanding of energy and its interaction with the economy and the environment. By law, EIA's data, analyses, and forecasts are independent of approval by any other officer or employee of the U.S. Government. The views in this report, therefore, should not be construed as representing those of the Department of Energy or other Federal agencies.

The projections in this report are not statements of what *will* happen but of what *might* happen, given the assumptions and methodologies used. The Reference case in this report is a business-as-usual trend estimate, reflecting known technology and technological and demographic trends, and current laws and regulations. Thus, it provides a policy-neutral starting point that can be used to analyze policy initiatives. EIA does not propose, advocate, or speculate on future legislative and regulatory changes.

Contents

| | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Contacts | i |
| Preface | ii |
| Contents | iii |
| Tables | iv |
| Figures | v |
| Introduction | 1 |
| Analysis approach | 2 |
| Caveats regarding interpretation of the analysis results..... | 3 |
| Representation of natural gas markets..... | 3 |
| Macroeconomic considerations related to energy exports and global competition in energy-intensive industries | 5 |
| Summary of Results | 6 |
| Impacts overview..... | 6 |
| Natural gas prices | 6 |
| Wellhead natural gas prices in the baseline cases (no additional exports)..... | 6 |
| Export scenarios—relationship between wellhead and delivered natural gas prices..... | 7 |
| Export scenarios – wellhead price changes under the Reference case..... | 8 |
| Export scenarios—wellhead price changes under alternative baseline cases..... | 9 |
| Natural gas supply and consumption | 10 |
| Supply..... | 11 |
| Consumption by sector | 11 |
| End-use energy expenditures | 14 |
| Natural gas expenditures | 14 |
| Electricity expenditures..... | 16 |
| Natural gas producer revenues | 16 |
| Impacts beyond the natural gas industry | 17 |
| Total energy use and energy-related carbon dioxide emissions..... | 17 |
| Appendix A. Request Letter | 20 |
| Appendix B. Summary Tables | 28 |

Tables

Table 1. Change in natural gas expenditures by end use consumers from AEO2011 Reference case with different additional export levels imposed..... 15

Table 2. Cumulative CO₂ emissions from 2015 to 2035 associated with additional natural gas export levels imposed (million metric tons CO₂ and percentage)..... 19

Figures

| | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| Figure 1. Four scenarios of increased natural gas exports specified in the analysis request..... | 2 |
| Figure 2. Natural gas wellhead prices in the baseline cases (no additional exports) | 7 |
| Figure 3. Natural gas wellhead price difference from <i>AEO2011</i> Reference case with different additional export levels imposed | 8 |
| Figure 4. Natural gas wellhead price difference from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) with different additional export levels imposed..... | 9 |
| Figure 5. Average change in annual natural gas delivered, produced, and imported from <i>AEO2011</i> Reference case with different additional export levels imposed | 11 |
| Figure 6. Average change in annual electric generation from <i>AEO2011</i> Reference case with different additional export levels imposed..... | 13 |
| Figure 7. Average change in annual end-use energy expenditures from <i>AEO2011</i> Reference case as a result of additional natural gas exports..... | 14 |
| Figure 8. Average annual increase in domestic natural gas export revenues from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) with different additional export levels imposed, 2015-2035 | 17 |
| Figure 9. Average annual change from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) in total primary energy consumed with different additional export levels imposed, 2015-2035..... | 18 |

Introduction

This report responds to an August 2011 request from the Department of Energy's Office of Fossil Energy (DOE/FE) for an analysis of "the impact of increased domestic natural gas demand, as exports." Appendix A provides a copy of the DOE/FE request letter. Specifically, DOE/FE asked the U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) to assess how specified scenarios of increased natural gas exports could affect domestic energy markets, focusing on consumption, production, and prices.

DOE/FE provided four scenarios of export-related increases in natural gas demand (Figure 1) to be considered:

- 6 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d), phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (low/slow scenario),
- 6 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (low/rapid scenario),
- 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 1 Bcf/d per year (high/slow scenario), and
- 12 Bcf/d phased in at a rate of 3 Bcf/d per year (high/rapid scenario).

Total marketed natural gas production in 2011 was about 66 Bcf/d. The two ultimate levels of increased natural gas demand due to additional exports in the DOE/FE scenarios represent roughly 9 percent or 18 percent of current production.


DOE/FE requested that EIA consider the four scenarios of increased natural gas exports in the context of four cases from the EIA's *2011 Annual Energy Outlook (AEO2011)* that reflect varying perspectives on the domestic natural gas supply situation and the growth rate of the U.S. economy. These are:

- the *AEO2011* Reference case,
- the High Shale Estimated Ultimate Recovery (EUR) case (reflecting more optimistic assumptions about domestic natural gas supply prospects, with the EUR per shale gas well for new, undrilled wells assumed to be 50 percent higher than in the Reference case),
- the Low Shale EUR case (reflecting less optimistic assumptions about domestic natural gas supply prospects, with the EUR per shale gas well for new, undrilled wells assumed to be 50 percent lower than in the Reference case), and
- the High Economic Growth case (assuming the U.S. gross domestic product will grow at an average annual rate of 3.2 percent from 2009 to 2035, compared to 2.7 percent in the Reference case, which increases domestic energy demand).

DOE/FE requested this study as one input to their assessment of the potential impact of current and possible future applications to export domestically produced natural gas. Under Section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (15 U.S.C. § 717b), DOE must evaluate applications to import and export natural gas and liquefied natural gas (LNG) to or from the United States. The NGA requires DOE to grant a permit unless it finds that such action is not consistent with the public interest. As a practical matter, the need for DOE to make a public interest judgment applies only to trade involving countries that have not entered into a free trade agreement (FTA) with the United States requiring the national treatment for trade in natural gas and LNG. The NGA provides that applications involving imports from or exports to an FTA country

are deemed to be in the public interest and shall be granted without modification or delay. Key countries with FTAs include Canada and Mexico, which engage in significant natural gas trade with the United States via pipeline. A FTA with South Korea, currently the world’s second largest importer of LNG, which does not currently receive domestically produced natural gas from the United States, has been ratified by both the U.S. and South Korean legislatures, but had not yet entered into force as of the writing of this report.

Figure 1. Four scenarios of increased natural gas exports specified in the analysis request



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration based on DOE Office of Fossil Energy request letter

Analysis approach

EIA used the *AEO2011* Reference case issued in April 2011 as the starting point for its analysis and made several changes to the model to accommodate increased exports. EIA exogenously specified additional natural gas exports from the United States in the National Energy Modeling System (NEMS), as the current version of NEMS does not generate an endogenous projection of LNG exports. EIA assigned these additional exports to the West South Central Census Division.¹ Any additional natural gas consumed during the liquefaction process is counted within the total additional export volumes specified in the DOE/FE scenarios. Therefore the net volumes of LNG produced for export are roughly 10 percent below the gross volumes considered in each export scenario.

Other changes in modeled flows of gas into and out of the lower-48 United States were necessary to analyze the increased export scenarios. U.S. natural gas exports to Canada and U.S. natural gas imports from Mexico are exogenously specified in all of the *AEO2011* cases. U.S. imports of natural gas from

¹ This effectively assumes that incremental LNG exports would be shipped out of the Gulf Coast States of Texas or Louisiana.

Canada are endogenously set in the model and continue to be so for this study. However, U.S. natural gas exports to Mexico and U.S. LNG imports that are normally determined endogenously within the model were set to the levels projected in the associated *AEO2011* cases for this study. Additionally, EIA assumed that an Alaska pipeline, which would transport Alaskan produced natural gas into the lower-48 United States, would not be built during the forecast period in any of the cases in order to isolate the lower-48 United States supply response. Due to this restriction, both the *AEO2011* High Economic Growth and Low Shale EUR cases were rerun, as those cases had the Alaska pipeline entering service during the projection period in the published *AEO2011*.

Caveats regarding interpretation of the analysis results

EIA recognizes that projections of energy markets over a 25-year period are highly uncertain and subject to many events that cannot be foreseen, such as supply disruptions, policy changes, and technological breakthroughs. This is particularly true in projecting the effects of exporting significant natural gas volumes from the United States due to the following factors:

- NEMS is not a world energy model and does not address the interaction between the potential for additional U.S. natural gas exports and developments in world natural gas markets.
- Global natural gas markets are not integrated and their nature could change substantially in response to significant changes in natural gas trading patterns. Future opportunities to profitably export natural gas from the United States depend on the future of global natural gas markets, the inclusion of relevant terms in specific contracts to export natural gas, as well as on the assumptions in the various cases analyzed.
- Macroeconomic results have not been included in the analysis because the links between the energy and macroeconomic modules in NEMS do not include energy exports.
- NEMS domestic focus makes it unable to account for all interactions between energy prices and supply/demand in energy-intensive industries that are globally competitive. Most of the domestic industrial activity impacts in NEMS are due to changes in the composition of final demands rather than changes in energy prices. Given its domestic focus, NEMS does not account for the impact of energy price changes on the global utilization pattern for existing capacity or the siting of new capacity inside or outside of the United States in energy-intensive industries.

Representation of natural gas markets

Unlike the oil market, current natural gas markets are not integrated globally. In today's markets, natural gas prices span a range from \$0.75 per million British thermal units (MMBtu) in Saudi Arabia to \$4 per MMBtu in the United States and \$16 per MMBtu in Asian markets that rely on LNG imports. Prices in European markets, which reflect a mix of spot prices and contract prices with some indexation to oil, fall between U.S. and Asian prices. Spot market prices at the U.K. National Balancing Point averaged \$9.21 per MMBtu during November 2011.

Liquefaction projects typically take four or more years to permit and build and are planned to run for at least 20 years. As a result, expectations of future competitive conditions over the lifetime of a project play a critical role in investment decisions. The current large disparity in natural gas prices across major

world regions, a major driver of U.S. producers' interest in possible liquefaction projects to increase natural gas exports, is likely to narrow as natural gas markets become more globally integrated. Key questions remain regarding how quickly convergence might occur and to what extent it will involve all or only some global regions. In particular, it is unclear how far converged prices may reflect purely "gas on gas" competition, a continuing relationship between natural gas and oil prices as in Asia (and to a lesser extent in Europe), or some intermediate outcome. As an example of the dynamic quality of global gas markets, recent regulatory changes combined with abundant supplies and muted demands appear to have put pressure on Europe's oil-linked contract gas prices.

U.S. market conditions are also quite variable, as monthly average Henry Hub spot prices have ranged from over \$12 to under \$3 per MMBtu over the past five years. Furthermore, while projected Henry Hub prices in the *AEO2011* Reference case reach \$7.07 per MMBtu in 2035, in the High and Low Shale EUR cases prices in 2035 range from \$5.35 per MMBtu to \$9.26 per MMBtu.² For purposes of this study, the scenarios of additional exports posited by DOE/FE in their request do not vary across the different baseline cases that are considered. In reality, given available prices in export markets, lower or higher U.S. natural gas prices would tend to make any given volume of additional exports more or less likely.

The prospects for U.S. LNG exports depend greatly on the cost-competitiveness of liquefaction projects in the United States relative to those at other locations. The investment to add liquefaction capacity to an existing regasification terminal in the United States is significant, typically several times the original cost of a regasification-only terminal. However, the ability to make use of existing infrastructure, including natural gas processing plants, pipelines, and storage and loading facilities means that U.S. regasification terminals can reduce costs relative to those that would be incurred by a "greenfield" LNG facility. Many of the currently proposed LNG supply projects elsewhere in the world are integrated standalone projects that would produce, liquefy, and export stranded natural gas. These projects would require much more new infrastructure, entailing not only the construction of the liquefaction plant from the ground up, but also storage, loading, and production facilities, as well pipelines and natural gas processing facilities.

While the additional infrastructure for integrated standalone projects adds considerably to their cost, such projects can be sited at locations where they can make use of inexpensive or stranded natural gas resources that would have minimal value independent of the project. Also, while these projects may require processing facilities to remove impurities and liquids from the gas, the value of the separated liquids can improve the overall project economics. On the other hand, liquefaction projects proposed for the lower-48 United States plan to use pipeline gas drawn from the largest and most liquid natural gas market in the world. Natural gas in the U.S. pipeline system has a much greater inherent value than stranded natural gas, and most of the valuable natural gas liquids have already been removed.

Future exports of U.S. LNG depend on other factors as well. Potential buyers may place additional value on the greater diversity of supply that North American liquefaction projects provide. Also, the degree of regulatory and other risks are much lower for projects proposed in countries like the United States,

² All prices in this report are in 2009 dollars unless otherwise noted. For the Low Shale EUR case used in this study the Henry Hub price in 2035 is \$9.75 per MMBtu, slightly higher than in the *AEO2011* case with the Alaska pipeline projected to be built towards the end of the projection period.

Canada, and Australia than for those proposed in countries like Iran, Venezuela, and Nigeria. However, due to relatively high shipping costs, LNG from the United States may have an added cost disadvantage in competing against countries closer to key markets, such as in Asia. Finally, LNG projects in the United States would frequently compete not just against other LNG projects, but against other natural gas supply projects aimed at similar markets, such as pipeline projects from traditional natural gas sources or projects to develop shale gas in Asia or Europe.

Macroeconomic considerations related to energy exports and global competition in energy-intensive industries

Macroeconomic results have not been included in the analysis because energy exports are not explicitly represented in the NEMS macroeconomic module.³ The macroeconomic module takes energy prices, energy production, and energy consumption as inputs (or assumptions) from NEMS energy modules. The macroeconomic module then calculates economic drivers that are passed back as inputs to the NEMS energy modules. Each energy module in NEMS uses different economic inputs; however these economic concepts are encompassed by U.S. gross domestic product (GDP), a summary measure describing the value of goods and services produced in the economy.⁴

The net exports component of GDP in the macroeconomic module, however, does not specifically account for energy exports. As a result, increases in energy exports generated in the NEMS energy modules are not reflected as increases in net exports of goods and services in the macroeconomic module. This results in an underestimation of GDP, all else equal. The components of GDP are calculated based on this underestimated amount as well, and do not reflect the increases in energy exports. This is particularly important in the industrial sector, where the value of its output will not reflect the increased energy exports either.

The value of output in the domestic industrial sector in NEMS depends in general on both domestic and global demand for its products, and on the price of inputs. Differences in these factors between countries will also influence where available production capacity is utilized and where new production capacity is built in globally competitive industries. For energy-intensive industries, the price of energy is particularly important to utilization decisions for existing plants and siting decisions for new ones. Given its domestic focus, however, NEMS does not account for the impact of energy price changes on global utilization pattern of existing capacity or the siting of new capacity inside or outside of the United States in energy-intensive industries. Capturing these linkages requires an international model of the particular industry in question, paired with a global macroeconomic model.

³ In the macroeconomic model, energy exports are used in two places: estimating exports of industrial supplies and materials and estimating energy's impact on the overall production of the economy. To assess their impact on overall production, energy exports are included in the residual between energy supply (domestic production plus imports) and energy demand. This residual also includes changes in inventory.

⁴ GDP is defined as the sum of consumption, investment, government expenditure and net exports (equal to exports minus imports).

Summary of Results

Increased natural gas exports lead to higher domestic natural gas prices, increased domestic natural gas production, reduced domestic natural gas consumption, and increased natural gas imports from Canada via pipeline.

Impacts overview

- **Increased natural gas exports lead to increased natural gas prices.** Larger export levels lead to larger domestic price increases, while rapid increases in export levels lead to large initial price increases that moderate somewhat in a few years. Slower increases in export levels lead to more gradual price increases but eventually produce higher average prices during the decade between 2025 and 2035.
- **Natural gas markets in the United States balance in response to increased natural gas exports largely through increased natural gas production.** Increased natural gas production satisfies about 60 to 70 percent of the increase in natural gas exports, with a minor additional contribution from increased imports from Canada. Across most cases, about three-quarters of this increased production is from shale sources.
- **The remaining portion is supplied by natural gas that would have been consumed domestically if not for the higher prices.** The electric power sector accounts for the majority of the decrease in delivered natural gas. Due to higher prices, the electric power sector primarily shifts to coal-fired generation, and secondarily to renewable sources, though there is some decrease in total generation due to the higher price of natural gas. There is also a small reduction in natural gas use in all sectors from efficiency improvements and conservation.
- **Even while consuming less, on average, consumers will see an increase in their natural gas and electricity expenditures.** On average, from 2015 to 2035, natural gas bills paid by end-use consumers in the residential, commercial, and industrial sectors combined increase 3 to 9 percent over a comparable baseline case with no exports, depending on the export scenario and case, while increases in electricity bills paid by end-use customers range from 1 to 3 percent. In the rapid growth cases, the increase is notably greater in the early years relative to the later years. The slower export growth cases tend to show natural gas bills increasing more towards the end of the projection period.


Natural gas prices

Wellhead natural gas prices in the baseline cases (no additional exports)

EIA projects that U.S. natural gas prices are projected to rise over the long run, even before considering the possibility of additional exports (Figure 2). The projected price increase varies considerably, depending on the assumptions one makes about future gas supplies and economic growth. Under the Reference case, domestic wellhead prices rise by about 57 percent between 2010 and 2035. But different assumptions produce different results. Under the more optimistic resource assumptions of the High Shale EUR case, prices actually fall at first and rise by only 36 percent by 2035. In contrast, under the more pessimistic resource assumptions of the Low Shale EUR case, prices nearly double by 2035.

While natural gas prices rise across all four baseline cases (no additional exports) considered in this report, it should be noted that natural gas prices in all of the cases are far lower than the price of crude oil when considered on an energy-equivalent basis. Projected natural gas prices in 2020 range from \$3.46 to \$6.37 per thousand cubic feet (Mcf) across the four baseline cases, which roughly corresponds to an oil price range of \$20 to \$36 per barrel in energy-equivalent terms. In 2030, projected baseline natural gas prices range from \$4.47 to \$8.23 per Mcf in the four baseline cases, which roughly corresponds to an oil price range of \$25 to \$47 per barrel in energy-equivalent terms.

Figure 2. Natural gas wellhead prices in the baseline cases (no additional exports)



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

Export scenarios—relationship between wellhead and delivered natural gas prices


Increases in natural gas prices at the wellhead translate to similar absolute increases in delivered prices to customers under all export scenarios and baseline cases. However, delivered prices include transportation charges (for most customers) and distribution charges (especially for residential and commercial customers). These charges change to much less of a degree than the wellhead price does under different export scenarios. As a result, the percentage change in prices that industrial and electric customers pay tends to be somewhat lower than the change in the wellhead price. The percentage change in prices that residential and commercial customers pay is significantly lower. Summary statistics on delivered prices are provided in Appendix B. More detailed results on delivered prices and other report results can be found in the standard NEMS output tables that are posted online.

Export scenarios – wellhead price changes under the Reference case.

Increased exports of natural gas lead to increased wellhead prices in all cases and scenarios. The basic pattern is evident in considering how prices would change under the Reference case (Figure 3):

- The pattern of price increases reflects both the ultimate level of exports and the rate at which increased exports are phased in. In the low/slow scenario (which phases in 6 Bcf/d of exports over six years), wellhead price impacts peak at about 14% (\$0.70/Mcf) in 2022. However, the wellhead price differential falls below 10 percent by about 2026.
- In contrast, rapid increases in export levels lead to large initial price increases that would moderate somewhat in a few years. In the high/rapid scenario (which phases in 12 Bcf/d of exports over four years), wellhead prices are about 36 percent higher (\$1.58/Mcf) in 2018 than in the no-additional-exports scenario. But the differential falls below 20 percent by about 2026. The sharp projected price increases during the phase-in period reflect what would be needed to balance the market through changes in production, consumption, and import levels in a compressed timeframe.
- Slower increases in export levels lead to more gradual price increases but eventually produce higher average prices, especially during the decade between 2025 and 2035. The differential between wellhead prices in the high/slow scenario and the no-additional-exports scenario peaks in 2026 at about 28 percent (\$1.53/Mcf), and prices remain higher than in the high/rapid scenario. The lower prices in the early years of the scenarios with slow export growth leads to more domestic investment in additional natural gas burning equipment, which increases demand somewhat in later years, relative to rapid export growth scenarios.

Figure 3. Natural gas wellhead price difference from AEO2011 Reference case with different additional export levels imposed




Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

Export scenarios—wellhead price changes under alternative baseline cases

The effect of increasing exports on natural gas prices varies somewhat under alternative baseline case assumptions about resource availability and economic growth. However, the basic patterns remain the same: higher export levels would lead to higher prices, rapid increases in exports would lead to sharp price increases, and slower export increases would lead to slower but more lasting price increases. But the relative size of the price increases changes with changing assumptions (Figure 4).

Figure 4. Natural gas wellhead price difference from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) with different additional export levels imposed



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

In particular, with more pessimistic assumptions about the Nation’s natural gas resource base (the Low Shale EUR case), wellhead prices in all export scenarios initially increase more in percentage terms over the baseline case (no additional exports) than occurs under Reference case conditions. For example, in the Low Shale EUR case the rapid introduction of 12 Bcf/d of exports results in a 54 percent (\$3.23/Mcf) increase in the wellhead price in 2018; whereas under Reference case conditions with the same export scenario the price increases in 2018 by only 36 percent (\$1.58/Mcf).⁵ But the percentage price increase falls in later years under the Low Shale EUR case, even below the price response under Reference case conditions. Under Low Shale EUR conditions, the addition of exports ultimately results in wellhead prices exceeding the \$9 per Mcf threshold, with this occurring as early as 2018 in the high/rapid scenario.

⁵ The percentage rise in prices for the low EUR case also represents a larger absolute price increase because it is calculated on the higher baseline price under the same pessimistic resource assumptions.

More robust economic growth shows a similar pattern – higher initial percentage price increases and lower percentage increases in later years. On the other hand, with more optimistic resource assumptions (the High Shale EUR case), the percentage price rise would be slightly smaller than under Reference case conditions, and result in wellhead prices never exceeding the \$6 per Mcf threshold.

Natural gas supply and consumption


In the AEO2011 Reference case, total domestic natural gas production grows from 22.4 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) in 2015 to 26.3 Tcf in 2035, averaging 24.2 Tcf for the 2015-2035 period. U.S. net imports of natural gas decline from 11 percent of total supply in 2015 to 1 percent in 2035, with lower net imports from Canada and higher net exports to Mexico. The industrial sector consumes an average of 8.1 Tcf of natural gas (34.2% of delivered volumes) between 2015 and 2035, with 7.1 Tcf, 4.8 Tcf, and 3.6 Tcf consumed in the electric power, residential, and commercial sectors respectively.

Under the scenarios specified for this analysis, increased natural gas exports lead to higher domestic natural gas prices, which lead to reduced domestic consumption, and increased domestic production and pipeline imports from Canada (Figure 5). Lower domestic consumption dampens the degree to which supplies must increase to satisfy the additional natural gas exports. Accordingly, in order to accommodate the increased exports in each of the four export scenarios, the mix of production, consumption, and imports changes relative to the associated baseline case. In all of the export scenarios across all four baseline cases, a majority of the additional natural gas needed for export is provided by increased domestic production, with a minor contribution from increased pipeline imports from Canada. The remaining portion of the increased export volumes is offset by decreases in consumption resulting from the higher prices associated with the increased exports.

The absolute value of the sum of changes in consumption (delivered volumes), production, and imports (represented by the total bar in Figure 5) approximately⁶ equals the average change in exports. Under Reference case conditions, about 63 percent, on average, of the increase in exports in each of the four scenarios is accounted for by increased production, with most of the remainder from decreased consumption from 2015 to 2035. The percentage of exports accounted for by increased production is slightly lower in the earlier years and slightly higher in the later years. While this same basic relationship between added exports and increased production is similar under the other cases, the percentage of added exports accounted for by increased production is somewhat less under a Low Shale EUR environment and more under a High Economic Growth environment.

⁶ The figure displays the changes in delivered volumes of natural gas to residential, commercial, industrial, vehicle transportation, and electric generation customers. There are also some minor differences in natural gas used for lease, plant, and pipeline fuel use which are not included.

Figure 5. Average change in annual natural gas delivered, produced, and imported from AEO2011 Reference case with different additional export levels imposed



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

One seeming anomaly that can be seen in Figure 5 is in the 2025 to 2035 timeframe: the decrease in consumption is somewhat lower in the rapid export penetration relative to the slow export penetration scenarios. This is largely attributed to slightly lower prices in the later years of the rapid export penetration scenarios relative to the slow penetration scenarios.

Supply

Increases in natural gas production that contribute to additional natural gas exports from the relative baseline scenario come predominately from shale sources. On average, across all cases and export scenarios, the shares of the increase in total domestic production coming from shale gas, tight gas, coalbed, and other sources are 72 percent, 13 percent, 8 percent, and 7 percent, respectively. Most of the export scenarios are also accompanied by a slight increase in pipeline imports from Canada. Under the Low Shale EUR case (which just applies to domestic shale), imports from Canada contribute to a greater degree than in other cases.

Consumption by sector

In general, greater export levels lead to higher domestic prices and larger decreases in consumption, although the price and consumption differences across the scenarios narrow in the later part of the projection period.

Electric power generation

In the AEO2011 Reference case, electric power generation averages 4,692 billion kilowatthours (bkWh) over the 2015-2035 period. Natural gas generation averages 23 percent of total power generation, increasing from 1,000 bkWh in 2015 to 1,288 bkWh in 2035. Coal, nuclear, and renewables provide an

average of 43 percent, 19 percent, and 14 percent of generation, respectively, with a minimal contribution from liquids.

In scenarios with increased natural gas exports, most of the decrease in natural gas consumption occurs in the electric power sector (Figure 5). Most of the tradeoff in electric generators' natural gas use is between natural gas and coal, especially in the early years (Figure 6), when there is excess coal-fired capacity to allow for additional generation. Over the projection period, excess coal capacity progressively declines, along with the degree by which coal-fired generation can be increased in response to higher natural gas prices.⁷ Increased coal-fired generation accounts for about 65 percent of the decrease in natural gas-fired generation under Reference case conditions.

The increased use of coal for power generation results in an average increase in coal production from 2015 to 2035 over Reference case levels of between 2 and 4 percent across export scenarios. Accordingly, coal prices also increase slightly which, along with higher gas prices, drive up electricity prices. The resulting increase in electricity prices reduces total electricity demand, also offsetting some of the drop in natural gas-fired generation. The decline in total electricity demand tends to be less in the earlier years.


In addition, small increases in renewable generation contribute to reduced natural gas-fired generation. Relatively speaking, the role of renewables is greater in a higher-gas-price environment (i.e., the Low Shale EUR case), when they can more successfully compete with coal, and in a higher-generation environment (i.e., the High Economic Growth case), particularly in the later years.

Industrial sector

Reductions in industrial natural gas consumption in scenarios with increased natural gas exports tend to grow over time. In general, higher gas prices earlier in the projection period in these scenarios provide some disincentive for natural gas-fired equipment purchases (such as natural gas-fired combined heat and power (CHP) capacity) by industrial consumers, which has a lasting impact on their projected use of natural gas.

⁷ The degree to which coal might be used in lieu of natural gas depends on what regulations are in-place that might restrict coal use. These scenarios reflect current laws and regulations in place at the time the *AEO2011* was produced.

Figure 6. Average change in annual electric generation from AEO2011 Reference case with different additional export levels imposed



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

Note: Nuclear generation levels do not change in the Reference case scenarios.

As noted in the discussion of caveats in the first section of this report, the NEMS model does not explicitly address the linkage between energy prices and the supply/demand of industrial commodities in global industries. To the extent that the location of production is very sensitive to changes in natural gas prices, industrial natural gas demand would be more responsive than shown in this analysis.

Other sectors

Natural gas consumption in the other sectors (residential, commercial, and compressed natural gas vehicles) also decreases in response to the higher gas prices associated with increased exports, although less significantly than in the electric and industrial sectors. Even so, under Reference case conditions residential and commercial consumption decreases from 1 to 2 percent and from 2 to 3 percent, respectively, across the export scenarios, on average from 2015 to 2035. Their use of electricity also declines marginally in response to higher electricity prices. In response to higher natural gas and electricity prices, residential and commercial customers directly cut back their energy usage and/or purchase more efficient equipment.

Exports to Canada and Mexico


If exports to Canada and Mexico were allowed to vary under these additional export scenarios, they would likely respond similarly to domestic consumption and decrease in response to higher natural gas prices.

End-use energy expenditures

The AEO2011 Reference case projects annual average end-use energy expenditures of \$1,490 billion over the 2015-2035 period. Of that, \$975 billion per year is spent on liquids, \$368 billion on electricity bills, \$140 billion on natural gas bills, and \$7 billion on coal expenditures.

From an end-user perspective in the scenarios with additional gas exports, consumers will consume less and pay more on both their natural gas and electricity bill, and generally a little less for liquid fuels (Figure 7). Under Reference case conditions, increased end-use expenditures on natural gas as a result of additional exports average about 56 percent of the total additional expenditures for natural gas and electricity combined. For example, under Reference case conditions in the low/slow scenario, end-use consumers together are expected to increase their total energy expenditures by \$9 billion per year, or 0.6 percent on average from 2015 to 2035. Under the high/rapid scenarios, consumed total energy expenditures increase by \$20 billion per year, or 1.4 percent on average, between 2015 and 2035.

Figure 7. Average change in annual end-use energy expenditures from AEO2011 Reference case as a result of additional natural gas exports



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

Natural gas expenditures

As discussed earlier, given the lower consumption levels in response to the higher prices from increased exports, the percentage change in the dollars expended by customers for natural gas is less than the percentage change in the delivered prices. In general, the relative pattern of total end-use expenditures across time, export scenarios, and cases, is similar to the relative pattern shown in the wellhead prices in Figures 3 and 4. The higher export volume scenarios result in greater increases in expenditures, while those with rapid export penetration show increases peaking earlier and at higher levels than their slow export penetration counterpart, which show bills increasing more towards the end of the projection

period. Under Reference case conditions, the greatest single year increase in total end-use consumer bills is 16 percent, while the lowest single year increase is less than 1 percent. In all but three export scenarios and cases, the higher average increase over the comparable baseline scenario in natural gas bills paid by end-use consumers occurred during the early years. The greatest percentage increase in end-use expenditures over the comparable baseline level in a single year (26 percent) occurs in the high/rapid scenario under the Low Shale EUR case.

On average between 2015 and 2035, total U.S. end-use natural gas expenditures as a result of added exports, under Reference case conditions, increase between \$6 billion to \$13 billion (between 3 to 9 percent), depending on the export scenario. The Low Shale EUR case shows the greatest average annual increase in end-use natural gas expenditures over the same time period, with increases over the baseline (no additional exports) scenario ranging from \$7 billion to \$15 billion.

At the sector level, since the natural gas commodity charge represents significantly different portions of each natural gas consuming sector's bill, the degree to which each sector is projected to see their total bill change with added exports varies significantly (Table 1). Natural gas expenditures increase at the highest percentages in the industrial sector, where low transmission and distribution charges constitute a relatively small part of the delivered natural gas price.

Table 1. Change in natural gas expenditures by end use consumers from AEO2011 Reference case with different additional export levels imposed

| Sector | Scenario | Average 2015-2025 | Average 2025-2035 | Average 2015-2035 | Maximum Annual Change | Minimum Annual Change |
|---------------|-----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Residential | low/slow | 3.2% | 3.3% | 3.2% | 4.7% | 0.5% |
| Residential | low/rapid | 4.2% | 2.9% | 3.6% | 5.4% | 2.2% |
| Residential | high/slow | 4.4% | 7.1% | 5.6% | 8.9% | 0.9% |
| Residential | high/rapid | 8.3% | 5.7% | 7.0% | 10.9% | 2.5% |
| Commercial | low/slow | 3.2% | 3.2% | 3.2% | 4.8% | 0.6% |
| Commercial | low/rapid | 4.3% | 2.7% | 3.5% | 5.8% | 2.0% |
| Commercial | high/slow | 4.6% | 6.9% | 5.6% | 8.9% | 0.9% |
| Commercial | high/rapid | 8.3% | 5.4% | 6.9% | 11.4% | 2.7% |
| Industrial | low/slow | 7.2% | 5.8% | 6.4% | 11.1% | 1.2% |
| Industrial | low/rapid | 9.4% | 4.6% | 7.1% | 14.0% | 3.5% |
| Industrial | high/slow | 10.2% | 14.7% | 12.2% | 19.3% | 2.0% |
| Industrial | high/rapid | 18.7% | 10.4% | 14.6% | 26.9% | 5.2% |

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

The results in Table 1 do not reflect changes in natural gas expenditures in the electric power sector. The projected overall decrease in natural gas use by generators is significant enough to result in a decrease in natural gas expenditures for that sector, largely during 2015-2025. However, electric generators will see an increase in their overall costs of power generation that will be reflected in higher electricity bills for consumers.

Electricity expenditures

On average across the projection period, electricity prices under Reference case conditions increase by between 0.14 and 0.29 cents per kilowatthour (kWh) (between 2 and 3 percent) when gas exports are added. The greatest increase in the electricity price occurs in 2019 under the Low Shale EUR case for the high export/rapid growth export scenario, with an increase of 0.85 cents per kWh (9 percent).


Similar to natural gas, higher electricity prices due to the increased exports reduce end-use consumption making the percentage change in end-use electricity expenditures less than the percentage change in delivered electricity prices; additionally, the percentage increase in end-use electricity expenditures will be lower for the residential and commercial sectors and higher for the industrial sector. Under Reference case conditions, the greatest single year increase in total end-use consumer electricity bills is 4 percent, while the lowest single year increase is negligible. The greatest percentage increase in end-use electricity expenditures over the comparable baseline level in a single year (7 percent) occurs in the high/rapid scenario under the Low Shale EUR case.

On average between 2015 and 2035, total U.S. end-use electricity expenditures as a result of added exports, under Reference case conditions, increase between \$5 billion to \$10 billion (between 1 to 3 percent), depending on the export scenario. The High Macroeconomic Growth case shows the greatest average annual increase in natural gas expenditures over the same time period, with increases over the baseline (no additional exports) scenario ranging from \$6 billion to \$12 billion.

Natural gas producer revenues

Total additional natural gas revenues to producers from exports increase on an average annual basis from 2015 to 2035 between \$14 billion and \$32 billion over the *AEO2011* Reference case, depending on the export scenario (Figure 8). These revenues largely come from the added exports defining the scenarios, as well as other exports to Canada and Mexico in the model that see higher prices under the additional export scenarios, even though the volumes are assumed not to vary. Revenues associated with the added exports reflect dollars spent to purchase and move the natural gas to the export facility, but do not include any revenues associated with the liquefaction and shipping process. The Low Shale EUR case shows the greatest average annual increase in revenues over the 2015 to 2035 time period, with revenues ranging from over \$19 billion to \$43 billion, due to the relatively high natural gas wellhead prices in that case. These figures represent increased revenues, not profits. A large portion of the additional export revenues will cover the increased costs associated with supplying the increased level of production required when natural gas exports are increased, such as for equipment (e.g., drilling rigs) and labor. In contrast, the additional revenues resulting from the higher price of natural gas that would have been produced and sold to largely domestic customers even in the absence of the additional exports posited in the analysis scenarios would preponderantly reflect increased profits for producers and resource owners.

Figure 8. Average annual increase in domestic natural gas export revenues from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) with different additional export levels imposed, 2015-2035



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System

Impacts beyond the natural gas industry

While the natural gas industry would be directly impacted by increased exports, there are indirect impacts on other energy sectors. The electric generation industry shows the largest impact, followed by the coal industry.

As discussed earlier, higher natural gas prices lead electric generators to burn more coal and less natural gas. Coal producers benefit from the increased coal demand. On average, from 2015 to 2035, coal minemouth prices, production, and revenues increase by at most 1.1, 5.5, and 6.2 percent, respectively, across the increased export scenarios applied to all cases.

Domestic petroleum production in the form of lease condensate and natural gas plant liquids also rises due to increased natural gas drilling. For example, under Reference case conditions, in the scenario with the greatest overall response (high/rapid exports), total domestic energy production is 4.13 quadrillion British thermal units (Btu) per year (4.7 percent), which is greater on average from 2015 to 2035 than in the baseline scenario, while total domestic energy consumption is only 0.12 quadrillion Btu (0.1 percent) lower.

Effects on non-energy sectors, other than impacts on their energy expenditures, are generally beyond the scope of this report for reasons described previously.


Total energy use and energy-related carbon dioxide emissions

Annual primary energy consumption in the AEO2011 Reference case, measured in Btu, averages 108 quadrillion Btu between 2015 and 2035, with a growth rate of 0.6 percent. Cumulative carbon dioxide (CO₂) emissions total 125,000 million metric tons for that twenty-year period.

The changes in overall energy consumption across scenarios and cases are largely reflective of what occurs in the electric power sector. While additional exports result in decreased natural gas consumption, changes in overall energy consumption are relatively minor as much of the decrease in natural gas consumption is replaced with increased coal consumption (Figure 9). In fact, in some of the earlier years total energy consumption increases with added exports since directly replacing natural gas with coal in electricity generation requires more Btu, as the heat rates (Btu per kWh) for coal generators exceed those for natural gas generators.

On average from 2015 to 2035 under Reference case conditions, decreased natural gas consumption as a result of added exports are countered proportionately by increased coal consumption (72 percent), increased liquid fuel consumption (8 percent), other increased consumption, such as from renewable generation sources (9 percent), and decreases in total consumption (11 percent). In the earlier years, the amount of natural gas to coal switching is greater, and coal plays a more dominant role in replacing the decreased levels of natural gas consumption, which also tend to be greater in the earlier years. Switching from natural gas to coal is less significant in later years, partially as a result of a greater proportion of switching into renewable generation. As a result decreased natural gas consumption from added exports more directly results in decreased total energy consumption via the end-use consumer cutting back energy use in response to higher prices. This basic pattern similarly occurs under the Low Shale EUR and High Economic Growth cases – less switching from natural gas into coal and more into renewable than under Reference case conditions, as well as greater decreases in total energy consumption as a result of added exports.

Figure 9. Average annual change from indicated baseline case (no additional exports) in total primary energy consumed with different additional export levels imposed, 2015-2035



Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System
 Note: Other includes renewable and nuclear generation.

While lower domestic natural gas deliveries resulting from added exports reduce natural gas related CO₂ emissions, the increased use of coal in the electric sector generally results in a net increase in overall

CO₂ emissions. The exceptions occur in environments when renewables are better able to compete against natural gas and coal. However, when also accounting for emissions related to natural gas used in the liquefaction process, additional exports increase CO₂ levels under all cases and export scenarios, particularly in the earlier years of the projection period. Table 2 displays the cumulative CO₂ emissions levels from 2015 to 2035 in all cases and scenarios, with the change relative to the associated baseline case.

Table 2. Cumulative CO₂ emissions from 2015 to 2035 associated with additional natural gas export levels imposed (million metric tons CO₂ and percentage)

| Case | no added exports | low/slow | low/rapid | high/slow | high/rapid |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| Reference | | | | | |
| Cumulative carbon dioxide emissions | 125,056 | 125,699 | 125,707 | 126,038 | 126,283 |
| Change from baseline | | 643 | 651 | 982 | 1,227 |
| Percentage change from baseline | | 0.5% | 0.5% | 0.8% | 1.0% |
| High Shale EUR | | | | | |
| Cumulative carbon dioxide emissions | 124,230 | 124,888 | 124,883 | 125,531 | 125,817 |
| Change from baseline | | 658 | 653 | 1,301 | 1,587 |
| Percentage change from baseline | | 0.5% | 0.5% | 1.0% | 1.3% |
| Low Shale EUR | | | | | |
| Cumulative carbon dioxide emissions | 125,162 | 125,606 | 125,556 | 125,497 | 125,670 |
| Change from baseline | | 444 | 394 | 335 | 508 |
| Percentage change from baseline | | 0.4% | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.4% |
| High Economic Growth | | | | | |
| Cumulative carbon dioxide emissions | 131,675 | 131,862 | 132,016 | 131,957 | 132,095 |
| Change from baseline | | 187 | 341 | 282 | 420 |
| Percentage change from baseline | | 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.3% |

Source: U.S. Energy Information Administration, National Energy Modeling System, with emissions related to natural gas assumed to be consumed in the liquefaction process included.

Appendix A. Request Letter




Department of Energy

Washington, DC 20585

August 15, 2011

MEMORANDUM

TO: HOWARD K. GRUENSPECHT
ACTING ADMINISTRATOR
ENERGY INFORMATION ADMINISTRATION

FROM: CHARLES D. MCCONNELL
CHIEF OPERATING OFFICER
OFFICE OF FOSSIL ENERGY 

SUBJECT: **ACTION:** Request for EIA to Perform a Domestic Natural Gas Export Case Study

ISSUE: The Department of Energy's (DOE) Office of Fossil Energy (FE) must determine whether exports of liquefied natural gas (LNG) to non-free trade agreement countries are not inconsistent with the public interest. An independent case study analysis of the impact of increased domestic natural gas demand, as exports, under different incremental demand scenarios, performed by the Energy Information Administration (EIA) will be useful to assist DOE/FE in making future public interest determinations.

BACKGROUND: DOE/FE has been delegated the statutory responsibility under section 3 of the Natural Gas Act (NGA) (15 U.S.C. § 717b) to evaluate and approve or deny applications to import and export natural gas and liquefied natural gas to or from the United States. Applications to DOE/FE to export natural gas and LNG to non-free trade agreement countries are reviewed under section 3(a) of the NGA, under which FE must determine if the proposed export arrangements meet the public interest requirements of section 3 of the NGA.

To-date, DOE/FE has received applications for authority to export domestically produced LNG by vessel from three proposed liquefaction facilities, one application to export LNG by ISO containers on cargo carriers, and additional applications could be submitted by others in the future. Applications submitted to DOE/FE total 5.6 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/day) of natural gas to be exported from the United States, equal to over 8 percent of U.S. natural gas consumption in 2015 compared to the EIA reference case projection of 68.8 Bcf/day in 2015.¹

Studies and analyses submitted with, and in support of, LNG export applications to DOE/FE evaluated the impact LNG exports could have on domestic natural gas supply,

¹ EIA Annual Energy Outlook 2011 (AEO2011)



Printed with soy ink on recycled paper

demand and market prices. It would be helpful in DOE/FE reviews of these applications, and other potential applications, to understand the implications of additional natural gas demand (as exports) on domestic energy consumption, production, and prices under different scenarios.

Understanding that the domestic natural gas market is sensitive to a number of factors, including those highlighted on page 37 of the *AEO2011*, we request that EIA include sensitivity cases to explore some of these uncertainties, using the modeling analysis presented in the *AEO2011* as a starting point. The results of this study will be beneficial to DOE/FE by providing an independent assessment of how increased natural gas exports could affect domestic markets, and could be used in making future public interest determinations. The specific request of the study is provided in the attachment. We would like to receive the study, along with an analysis and commentary of the results by October 2011, and recognize that the study may be made available on EIA's website.

We are available to further discuss the study with your staff as they begin the study to clarify any issues associated with this request as needed.

RECOMMENDATION: That you approve this request.

APPROVE: _____ DISAPPROVE: _____ DATE: _____

ATTACHMENTS:

Impact of Higher Demand for U.S. Natural Gas on Domestic Energy Markets
Background: (15 U.S.C. § 717b)

Impact of Higher Demand for U.S. Natural Gas on Domestic Energy Markets

The Office of Fossil Energy (FE) requests the Energy Information Administration (EIA) to evaluate the impact of increased natural gas demand, reflecting possible exports of U.S. natural gas, on domestic energy markets using the modeling analysis presented in the *Annual Energy Outlook 2011 (AEO2011)* as a starting point. In discussions with EIA we learned that EIA's National Energy Modeling System is not designed to capture the impact of increased export-driven demand for natural gas on economy-wide economic indicators such as gross domestic product and employment, and that it does not include a representation of global natural gas markets. Therefore, EIA should focus its analysis on the implications of additional natural gas demand on domestic energy consumption, production, and prices.

The study should address scenarios reflecting export-related increases in natural gas demand of between 6 billion cubic feet per day (Bcf/d) and 12 Bcf/d that are phased in at rates of between 1 Bcf/d per year and 3 Bcf/d per year starting in 2015. Understanding that the domestic natural gas market is sensitive to a number of factors, including those highlighted on page 37 of the *AEO2011*, we request that EIA include sensitivity cases to explore some of these uncertainties. We are particularly interested in sensitivity cases relating to alternative recovery economics for shale gas resources, as in the *AEO2011 Low and High Shale EUR* cases, and a sensitivity case with increased baseline natural gas demand as in the *AEO2011 High Economic Growth* case.

The study report should review and synthesize the results obtained in the modeling work and include, as needed, discussions of context, caveats, issues and limitations that are relevant to the study. Please include tables or figures that summarize impacts on annual domestic natural gas prices, domestic natural gas production and consumption levels, domestic expenditures for natural gas and other relevant fuels, and revenues associated with the incremental export demand for natural gas. The standard *AEO 2011* reporting tables should also be provided, with the exception of tables reporting information that EIA considers to be spurious or misleading given the limitations of its modeling tools in addressing the study questions.

We would like to receive the completed analysis by October 2011 and recognize that EIA may post the study on its website after providing it to us.

Thank you for your attention to this request. Please do not hesitate to contact me (Charles D. McConnell) or John Anderson at 6-0521, if you have any questions.

Source: <http://uscode.house.gov/download/pls/15C15B.txt>

-CITE-

15 USC Sec. 717b

01/07/2011

-EXPCITE-

TITLE 15 - COMMERCE AND TRADE
CHAPTER 15B - NATURAL GAS

-HEAD-

Sec. 717b. Exportation or importation of natural gas; LNG terminals

-STATUTE-

(a) Mandatory authorization order

After six months from June 21, 1938, no person shall export any natural gas from the United States to a foreign country or import any natural gas from a foreign country without first having secured an order of the Commission authorizing it to do so. The Commission shall issue such order upon application, unless, after opportunity for hearing, it finds that the proposed exportation or importation will not be consistent with the public interest. The Commission may by its order grant such application, in whole or in part, with such modification and upon such terms and conditions as the Commission may find necessary or appropriate, and may from time to time, after opportunity for hearing, and for good cause shown, make such supplemental order in the premises as it may find necessary or appropriate.

(b) Free trade agreements

With respect to natural gas which is imported into the United States from a nation with which there is in effect a free trade agreement requiring national treatment for trade in natural gas, and with respect to liquefied natural gas -

(1) the importation of such natural gas shall be treated as a "first sale" within the meaning of section 3301(21) of this title; and

(2) the Commission shall not, on the basis of national origin, treat any such imported natural gas on an unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory, or preferential basis.

(c) Expedited application and approval process

For purposes of subsection (a) of this section, the importation of the natural gas referred to in subsection (b) of this section, or the exportation of natural gas to a nation with which there is in effect a free trade agreement requiring national treatment for trade in natural gas, shall be deemed to be consistent with the public interest, and applications for such importation or exportation shall be granted without modification or delay.

(d) Construction with other laws

Except as specifically provided in this chapter, nothing in this chapter affects the rights of States under -

(1) the Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1451 et seq.);

(2) the Clean Air Act (42 U.S.C. 7401 et seq.); or

(3) the Federal Water Pollution Control Act (33 U.S.C. 1251 et seq.).

(e) LNG terminals

(1) The Commission shall have the exclusive authority to approve

or deny an application for the siting, construction, expansion, or operation of an LNG terminal. Except as specifically provided in this chapter, nothing in this chapter is intended to affect otherwise applicable law related to any Federal agency's authorities or responsibilities related to LNG terminals.

(2) Upon the filing of any application to site, construct, expand, or operate an LNG terminal, the Commission shall -

(A) set the matter for hearing;

(B) give reasonable notice of the hearing to all interested persons, including the State commission of the State in which the LNG terminal is located and, if not the same, the Governor-appointed State agency described in section 717b-1 of this title;

(C) decide the matter in accordance with this subsection; and

(D) issue or deny the appropriate order accordingly.

(3) (A) Except as provided in subparagraph (B), the Commission may approve an application described in paragraph (2), in whole or part, with such modifications and upon such terms and conditions as the Commission find (!) necessary or appropriate.

(B) Before January 1, 2015, the Commission shall not -

(i) deny an application solely on the basis that the applicant proposes to use the LNG terminal exclusively or partially for gas that the applicant or an affiliate of the applicant will supply to the facility; or

(ii) condition an order on -

(I) a requirement that the LNG terminal offer service to customers other than the applicant, or any affiliate of the applicant, securing the order;

(II) any regulation of the rates, charges, terms, or conditions of service of the LNG terminal; or

(III) a requirement to file with the Commission schedules or contracts related to the rates, charges, terms, or conditions of service of the LNG terminal.

(C) Subparagraph (B) shall cease to have effect on January 1, 2030.

(4) An order issued for an LNG terminal that also offers service to customers on an open access basis shall not result in subsidization of expansion capacity by existing customers, degradation of service to existing customers, or undue discrimination against existing customers as to their terms or conditions of service at the facility, as all of those terms are defined by the Commission.

(f) Military installations

(1) In this subsection, the term "military installation" -

(A) means a base, camp, post, range, station, yard, center, or homeport facility for any ship or other activity under the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense, including any leased facility, that is located within a State, the District of Columbia, or any territory of the United States; and

(B) does not include any facility used primarily for civil works, rivers and harbors projects, or flood control projects, as determined by the Secretary of Defense.

(2) The Commission shall enter into a memorandum of understanding

with the Secretary of Defense for the purpose of ensuring that the Commission coordinate and consult (1) with the Secretary of Defense on the siting, construction, expansion, or operation of liquefied natural gas facilities that may affect an active military installation.

(3) The Commission shall obtain the concurrence of the Secretary of Defense before authorizing the siting, construction, expansion, or operation of liquefied natural gas facilities affecting the training or activities of an active military installation.

-SOURCE-

(June 21, 1938, ch. 556, Sec. 3, 52 Stat. 822; Pub. L. 102-486, title II, Sec. 201, Oct. 24, 1992, 106 Stat. 2866; Pub. L. 109-58, title III, Sec. 311(c), Aug. 8, 2005, 119 Stat. 685.)

-REFTEXT-

REFERENCES IN TEXT

The Coastal Zone Management Act of 1972, referred to in subsec. (d)(1), is title III of Pub. L. 89-454 as added by Pub. L. 92-583, Oct. 27, 1972, 86 Stat. 1280, as amended, which is classified generally to chapter 33 (Sec. 1451 et seq.) of Title 16, Conservation. For complete classification of this Act to the Code, see Short Title note set out under section 1451 of Title 16 and Tables.

The Clean Air Act, referred to in subsec. (d)(2), is act July 14, 1955, ch. 360, 69 Stat. 322, as amended, which is classified generally to chapter 85 (Sec. 7401 et seq.) of Title 42, The Public Health and Welfare. For complete classification of this Act to the Code, see Short Title note set out under section 7401 of Title 42 and Tables.

The Federal Water Pollution Control Act, referred to in subsec. (d)(3), is act June 30, 1948, ch. 758, as amended generally by Pub. L. 92-500, Sec. 2, Oct. 18, 1972, 86 Stat. 816, which is classified generally to chapter 26 (Sec. 1251 et seq.) of Title 33, Navigation and Navigable Waters. For complete classification of this Act to the Code, see Short Title note set out under section 1251 of Title 33 and Tables.

-MISC1-

AMENDMENTS

2005 - Pub. L. 109-58, Sec. 311(c)(1), inserted "; LNG terminals" after "natural gas" in section catchline.

Subsecs. (d) to (f). Pub. L. 109-58, Sec. 311(c)(2), added subsecs. (d) to (f).

1992 - Pub. L. 102-486 designated existing provisions as subsec. (a) and added subsecs. (b) and (c).

-TRANS-

TRANSFER OF FUNCTIONS

Enforcement functions of Secretary or other official in Department of Energy and Commission, Commissioners, or other official in Federal Energy Regulatory Commission related to compliance with authorizations for importation of natural gas from Alberta as pre-deliveries of Alaskan gas issued under this section

with respect to pre-construction, construction, and initial operation of transportation system for Canadian and Alaskan natural gas transferred to the Federal Inspector, Office of Federal Inspector for Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System, until first anniversary of date of initial operation of Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System, see Reorg. Plan No. 1 of 1979, Secs. 102(d), 203(a), 44 F.R. 33663, 33666, 93 Stat. 1373, 1376, effective July 1, 1979, set out under section 719e of this title. Office of Federal Inspector for the Alaska Natural Gas Transportation System abolished and functions and authority vested in Inspector transferred to Secretary of Energy by section 3012(b) of Pub. L. 102-486, set out as an Abolition of Office of Federal Inspector note under section 719e of this title. Functions and authority vested in Secretary of Energy subsequently transferred to Federal Coordinator for Alaska Natural Gas Transportation Projects by section 720d(f) of this title.

DELEGATION OF FUNCTIONS

Functions of President respecting certain facilities constructed and maintained on United States borders delegated to Secretary of State, see Ex. Ord. No. 11423, Aug. 16, 1968, 33 F.R. 11741, set out as a note under section 301 of Title 3, The President.

-EXEC-

EX. ORD. NO. 10485. PERFORMANCE OF FUNCTIONS RESPECTING ELECTRIC POWER AND NATURAL GAS FACILITIES LOCATED ON UNITED STATES BORDERS
Ex. Ord. No. 10485. Sept. 3, 1953, 18 F.R. 5397, as amended by Ex. Ord. No. 12038, Feb. 3, 1978, 43 F.R. 4957, provided:

Section 1. (a) The Secretary of Energy is hereby designated and empowered to perform the following-described functions:

(1) To receive all applications for permits for the construction, operation, maintenance, or connection, at the borders of the United States, of facilities for the transmission of electric energy between the United States and a foreign country.

(2) To receive all applications for permits for the construction, operation, maintenance, or connection, at the borders of the United States, of facilities for the exportation or importation of natural gas to or from a foreign country.

(3) Upon finding the issuance of the permit to be consistent with the public interest, and, after obtaining the favorable recommendations of the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense thereon, to issue to the applicant, as appropriate, a permit for such construction, operation, maintenance, or connection. The Secretary of Energy shall have the power to attach to the issuance of the permit and to the exercise of the rights granted thereunder such conditions as the public interest may in its judgment require.

(b) In any case wherein the Secretary of Energy, the Secretary of State, and the Secretary of Defense cannot agree as to whether or not a permit should be issued, the Secretary of Energy shall submit to the President for approval or disapproval the application for a permit with the respective views of the Secretary of Energy, the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense.

Sec. 2. [Deleted.]

Sec. 3. The Secretary of Energy is authorized to issue such rules and regulations, and to prescribe such procedures, as it may from

time to time deem necessary or desirable for the exercise of the authority delegated to it by this order.

Sec. 4. All Presidential Permits heretofore issued pursuant to Executive Order No. 8202 of July 13, 1939, and in force at the time of the issuance of this order, and all permits issued hereunder, shall remain in full force and effect until modified or revoked by the President or by the Secretary of Energy.

Sec. 5. Executive Order No. 8202 of July 13, 1939, is hereby revoked.

-FOOTNOTE-

(!1) So in original. Probably should be "finds".

(!2) So in original. Probably should be "coordinates and consults".

-End-

Appendix B. Summary Tables

Table B1. U.S. Annual Average Values from 2015 to 2025

| | Reference | | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | (1.90) | (0.29) | 0.11 | 0.17 | 1.74 | (1.32) | 0.32 | 0.70 | 0.79 | 2.35 | (2.72) | (1.17) | (0.88) | (0.73) | 0.66 | (2.00) | (0.38) | 0.01 | 0.07 | 1.64 |
| gross imports | 3.62 | 3.70 | 3.70 | 3.74 | 3.76 | 3.19 | 3.25 | 3.26 | 3.27 | 3.31 | 4.27 | 4.42 | 4.53 | 4.48 | 4.68 | 3.70 | 3.78 | 3.79 | 3.82 | 3.85 |
| gross exports | 1.72 | 3.41 | 3.81 | 3.91 | 5.50 | 1.87 | 3.56 | 3.96 | 4.06 | 5.65 | 1.56 | 3.25 | 3.65 | 3.75 | 5.34 | 1.70 | 3.39 | 3.79 | 3.89 | 5.49 |
| Dry Production | 23.27 | 24.15 | 24.37 | 24.42 | 25.33 | 26.24 | 27.28 | 27.51 | 27.57 | 28.41 | 19.80 | 20.72 | 20.78 | 20.99 | 21.83 | 23.85 | 24.90 | 25.10 | 25.22 | 26.20 |
| shale gas | 8.34 | 8.96 | 9.17 | 9.13 | 9.90 | 11.90 | 12.66 | 12.87 | 12.89 | 13.64 | 3.88 | 4.42 | 4.63 | 4.53 | 5.22 | 8.73 | 9.49 | 9.70 | 9.69 | 10.51 |
| other | 14.93 | 15.18 | 15.20 | 15.29 | 15.43 | 14.34 | 14.61 | 14.65 | 14.68 | 14.77 | 15.91 | 16.30 | 16.15 | 16.45 | 16.62 | 15.12 | 15.41 | 15.39 | 15.53 | 15.70 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | 23.34 | 22.57 | 22.38 | 22.37 | 21.68 | 25.58 | 24.94 | 24.79 | 24.75 | 24.00 | 20.82 | 20.13 | 19.90 | 19.94 | 19.35 | 23.99 | 23.37 | 23.17 | 23.22 | 22.60 |
| electric generators | 6.81 | 6.25 | 6.16 | 6.11 | 5.67 | 8.35 | 7.94 | 7.88 | 7.80 | 7.30 | 5.07 | 4.66 | 4.55 | 4.54 | 4.23 | 6.99 | 6.63 | 6.53 | 6.54 | 6.21 |
| industrial | 8.14 | 8.01 | 7.95 | 7.98 | 7.83 | 8.55 | 8.40 | 8.34 | 8.37 | 8.19 | 7.74 | 7.58 | 7.51 | 7.56 | 7.38 | 8.50 | 8.34 | 8.27 | 8.30 | 8.12 |
| residential | 4.83 | 4.80 | 4.79 | 4.79 | 4.75 | 4.94 | 4.92 | 4.90 | 4.91 | 4.87 | 4.68 | 4.63 | 4.61 | 4.62 | 4.57 | 4.90 | 4.86 | 4.85 | 4.85 | 4.81 |
| commercial | 3.48 | 3.44 | 3.42 | 3.42 | 3.37 | 3.65 | 3.61 | 3.59 | 3.60 | 3.55 | 3.27 | 3.20 | 3.17 | 3.18 | 3.11 | 3.52 | 3.46 | 3.45 | 3.45 | 3.39 |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 11.19 | 11.63 | 11.77 | 11.81 | 12.33 | 9.92 | 10.24 | 10.37 | 10.36 | 10.72 | 13.23 | 14.05 | 14.27 | 14.42 | 15.10 | 11.56 | 12.09 | 12.21 | 12.29 | 12.87 |
| commercial | 9.23 | 9.66 | 9.79 | 9.83 | 10.34 | 7.97 | 8.28 | 8.40 | 8.39 | 8.74 | 11.27 | 12.09 | 12.31 | 12.46 | 13.16 | 9.60 | 10.12 | 10.24 | 10.31 | 10.88 |
| industrial | 5.59 | 6.10 | 6.25 | 6.32 | 6.91 | 4.41 | 4.80 | 4.95 | 4.94 | 5.41 | 7.50 | 8.40 | 8.62 | 8.83 | 9.59 | 5.89 | 6.49 | 6.63 | 6.73 | 7.41 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 4.70 | 5.17 | 5.30 | 5.37 | 5.91 | 3.56 | 3.90 | 4.02 | 4.03 | 4.42 | 6.52 | 7.41 | 7.63 | 7.84 | 8.64 | 4.99 | 5.54 | 5.66 | 5.77 | 6.39 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 5.17 | 5.69 | 5.83 | 5.91 | 6.51 | 3.92 | 4.29 | 4.43 | 4.43 | 4.87 | 7.18 | 8.16 | 8.41 | 8.64 | 9.51 | 5.49 | 6.10 | 6.23 | 6.35 | 7.04 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 32.67 | 32.76 | 32.89 | 32.89 | 32.89 | 32.33 | 32.69 | 32.52 | 32.59 | 32.77 | 32.91 | 33.15 | 33.10 | 32.97 | 33.04 | 33.23 | 33.18 | 33.06 | 33.33 | 33.28 |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 8.85 | 8.98 | 9.00 | 9.02 | 9.17 | 8.56 | 8.62 | 8.67 | 8.64 | 8.70 | 9.44 | 9.64 | 9.71 | 9.78 | 9.97 | 9.08 | 9.26 | 9.27 | 9.32 | 9.46 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 9.47 | 20.64 | 23.25 | 25.10 | 37.74 | 7.51 | 16.01 | 18.17 | 19.27 | 28.89 | 12.83 | 29.03 | 32.72 | 36.09 | 53.91 | 10.04 | 22.11 | 24.82 | 26.97 | 40.81 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 160.19 | 175.25 | 179.33 | 181.70 | 199.21 | 147.33 | 159.55 | 163.65 | 164.23 | 177.50 | 177.88 | 201.92 | 206.65 | 213.21 | 236.34 | 171.34 | 190.13 | 193.88 | 197.79 | 218.78 |
| production revenues (4) | 109.53 | 125.29 | 129.41 | 132.23 | 150.47 | 93.68 | 106.70 | 111.00 | 111.90 | 126.30 | 129.24 | 154.00 | 158.75 | 165.84 | 189.27 | 119.39 | 138.71 | 142.53 | 146.83 | 168.64 |
| delivery revenues (5) | 50.65 | 49.97 | 49.92 | 49.46 | 48.74 | 53.65 | 52.85 | 52.65 | 52.33 | 51.20 | 48.64 | 47.92 | 47.91 | 47.37 | 47.07 | 51.94 | 51.41 | 51.36 | 50.96 | 50.14 |
| Import Revenues (6) | 17.44 | 19.22 | 19.72 | 19.92 | 21.97 | 12.09 | 13.35 | 13.86 | 13.83 | 15.35 | 28.00 | 31.62 | 33.03 | 33.32 | 36.58 | 18.96 | 21.07 | 21.66 | 21.94 | 24.19 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 1,398.11 | 1,409.25 | 1,410.59 | 1,414.03 | 1,424.75 | 1,368.25 | 1,375.50 | 1,377.65 | 1,379.69 | 1,386.87 | 1,448.36 | 1,465.24 | 1,469.02 | 1,473.83 | 1,482.50 | 1,485.34 | 1,498.28 | 1,499.67 | 1,504.03 | 1,514.65 |
| natural gas | 913.43 | 914.55 | 913.66 | 915.34 | 915.15 | 908.98 | 909.65 | 908.67 | 911.23 | 911.57 | 920.92 | 921.56 | 921.21 | 920.98 | 916.83 | 971.80 | 971.63 | 971.22 | 972.09 | 970.98 |
| electricity | 128.00 | 133.77 | 135.27 | 136.30 | 142.58 | 113.26 | 117.51 | 119.11 | 119.24 | 123.94 | 151.16 | 161.03 | 163.24 | 165.90 | 173.42 | 136.49 | 143.47 | 144.71 | 146.37 | 153.61 |
| coal | 349.77 | 354.03 | 354.76 | 355.46 | 360.10 | 339.21 | 341.51 | 343.06 | 342.39 | 344.53 | 369.28 | 375.68 | 377.60 | 379.98 | 385.31 | 369.58 | 375.70 | 376.28 | 378.08 | 382.59 |
| other | 6.90 | 6.91 | 6.91 | 6.93 | 6.92 | 6.80 | 6.82 | 6.81 | 6.83 | 6.83 | 6.99 | 6.98 | 6.97 | 6.97 | 6.94 | 7.47 | 7.49 | 7.46 | 7.49 | 7.46 |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 67.88 | 67.68 | 67.59 | 67.67 | 67.37 | 68.58 | 68.40 | 68.28 | 68.37 | 68.11 | 66.93 | 66.63 | 66.49 | 66.54 | 66.20 | 70.23 | 70.02 | 69.89 | 69.98 | 69.64 |
| natural gas | 36.71 | 36.74 | 36.74 | 36.78 | 36.78 | 36.67 | 36.71 | 36.71 | 36.74 | 36.75 | 36.71 | 36.72 | 36.71 | 36.74 | 36.73 | 38.13 | 38.18 | 38.16 | 38.20 | 38.20 |
| electricity | 16.04 | 15.85 | 15.76 | 15.81 | 15.55 | 16.76 | 16.55 | 16.45 | 16.49 | 16.23 | 15.22 | 14.97 | 14.86 | 14.91 | 14.65 | 16.49 | 16.26 | 16.16 | 16.21 | 15.92 |
| coal | 13.44 | 13.41 | 13.41 | 13.41 | 13.37 | 13.48 | 13.47 | 13.46 | 13.48 | 13.47 | 13.32 | 13.26 | 13.24 | 13.22 | 13.16 | 13.84 | 13.81 | 13.80 | 13.79 | 13.75 |
| other | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.67 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.68 | 1.67 | 1.77 | 1.77 | 1.77 | 1.77 | 1.76 |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coal | 4,456.38 | 4,441.98 | 4,437.47 | 4,441.10 | 4,422.62 | 4,492.78 | 4,484.65 | 4,477.63 | 4,483.35 | 4,471.75 | 4,391.20 | 4,369.32 | 4,360.19 | 4,356.29 | 4,329.07 | 4,594.62 | 4,577.41 | 4,572.19 | 4,572.39 | 4,552.42 |
| gas | 1,921.25 | 1,982.85 | 1,995.33 | 1,999.09 | 2,044.09 | 1,756.51 | 1,808.90 | 1,813.78 | 1,828.74 | 1,885.58 | 2,093.76 | 2,132.35 | 2,134.49 | 2,123.82 | 2,139.82 | 2,004.09 | 2,036.83 | 2,052.54 | 2,043.09 | 2,073.78 |
| nuclear | 999.19 | 918.42 | 902.15 | 898.01 | 829.83 | 1,232.25 | 1,170.15 | 1,158.31 | 1,147.99 | 1,070.38 | 733.83 | 671.33 | 653.23 | 655.42 | 608.52 | 1,036.47 | 978.19 | 959.84 | 964.71 | 909.63 |
| renewables | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 850.50 | 850.50 | 850.50 | 851.17 | 855.05 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 | 866.34 |
| other | 610.16 | 614.27 | 613.17 | 617.16 | 621.29 | 593.01 | 594.47 | 595.24 | 594.57 | 599.35 | 636.27 | 638.25 | 645.09 | 648.70 | 651.89 | 626.90 | 634.74 | 632.26 | 636.59 | 641.06 |
| total | 59.43 | 60.11 | 60.48 | 60.50 | 61.08 | 60.51 | 60.63 | 59.80 | 60.87 | 61.39 | 61.00 | 61.04 | 61.03 | 62.00 | 62.50 | 60.83 | 61.30 | 61.21 | 61.65 | 61.61 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | 104.89 | 104.90 | 104.87 | 104.98 | 104.91 | 105.24 | 105.25 | 105.14 | 105.32 | 105.27 | 104.34 | 104.16 | 104.07 | 104.06 | 103.75 | 108.35 | 108.31 | 108.25 | 108.36 | 108.12 |
| Imports | 28.62 | 28.75 | 28.72 | 28.78 | 28.90 | 27.69 | 27.73 | 27.77 | 27.87 | 27.94 | 29.78 | 29.83 | 29.92 | 29.98 | 30.08 | 30.06 | 30.22 | 30.21 | 30.24 | 30.28 |
| Exports | 7.06 | 8.76 | 9.15 | 9.26 | 10.86 | 7.20 | 8.92 | 9.32 | 9.43 | 11.03 | 6.85 | 8.54 | 8.93 | 9.01 | 10.60 | 7.10 | 8.80 | 9.20 | 9.30 | 10.90 |
| Production | 83.14 | 84.73 | 85.12 | 85.28 | 86.71 | 84.63 | 86.34 | 86.60 | 86.79 | 88.26 | 81.15 | 82.63 | 82.84 | 82.86 | 84.05 | 85.16 | 86.66 | 87.01 | 87.18 | 88.52 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,793.73 | 5,832.23 | 5,837.67 | 5,846.39 | 5,869.62 | 5,754.36 | 5,787.50 | 5,787.31 | 5,804.76 | 5,833.35 | 5,832.09 | 5,853.23 | 5,846.94 | 5,841.58 | 5,843.35 | 6,017.09 | 6,037.23 | 6,043.12 | 6,043.12 | 6,055.08 |

Table B2. Differential from Base in U.S. Average Annual Values from 2015 to 2025 when Exports are Added

| | Reference | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | 1.61 | 2.00 | 2.07 | 3.64 | 1.64 | 2.02 | 2.11 | 3.67 | 1.55 | 1.84 | 1.99 | 3.38 | 1.62 | 2.01 | 2.07 | 3.64 |
| gross imports | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.20 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.12 | 0.14 |
| gross exports | 1.69 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 3.78 | 1.69 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 3.78 | 1.69 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 3.78 | 1.69 | 2.09 | 2.19 | 3.78 |
| Dry Production | 0.87 | 1.09 | 1.15 | 2.05 | 1.04 | 1.28 | 1.33 | 2.17 | 0.92 | 0.98 | 1.19 | 2.04 | 1.05 | 1.24 | 1.37 | 2.35 |
| shale gas | 0.62 | 0.82 | 0.79 | 1.55 | 0.77 | 0.97 | 0.99 | 1.74 | 0.53 | 0.75 | 0.65 | 1.33 | 0.76 | 0.97 | 0.96 | 1.78 |
| other | 0.25 | 0.27 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.27 | 0.31 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.39 | 0.24 | 0.54 | 0.71 | 0.29 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.57 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | (0.77) | (0.95) | (0.97) | (1.66) | (0.64) | (0.80) | (0.84) | (1.59) | (0.69) | (0.91) | (0.88) | (1.46) | (0.62) | (0.82) | (0.77) | (1.39) |
| electric generators | (0.57) | (0.66) | (0.71) | (1.15) | (0.42) | (0.47) | (0.55) | (1.05) | (0.41) | (0.52) | (0.53) | (0.84) | (0.36) | (0.46) | (0.45) | (0.78) |
| industrial | (0.13) | (0.19) | (0.16) | (0.32) | (0.15) | (0.22) | (0.19) | (0.36) | (0.15) | (0.23) | (0.18) | (0.35) | (0.16) | (0.23) | (0.20) | (0.38) |
| residential | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.08) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.07) | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.11) | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.09) |
| commercial | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.11) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.05) | (0.10) | (0.07) | (0.09) | (0.09) | (0.15) | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.13) |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 0.44 | 0.58 | 0.62 | 1.14 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.44 | 0.80 | 0.81 | 1.03 | 1.18 | 1.87 | 0.53 | 0.65 | 0.72 | 1.31 |
| commercial | 0.43 | 0.57 | 0.61 | 1.12 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.76 | 0.82 | 1.04 | 1.19 | 1.89 | 0.52 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 1.28 |
| industrial | 0.51 | 0.66 | 0.73 | 1.32 | 0.39 | 0.54 | 0.54 | 1.00 | 0.90 | 1.13 | 1.33 | 2.09 | 0.61 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 1.52 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 0.47 | 0.60 | 0.68 | 1.21 | 0.33 | 0.46 | 0.47 | 0.86 | 0.88 | 1.11 | 1.32 | 2.11 | 0.55 | 0.67 | 0.77 | 1.40 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 0.52 | 0.66 | 0.74 | 1.34 | 0.37 | 0.51 | 0.51 | 0.95 | 0.97 | 1.22 | 1.46 | 2.33 | 0.60 | 0.74 | 0.85 | 1.54 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 0.09 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.19 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.24 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.13 | (0.05) | (0.17) | 0.11 | 0.06 |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 0.13 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.08 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.38 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 11.17 | 13.77 | 15.63 | 28.26 | 8.50 | 10.65 | 11.75 | 21.38 | 16.20 | 19.89 | 23.25 | 41.08 | 12.07 | 14.79 | 16.93 | 30.78 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 15.07 | 19.14 | 21.51 | 39.02 | 12.22 | 16.32 | 16.91 | 30.17 | 24.04 | 28.77 | 35.33 | 58.46 | 18.79 | 22.55 | 26.46 | 47.44 |
| production revenues (4) | 15.75 | 19.88 | 22.70 | 40.93 | 13.02 | 17.31 | 18.22 | 32.62 | 24.76 | 29.51 | 36.60 | 60.03 | 19.32 | 23.13 | 27.44 | 49.24 |
| delivery revenues (5) | (0.68) | (0.74) | (1.19) | (1.91) | (0.80) | (0.99) | (1.32) | (2.45) | (0.72) | (0.74) | (1.28) | (1.58) | (0.53) | (0.59) | (0.98) | (1.80) |
| Import Revenues (6) | 1.78 | 2.28 | 2.48 | 4.53 | 1.26 | 1.77 | 1.74 | 3.26 | 3.62 | 5.03 | 5.32 | 8.58 | 2.12 | 2.70 | 2.99 | 5.24 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 11.15 | 12.49 | 15.92 | 26.65 | 7.26 | 9.40 | 11.44 | 18.63 | 16.89 | 20.67 | 25.47 | 34.14 | 12.94 | 14.33 | 18.69 | 29.31 |
| natural gas | 1.12 | 0.22 | 1.91 | 1.72 | 0.68 | (0.30) | 2.26 | 2.60 | 0.64 | 0.29 | 0.05 | (4.09) | (0.18) | (0.59) | 0.29 | (0.82) |
| electricity | 5.76 | 7.26 | 8.30 | 14.58 | 4.26 | 5.85 | 5.98 | 10.68 | 9.86 | 12.07 | 14.73 | 22.25 | 6.98 | 8.22 | 9.88 | 17.12 |
| coal | 4.26 | 4.99 | 5.69 | 10.32 | 2.31 | 3.85 | 3.18 | 5.32 | 6.39 | 8.31 | 10.70 | 16.02 | 6.12 | 6.70 | 8.50 | 13.01 |
| coal | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.04) | 0.02 | (0.01) | 0.02 | (0.00) |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | (0.20) | (0.29) | (0.21) | (0.50) | (0.18) | (0.30) | (0.21) | (0.47) | (0.30) | (0.44) | (0.38) | (0.73) | (0.22) | (0.34) | (0.26) | (0.60) |
| natural gas | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.08 | 0.01 | (0.00) | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 |
| electricity | (0.19) | (0.28) | (0.23) | (0.49) | (0.22) | (0.32) | (0.27) | (0.53) | (0.25) | (0.36) | (0.31) | (0.57) | (0.24) | (0.34) | (0.28) | (0.57) |
| coal | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.08) | (0.00) | (0.02) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.06) | (0.08) | (0.09) | (0.16) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.09) |
| coal | (0.00) | (0.00) | 0.00 | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) | 0.00 | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.00) | 0.00 | (0.01) |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coal | (14.39) | (18.91) | (15.27) | (33.75) | (8.13) | (15.15) | (9.43) | (21.02) | (21.89) | (31.02) | (34.92) | (62.13) | (17.21) | (22.43) | (22.23) | (42.20) |
| gas | 61.59 | 74.07 | 77.84 | 122.84 | 52.39 | 57.26 | 72.23 | 129.07 | 38.59 | 40.73 | 30.06 | 46.06 | 32.74 | 48.46 | 39.01 | 69.70 |
| nuclear | (80.76) | (97.03) | (101.17) | (169.36) | (62.10) | (73.94) | (84.25) | (161.86) | (62.50) | (80.59) | (78.41) | (125.31) | (58.28) | (76.63) | (71.76) | (126.84) |
| nuclear | - | - | - | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 4.55 | (0.00) | - | - | (0.00) | - | - | - | - |
| renewables | 4.10 | 3.00 | 7.00 | 11.12 | 1.46 | 2.24 | 1.57 | 6.35 | 1.98 | 8.82 | 12.43 | 15.61 | 7.85 | 5.36 | 9.70 | 14.17 |
| other | 0.67 | 1.04 | 1.07 | 1.64 | 0.11 | (0.71) | 0.36 | 0.88 | 0.04 | 0.03 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 0.47 | 0.38 | 0.82 | 0.78 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | 0.02 | (0.02) | 0.09 | 0.02 | 0.01 | (0.09) | 0.08 | 0.03 | (0.18) | (0.27) | (0.28) | (0.59) | (0.03) | (0.10) | 0.01 | (0.23) |
| Imports | 0.13 | 0.10 | 0.16 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.08 | 0.18 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.14 | 0.20 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.18 | 0.22 |
| Exports | 1.70 | 2.09 | 2.20 | 3.79 | 1.72 | 2.12 | 2.23 | 3.83 | 1.69 | 2.08 | 2.16 | 3.75 | 1.70 | 2.10 | 2.20 | 3.80 |
| Production | 1.59 | 1.98 | 2.14 | 3.58 | 1.71 | 1.96 | 2.16 | 3.63 | 1.47 | 1.69 | 1.71 | 2.90 | 1.50 | 1.85 | 2.02 | 3.36 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 38.50 | 43.94 | 52.67 | 75.90 | 33.14 | 32.94 | 50.39 | 78.99 | 21.14 | 14.85 | 9.48 | 11.26 | 20.14 | 26.03 | 26.03 | 37.99 |

Table B3. U.S. Annual Average Values from 2025 to 2035

| | Reference | | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | (0.71) | 1.48 | 1.48 | 3.52 | 3.57 | 0.10 | 2.16 | 2.15 | 4.19 | 4.20 | (2.09) | (0.21) | (0.33) | 1.83 | 1.76 | (0.88) | 1.29 | 1.29 | 3.21 | 3.38 |
| gross imports | 2.98 | 2.99 | 2.98 | 3.10 | 3.09 | 2.47 | 2.60 | 2.61 | 2.73 | 2.75 | 3.99 | 4.30 | 4.42 | 4.41 | 4.52 | 3.09 | 3.11 | 3.11 | 3.35 | 3.21 |
| gross exports | 2.28 | 4.47 | 4.47 | 6.62 | 6.66 | 2.57 | 4.76 | 4.76 | 6.91 | 6.95 | 1.90 | 4.09 | 4.09 | 6.25 | 6.28 | 2.21 | 4.40 | 4.40 | 6.56 | 6.59 |
| Dry Production | 25.07 | 26.58 | 26.66 | 28.08 | 28.23 | 28.73 | 30.16 | 30.21 | 31.50 | 31.51 | 20.98 | 22.22 | 22.24 | 23.61 | 23.89 | 26.84 | 28.59 | 28.55 | 29.99 | 30.31 |
| shale gas | 10.96 | 12.08 | 12.10 | 13.10 | 13.27 | 15.51 | 16.70 | 16.75 | 17.75 | 17.74 | 5.22 | 6.06 | 6.13 | 6.78 | 6.97 | 12.19 | 13.49 | 13.47 | 14.49 | 14.75 |
| other | 14.12 | 14.49 | 14.56 | 14.98 | 14.96 | 13.21 | 13.46 | 13.47 | 13.75 | 13.77 | 15.76 | 16.16 | 16.11 | 16.83 | 16.91 | 14.65 | 15.10 | 15.08 | 15.50 | 15.56 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | 23.96 | 23.22 | 23.29 | 22.60 | 22.70 | 26.63 | 25.94 | 26.00 | 25.19 | 25.19 | 21.41 | 20.69 | 20.82 | 19.97 | 20.27 | 25.80 | 25.29 | 25.26 | 24.72 | 24.85 |
| electric generators | 7.27 | 6.87 | 6.95 | 6.56 | 6.66 | 8.89 | 8.55 | 8.65 | 8.11 | 8.20 | 5.78 | 5.28 | 5.41 | 4.82 | 5.08 | 8.21 | 8.04 | 8.03 | 7.77 | 7.93 |
| industrial | 8.06 | 7.82 | 7.81 | 7.62 | 7.60 | 8.68 | 8.45 | 8.42 | 8.25 | 8.16 | 7.47 | 7.34 | 7.32 | 7.20 | 7.19 | 8.68 | 8.43 | 8.40 | 8.22 | 8.18 |
| residential | 4.82 | 4.78 | 4.78 | 4.73 | 4.74 | 4.95 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.88 | 4.88 | 4.64 | 4.61 | 4.61 | 4.56 | 4.58 | 5.01 | 4.97 | 4.97 | 4.93 | 4.94 |
| commercial | 3.68 | 3.62 | 3.62 | 3.56 | 3.57 | 3.91 | 3.85 | 3.85 | 3.80 | 3.80 | 3.40 | 3.36 | 3.37 | 3.29 | 3.32 | 3.75 | 3.70 | 3.71 | 3.66 | 3.66 |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 12.90 | 13.45 | 13.39 | 14.05 | 13.85 | 11.31 | 11.66 | 11.68 | 12.10 | 11.98 | 15.49 | 15.96 | 15.83 | 16.76 | 16.27 | 13.70 | 14.13 | 14.06 | 14.67 | 14.51 |
| commercial | 10.61 | 11.15 | 11.09 | 11.73 | 11.54 | 9.01 | 9.34 | 9.36 | 9.75 | 9.63 | 13.24 | 13.71 | 13.58 | 14.53 | 14.02 | 11.39 | 11.80 | 11.73 | 12.32 | 12.15 |
| industrial | 6.82 | 7.43 | 7.36 | 8.26 | 7.98 | 5.39 | 5.86 | 5.88 | 6.46 | 6.32 | 9.30 | 9.79 | 9.66 | 10.69 | 10.09 | 7.50 | 8.05 | 7.96 | 8.82 | 8.59 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 5.88 | 6.42 | 6.35 | 7.14 | 6.88 | 4.45 | 4.82 | 4.83 | 5.31 | 5.17 | 8.25 | 8.77 | 8.68 | 9.69 | 9.10 | 6.52 | 6.98 | 6.90 | 7.67 | 7.43 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 6.47 | 7.06 | 6.99 | 7.86 | 7.58 | 4.90 | 5.30 | 5.31 | 5.85 | 5.69 | 9.08 | 9.66 | 9.56 | 10.67 | 10.02 | 7.18 | 7.68 | 7.60 | 8.45 | 8.18 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 33.46 | 33.51 | 33.43 | 33.68 | 33.43 | 33.20 | 33.45 | 33.21 | 33.42 | 33.25 | 33.77 | 34.11 | 33.89 | 33.76 | 33.85 | 34.30 | 34.01 | 33.95 | 33.99 | 34.16 |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 9.02 | 9.17 | 9.15 | 9.36 | 9.28 | 8.57 | 8.65 | 8.67 | 8.75 | 8.69 | 9.86 | 9.98 | 9.94 | 10.25 | 10.06 | 9.50 | 9.67 | 9.63 | 9.90 | 9.78 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 12.81 | 29.82 | 29.50 | 50.58 | 48.98 | 10.46 | 23.42 | 23.49 | 38.88 | 38.06 | 17.38 | 39.57 | 38.98 | 66.69 | 62.90 | 14.21 | 32.48 | 32.11 | 54.16 | 52.87 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 199.45 | 221.98 | 220.95 | 249.66 | 244.39 | 184.30 | 200.41 | 201.19 | 220.08 | 216.08 | 222.71 | 243.85 | 242.19 | 276.77 | 266.61 | 230.96 | 254.64 | 252.33 | 282.66 | 278.95 |
| production revenues (4) | 147.54 | 170.77 | 169.47 | 200.63 | 194.52 | 128.09 | 145.41 | 146.06 | 167.45 | 162.93 | 173.25 | 194.92 | 193.13 | 228.66 | 217.47 | 175.63 | 199.91 | 197.44 | 230.19 | 225.48 |
| delivery revenues (5) | 51.91 | 51.21 | 51.48 | 49.03 | 49.87 | 56.21 | 55.00 | 55.13 | 52.63 | 53.14 | 49.47 | 48.94 | 49.06 | 48.11 | 49.13 | 55.33 | 54.74 | 54.89 | 52.47 | 53.47 |
| Import Revenues (6) | 18.06 | 19.89 | 19.65 | 22.97 | 22.09 | 11.69 | 13.64 | 13.75 | 16.04 | 15.80 | 33.87 | 37.50 | 37.30 | 41.19 | 39.73 | 20.96 | 22.75 | 22.52 | 26.35 | 24.99 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 1,582.70 | 1,589.93 | 1,589.52 | 1,602.94 | 1,596.44 | 1,543.37 | 1,552.01 | 1,553.43 | 1,559.62 | 1,552.40 | 1,648.34 | 1,658.55 | 1,651.04 | 1,673.64 | 1,651.53 | 1,766.94 | 1,773.78 | 1,770.57 | 1,786.74 | 1,777.53 |
| natural gas | 1,036.91 | 1,032.47 | 1,033.91 | 1,030.97 | 1,030.61 | 1,032.78 | 1,033.84 | 1,034.44 | 1,031.39 | 1,028.44 | 1,044.39 | 1,046.22 | 1,041.53 | 1,044.12 | 1,034.65 | 1,156.40 | 1,151.96 | 1,151.22 | 1,149.05 | 1,147.03 |
| electricity | 152.47 | 158.71 | 157.65 | 166.94 | 163.18 | 136.00 | 140.12 | 140.18 | 146.00 | 143.37 | 180.36 | 184.84 | 183.01 | 194.25 | 187.01 | 172.16 | 177.27 | 175.86 | 185.15 | 181.63 |
| coal | 386.65 | 392.12 | 391.36 | 398.45 | 396.09 | 368.01 | 371.51 | 372.27 | 375.68 | 374.08 | 416.91 | 420.84 | 419.85 | 428.68 | 423.29 | 430.75 | 436.99 | 435.94 | 445.06 | 441.40 |
| other | 6.67 | 6.62 | 6.61 | 6.59 | 6.56 | 6.57 | 6.54 | 6.53 | 6.54 | 6.51 | 6.68 | 6.64 | 6.65 | 6.59 | 6.58 | 7.63 | 7.55 | 7.54 | 7.48 | 7.46 |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 70.29 | 69.92 | 69.90 | 69.59 | 69.57 | 71.26 | 70.89 | 70.87 | 70.66 | 70.61 | 68.84 | 68.56 | 68.64 | 68.25 | 68.43 | 74.98 | 74.60 | 74.59 | 74.25 | 74.26 |
| natural gas | 37.85 | 37.84 | 37.82 | 37.84 | 37.83 | 37.75 | 37.74 | 37.75 | 37.81 | 37.80 | 37.74 | 37.71 | 37.77 | 37.73 | 37.81 | 40.67 | 40.66 | 40.65 | 40.64 | 40.64 |
| electricity | 16.26 | 15.95 | 15.94 | 15.69 | 15.66 | 17.32 | 16.97 | 16.93 | 16.66 | 16.58 | 15.13 | 14.92 | 14.92 | 14.71 | 14.73 | 17.13 | 16.83 | 16.81 | 16.58 | 16.53 |
| coal | 14.59 | 14.55 | 14.56 | 14.48 | 14.44 | 14.61 | 14.62 | 14.62 | 14.61 | 14.66 | 14.39 | 14.35 | 14.38 | 14.25 | 14.32 | 15.43 | 15.39 | 15.41 | 15.31 | 15.37 |
| other | 1.59 | 1.58 | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.58 | 1.57 | 1.57 | 1.56 | 1.56 | 1.74 | 1.73 | 1.73 | 1.72 | 1.72 |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coal | 4,926.27 | 4,899.77 | 4,902.00 | 4,877.85 | 4,883.87 | 4,985.61 | 4,970.39 | 4,968.96 | 4,955.47 | 4,962.16 | 4,805.29 | 4,785.02 | 4,792.39 | 4,749.29 | 4,771.60 | 5,218.96 | 5,192.01 | 5,194.85 | 5,161.80 | 5,172.17 |
| gas | 2,142.71 | 2,177.86 | 2,173.08 | 2,205.23 | 2,199.91 | 1,965.65 | 2,017.08 | 2,010.40 | 2,076.04 | 2,072.01 | 2,250.96 | 2,299.95 | 2,288.43 | 2,318.37 | 2,307.93 | 2,230.53 | 2,234.24 | 2,247.81 | 2,248.95 | 2,243.60 |
| nuclear | 1,143.09 | 1,075.44 | 1,084.20 | 1,020.61 | 1,029.93 | 1,418.58 | 1,349.39 | 1,356.51 | 1,272.85 | 1,275.05 | 878.08 | 797.50 | 812.65 | 731.17 | 762.84 | 1,317.28 | 1,273.98 | 1,266.15 | 1,220.40 | 1,234.87 |
| renewables | 876.67 | 876.67 | 876.67 | 876.67 | 876.67 | 858.29 | 858.29 | 858.29 | 858.29 | 863.83 | 876.67 | 878.22 | 878.27 | 879.99 | 878.26 | 876.67 | 877.25 | 876.67 | 877.38 | 876.67 |
| other | 702.87 | 707.59 | 705.79 | 711.29 | 713.75 | 681.48 | 683.24 | 681.93 | 685.54 | 688.71 | 734.07 | 743.56 | 747.72 | 752.68 | 756.76 | 730.61 | 742.46 | 740.48 | 748.18 | 750.94 |
| other | 60.93 | 62.21 | 62.25 | 64.05 | 63.60 | 61.62 | 62.40 | 61.82 | 62.74 | 62.56 | 65.51 | 65.81 | 65.32 | 67.09 | 65.81 | 63.87 | 64.07 | 63.73 | 66.89 | 66.09 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | 111.05 | 110.88 | 110.85 | 110.69 | 110.76 | 111.50 | 111.37 | 111.37 | 111.45 | 111.46 | 109.71 | 109.57 | 109.69 | 109.18 | 109.59 | 117.72 | 117.47 | 117.54 | 117.22 | 117.23 |
| Imports | 27.93 | 27.63 | 27.67 | 27.60 | 27.46 | 26.80 | 26.78 | 26.86 | 27.04 | 26.99 | 29.22 | 29.38 | 29.42 | 29.45 | 29.40 | 30.26 | 30.04 | 29.97 | 30.09 | 29.72 |
| Exports | 7.91 | 10.13 | 10.13 | 12.29 | 12.32 | 8.18 | 10.39 | 10.40 | 12.58 | 12.62 | 7.54 | 9.74 | 9.72 | 11.88 | 11.94 | 7.97 | 10.17 | 10.18 | 12.32 | 12.36 |
| Production | 90.96 | 93.37 | 93.26 | 95.38 | 95.65 | 92.89 | 95.05 | 94.99 | 97.21 | 97.27 | 87.86 | 89.79 | 89.86 | 91.50 | 92.04 | 95.31 | 97.52 | 97.67 | 99.38 | 99.80 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 6,114.82 | 6,136.49 | 6,131.49 | 6,155.61 | 6,152.88 | 6,074.00 | 6,103.94 | 6,102.31 | 6,151.52 | 6,146.61 | 6,084.64 | 6,103.94 | 6,106.49 | 6,104.89 | 6,120.61 | 6,521.09 | 6,517.76 | 6,525.31 | 6,521.52 | 6,520.16 |

Table B4. Differential from Base in U.S. Average Annual Values from 2025 to 2035 when Exports are Added

| | Reference | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | 2.18 | 2.19 | 4.23 | 4.28 | 2.06 | 2.05 | 4.09 | 4.10 | 1.88 | 1.76 | 3.93 | 3.85 | 2.17 | 2.17 | 4.09 | 4.26 |
| gross imports | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.26 | 0.12 |
| gross exports | 2.19 | 2.19 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 4.35 | 4.38 | 2.19 | 2.19 | 4.35 | 4.38 |
| Dry Production | 1.51 | 1.59 | 3.00 | 3.15 | 1.43 | 1.49 | 2.77 | 2.78 | 1.24 | 1.25 | 2.62 | 2.90 | 1.74 | 1.71 | 3.15 | 3.47 |
| shale gas | 1.13 | 1.15 | 2.14 | 2.31 | 1.18 | 1.23 | 2.24 | 2.23 | 0.84 | 0.91 | 1.55 | 1.75 | 1.29 | 1.28 | 2.30 | 2.56 |
| other | 0.38 | 0.44 | 0.86 | 0.84 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.55 | 0.40 | 0.35 | 1.07 | 1.16 | 0.45 | 0.43 | 0.85 | 0.91 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | (0.75) | (0.67) | (1.36) | (1.26) | (0.69) | (0.63) | (1.43) | (1.43) | (0.72) | (0.59) | (1.44) | (1.13) | (0.51) | (0.54) | (1.08) | (0.95) |
| electric generators | (0.40) | (0.32) | (0.71) | (0.61) | (0.35) | (0.25) | (0.79) | (0.70) | (0.50) | (0.37) | (0.96) | (0.69) | (0.17) | (0.19) | (0.45) | (0.28) |
| industrial | (0.24) | (0.25) | (0.44) | (0.46) | (0.24) | (0.27) | (0.43) | (0.53) | (0.13) | (0.15) | (0.27) | (0.28) | (0.25) | (0.27) | (0.46) | (0.49) |
| residential | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.08) | (0.08) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.07) | (0.06) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.08) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.07) | (0.07) |
| commercial | (0.06) | (0.06) | (0.12) | (0.11) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.11) | (0.10) | (0.05) | (0.04) | (0.11) | (0.08) | (0.05) | (0.04) | (0.10) | (0.09) |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 0.55 | 0.48 | 1.15 | 0.95 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.79 | 0.67 | 0.46 | 0.33 | 1.27 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.35 | 0.97 | 0.81 |
| commercial | 0.54 | 0.48 | 1.12 | 0.92 | 0.33 | 0.34 | 0.73 | 0.61 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 1.29 | 0.78 | 0.41 | 0.34 | 0.93 | 0.76 |
| industrial | 0.62 | 0.54 | 1.44 | 1.16 | 0.46 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.92 | 0.49 | 0.36 | 1.39 | 0.78 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 1.32 | 1.09 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 0.54 | 0.47 | 1.27 | 1.01 | 0.36 | 0.38 | 0.86 | 0.71 | 0.52 | 0.43 | 1.44 | 0.85 | 0.45 | 0.38 | 1.15 | 0.90 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 0.60 | 0.52 | 1.39 | 1.11 | 0.40 | 0.41 | 0.95 | 0.79 | 0.57 | 0.47 | 1.59 | 0.94 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 1.26 | 1.00 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 0.05 | (0.03) | 0.22 | (0.03) | 0.25 | 0.01 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.34 | 0.12 | (0.01) | 0.08 | (0.29) | (0.35) | (0.30) | (0.14) |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 0.16 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0.27 | 0.08 | 0.10 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.12 | 0.08 | 0.38 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.13 | 0.40 | 0.28 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 17.01 | 16.69 | 37.77 | 36.17 | 12.96 | 13.03 | 28.42 | 27.60 | 22.19 | 21.60 | 49.31 | 45.52 | 18.27 | 17.90 | 39.95 | 38.66 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 22.53 | 21.50 | 50.21 | 44.94 | 16.11 | 16.89 | 35.77 | 31.78 | 21.14 | 19.48 | 54.05 | 43.89 | 23.68 | 21.37 | 51.70 | 47.99 |
| production revenues (4) | 23.23 | 21.93 | 53.09 | 46.98 | 17.31 | 17.97 | 39.36 | 34.84 | 21.67 | 19.88 | 55.41 | 44.23 | 24.28 | 21.81 | 54.56 | 49.85 |
| delivery revenues (5) | (0.71) | (0.44) | (2.88) | (2.04) | (1.21) | (1.08) | (3.58) | (3.06) | (0.53) | (0.40) | (1.36) | (0.33) | (0.60) | (0.44) | (2.86) | (1.87) |
| Import Revenues (6) | 1.82 | 1.59 | 4.91 | 4.02 | 1.95 | 2.06 | 4.35 | 4.11 | 3.63 | 3.43 | 7.32 | 5.87 | 1.79 | 1.56 | 5.39 | 4.03 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 7.22 | 6.81 | 20.24 | 13.73 | 8.64 | 10.06 | 16.25 | 9.03 | 10.21 | 2.71 | 25.31 | 3.19 | 6.84 | 3.63 | 19.81 | 10.59 |
| natural gas | (4.45) | (3.01) | (5.94) | (6.31) | 1.05 | 1.66 | (1.39) | (4.34) | 1.83 | (2.86) | (0.27) | (9.74) | (4.43) | (5.17) | (7.34) | (9.37) |
| electricity | 6.25 | 5.18 | 14.47 | 10.71 | 4.12 | 4.18 | 10.00 | 7.37 | 4.49 | 2.65 | 13.90 | 6.65 | 5.12 | 3.70 | 12.99 | 9.47 |
| coal | 5.47 | 4.71 | 11.80 | 9.44 | 3.50 | 4.26 | 7.68 | 6.07 | 3.94 | 2.94 | 11.78 | 6.39 | 6.24 | 5.19 | 14.31 | 10.65 |
| | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.08) | (0.11) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.08) | (0.09) | (0.15) | (0.16) |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | (0.37) | (0.38) | (0.70) | (0.71) | (0.37) | (0.39) | (0.60) | (0.65) | (0.28) | (0.20) | (0.60) | (0.42) | (0.38) | (0.39) | (0.73) | (0.72) |
| natural gas | (0.00) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.01) | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.06 | (0.03) | 0.03 | (0.01) | 0.07 | (0.02) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.03) |
| electricity | (0.31) | (0.32) | (0.57) | (0.60) | (0.35) | (0.39) | (0.65) | (0.74) | (0.21) | (0.21) | (0.42) | (0.40) | (0.30) | (0.32) | (0.54) | (0.60) |
| coal | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.11) | (0.07) | 0.00 | 0.01 | (0.00) | 0.04 | (0.04) | (0.01) | (0.14) | (0.07) | (0.05) | (0.02) | (0.13) | (0.07) |
| | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.03) |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coal | (26.50) | (24.27) | (48.42) | (42.40) | (15.22) | (16.66) | (30.14) | (23.45) | (20.26) | (12.90) | (55.99) | (33.69) | (26.95) | (24.11) | (57.15) | (46.78) |
| gas | 35.15 | 30.37 | 62.53 | 57.20 | 51.43 | 44.76 | 110.39 | 106.36 | 48.98 | 37.46 | 67.41 | 56.97 | 3.71 | 17.28 | 18.42 | 13.07 |
| nuclear | (67.65) | (58.89) | (122.48) | (113.16) | (69.19) | (62.06) | (145.72) | (143.53) | (80.58) | (65.43) | (146.91) | (115.24) | (43.30) | (51.13) | (96.88) | (82.41) |
| renewables | - | (0.00) | - | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 5.55 | 1.54 | 1.60 | 3.32 | 1.59 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.71 | 0.00 |
| other | 4.72 | 2.92 | 8.41 | 10.87 | 1.76 | 0.46 | 4.07 | 7.23 | 9.49 | 13.65 | 18.61 | 22.69 | 11.85 | 9.87 | 17.57 | 20.33 |
| | 1.28 | 1.33 | 3.12 | 2.68 | 0.77 | 0.19 | 1.12 | 0.94 | 0.30 | (0.19) | 1.58 | 0.31 | 0.20 | (0.13) | 3.02 | 2.22 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | (0.16) | (0.20) | (0.35) | (0.29) | (0.13) | (0.13) | (0.05) | (0.04) | (0.13) | (0.02) | (0.53) | (0.12) | (0.25) | (0.18) | (0.50) | (0.49) |
| Imports | (0.30) | (0.26) | (0.33) | (0.47) | (0.03) | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.18 | (0.22) | (0.30) | (0.17) | (0.54) |
| Exports | 2.21 | 2.21 | 4.37 | 4.41 | 2.21 | 2.22 | 4.40 | 4.43 | 2.20 | 2.19 | 4.35 | 4.41 | 2.20 | 2.21 | 4.35 | 4.39 |
| Production | 2.41 | 2.30 | 4.42 | 4.69 | 2.16 | 2.10 | 4.32 | 4.38 | 1.93 | 2.00 | 3.65 | 4.18 | 2.20 | 2.36 | 4.07 | 4.49 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 21.67 | 16.67 | 40.79 | 38.07 | 29.94 | 28.31 | 77.52 | 72.61 | 19.31 | 21.85 | 20.25 | 35.98 | (3.33) | 4.21 | 0.43 | (0.93) |

Table B5. U.S. Annual Average Values from 2015 to 2035

| | Reference | | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | baseline | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | (1.31) | 0.57 | 0.78 | 1.81 | 2.63 | (0.63) | 1.21 | 1.41 | 2.44 | 3.24 | (2.40) | (0.70) | (0.60) | 0.52 | 1.21 | (1.45) | 0.44 | 0.64 | 1.60 | 2.49 |
| gross imports | 3.31 | 3.35 | 3.35 | 3.42 | 3.43 | 2.84 | 2.94 | 2.95 | 3.01 | 3.04 | 4.13 | 4.36 | 4.46 | 4.44 | 4.59 | 3.40 | 3.45 | 3.45 | 3.59 | 3.53 |
| gross exports | 2.00 | 3.93 | 4.13 | 5.23 | 6.06 | 2.22 | 4.15 | 4.35 | 5.45 | 6.28 | 1.73 | 3.66 | 3.86 | 4.96 | 5.79 | 1.95 | 3.88 | 4.09 | 5.19 | 6.02 |
| Dry Production | 24.18 | 25.37 | 25.52 | 26.24 | 26.78 | 27.48 | 28.71 | 28.86 | 29.52 | 29.95 | 20.40 | 21.47 | 21.51 | 22.28 | 22.86 | 25.37 | 26.75 | 26.83 | 27.60 | 28.26 |
| shale gas | 9.65 | 10.51 | 10.63 | 11.10 | 11.56 | 13.70 | 14.67 | 14.79 | 15.30 | 15.67 | 4.56 | 5.23 | 5.37 | 5.64 | 6.08 | 10.47 | 11.48 | 11.58 | 12.08 | 12.62 |
| other | 14.54 | 14.85 | 14.89 | 15.15 | 15.21 | 13.78 | 14.04 | 14.06 | 14.22 | 14.28 | 15.84 | 16.24 | 16.14 | 16.64 | 16.78 | 14.90 | 15.27 | 15.25 | 15.53 | 15.65 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | 23.67 | 22.91 | 22.85 | 22.52 | 22.20 | 26.12 | 25.46 | 25.41 | 25.00 | 24.61 | 21.12 | 20.42 | 20.36 | 19.97 | 19.81 | 24.92 | 24.35 | 24.23 | 24.01 | 23.75 |
| electric generators | 7.06 | 6.58 | 6.57 | 6.36 | 6.18 | 8.64 | 8.26 | 8.28 | 7.98 | 7.77 | 5.44 | 4.97 | 4.98 | 4.69 | 4.66 | 7.63 | 7.36 | 7.29 | 7.18 | 7.09 |
| industrial | 8.10 | 7.92 | 7.88 | 7.81 | 7.72 | 8.62 | 8.42 | 8.38 | 8.31 | 8.18 | 7.60 | 7.46 | 7.42 | 7.38 | 7.29 | 8.59 | 8.39 | 8.34 | 8.27 | 8.16 |
| residential | 4.82 | 4.79 | 4.78 | 4.76 | 4.75 | 4.94 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.89 | 4.88 | 4.66 | 4.62 | 4.61 | 4.59 | 4.57 | 4.95 | 4.92 | 4.91 | 4.90 | 4.87 |
| commercial | 3.58 | 3.53 | 3.52 | 3.49 | 3.47 | 3.78 | 3.73 | 3.72 | 3.70 | 3.68 | 3.34 | 3.28 | 3.27 | 3.24 | 3.22 | 3.64 | 3.59 | 3.58 | 3.56 | 3.53 |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 12.04 | 12.53 | 12.57 | 12.91 | 13.08 | 10.61 | 10.95 | 11.02 | 11.22 | 11.35 | 14.35 | 14.98 | 15.06 | 15.55 | 15.69 | 12.63 | 13.10 | 13.13 | 13.45 | 13.68 |
| commercial | 9.91 | 10.39 | 10.44 | 10.76 | 10.93 | 8.49 | 8.80 | 8.88 | 9.06 | 9.18 | 12.24 | 12.88 | 12.95 | 13.46 | 13.60 | 10.49 | 10.95 | 10.98 | 11.29 | 11.50 |
| industrial | 6.20 | 6.76 | 6.80 | 7.26 | 7.44 | 4.90 | 5.32 | 5.41 | 5.69 | 5.86 | 8.38 | 9.07 | 9.15 | 9.71 | 9.84 | 6.69 | 7.26 | 7.29 | 7.75 | 7.99 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 5.28 | 5.78 | 5.82 | 6.23 | 6.39 | 4.01 | 4.35 | 4.42 | 4.66 | 4.79 | 7.37 | 8.06 | 8.16 | 8.71 | 8.87 | 5.75 | 6.25 | 6.28 | 6.69 | 6.90 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 5.81 | 6.36 | 6.41 | 6.86 | 7.03 | 4.41 | 4.79 | 4.87 | 5.12 | 5.27 | 8.12 | 8.88 | 8.98 | 9.60 | 9.77 | 6.33 | 6.88 | 6.91 | 7.36 | 7.60 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 33.06 | 33.12 | 33.15 | 33.29 | 33.18 | 32.77 | 33.07 | 32.87 | 32.99 | 33.00 | 33.34 | 33.64 | 33.50 | 33.38 | 33.46 | 33.74 | 33.60 | 33.52 | 33.66 | 33.72 |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 8.94 | 9.08 | 9.08 | 9.19 | 9.22 | 8.56 | 8.63 | 8.67 | 8.70 | 8.70 | 9.65 | 9.81 | 9.83 | 10.00 | 10.02 | 9.29 | 9.46 | 9.45 | 9.60 | 9.62 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 11.13 | 25.11 | 26.34 | 37.49 | 43.23 | 8.98 | 19.64 | 20.80 | 28.85 | 33.39 | 15.07 | 34.12 | 35.85 | 50.80 | 58.30 | 12.11 | 27.19 | 28.43 | 40.19 | 46.69 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 179.79 | 198.43 | 200.12 | 215.08 | 221.64 | 165.83 | 179.88 | 182.38 | 191.82 | 196.70 | 200.15 | 222.46 | 224.55 | 243.87 | 251.43 | 201.24 | 222.30 | 223.13 | 239.62 | 248.66 |
| production revenues (4) | 128.46 | 147.79 | 149.40 | 165.76 | 172.31 | 110.87 | 125.92 | 128.47 | 139.27 | 144.50 | 151.06 | 173.98 | 176.05 | 196.01 | 203.32 | 147.54 | 169.19 | 169.97 | 187.82 | 196.82 |
| delivery revenues (5) | 51.32 | 50.64 | 50.72 | 49.32 | 49.33 | 54.96 | 53.96 | 53.92 | 52.55 | 52.21 | 49.09 | 48.48 | 48.50 | 47.86 | 48.12 | 53.70 | 53.12 | 53.16 | 51.79 | 51.84 |
| Import Revenues (6) | 17.77 | 19.53 | 19.69 | 21.37 | 22.03 | 11.92 | 13.52 | 13.84 | 14.94 | 15.61 | 30.84 | 34.49 | 35.15 | 37.10 | 38.16 | 19.97 | 21.90 | 22.09 | 24.07 | 24.58 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 1,489.93 | 1,499.04 | 1,499.79 | 1,507.51 | 1,510.31 | 1,455.15 | 1,463.17 | 1,465.18 | 1,469.08 | 1,469.35 | 1,547.09 | 1,561.08 | 1,559.57 | 1,572.52 | 1,567.30 | 1,625.45 | 1,635.66 | 1,634.71 | 1,644.67 | 1,646.03 |
| natural gas | 974.71 | 973.09 | 973.49 | 972.64 | 972.64 | 970.30 | 971.23 | 971.23 | 970.91 | 969.68 | 981.60 | 983.31 | 980.57 | 982.05 | 975.74 | 1,063.35 | 1,061.47 | 1,060.75 | 1,060.30 | 1,058.97 |
| electricity | 140.16 | 146.09 | 146.41 | 151.27 | 152.79 | 124.61 | 128.76 | 129.62 | 132.45 | 133.62 | 165.55 | 172.70 | 173.21 | 179.55 | 180.30 | 154.27 | 160.27 | 160.24 | 165.41 | 167.51 |
| coal | 368.28 | 373.10 | 373.13 | 376.85 | 378.14 | 353.56 | 356.51 | 357.67 | 359.05 | 359.38 | 393.11 | 398.26 | 398.98 | 404.14 | 404.50 | 400.29 | 406.41 | 406.21 | 411.48 | 412.09 |
| other | 6.78 | 6.76 | 6.75 | 6.75 | 6.74 | 6.68 | 6.68 | 6.67 | 6.68 | 6.67 | 6.83 | 6.81 | 6.81 | 6.78 | 6.76 | 7.54 | 7.51 | 7.50 | 7.48 | 7.46 |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| liquids | 69.09 | 68.81 | 68.75 | 68.64 | 68.49 | 69.93 | 69.65 | 69.59 | 69.52 | 69.37 | 67.90 | 67.61 | 67.58 | 67.42 | 67.33 | 72.62 | 72.33 | 72.26 | 72.14 | 71.97 |
| natural gas | 37.29 | 37.30 | 37.29 | 37.31 | 37.31 | 37.21 | 37.23 | 37.24 | 37.28 | 37.28 | 37.24 | 37.23 | 37.25 | 37.25 | 37.28 | 39.42 | 39.43 | 39.42 | 39.43 | 39.44 |
| electricity | 16.15 | 15.90 | 15.85 | 15.76 | 15.61 | 17.04 | 16.76 | 16.69 | 16.58 | 16.41 | 15.18 | 14.95 | 14.89 | 14.82 | 14.69 | 16.81 | 16.55 | 16.49 | 16.41 | 16.23 |
| coal | 14.02 | 13.98 | 13.98 | 13.95 | 13.95 | 14.05 | 14.05 | 14.04 | 14.04 | 14.06 | 13.85 | 13.81 | 13.81 | 13.74 | 13.74 | 14.64 | 14.60 | 14.61 | 14.55 | 14.56 |
| other | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.63 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.62 | 1.61 | 1.76 | 1.75 | 1.75 | 1.74 | 1.74 |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| coal | 4,691.78 | 4,671.70 | 4,670.36 | 4,660.47 | 4,654.31 | 4,740.10 | 4,728.42 | 4,724.32 | 4,720.03 | 4,717.90 | 4,599.04 | 4,578.46 | 4,576.69 | 4,554.90 | 4,551.26 | 4,907.86 | 4,886.10 | 4,884.89 | 4,868.85 | 4,864.09 |
| gas | 2,030.24 | 2,078.96 | 2,083.33 | 2,100.15 | 2,121.75 | 1,860.54 | 1,912.06 | 1,912.09 | 1,949.35 | 1,977.66 | 2,171.63 | 2,216.91 | 2,212.07 | 2,221.68 | 2,224.94 | 2,114.85 | 2,134.13 | 2,149.63 | 2,144.11 | 2,158.39 |
| nuclear | 1,074.40 | 1,000.10 | 995.54 | 963.40 | 932.18 | 1,328.06 | 1,262.83 | 1,259.57 | 1,215.21 | 1,175.80 | 808.02 | 735.39 | 733.01 | 695.09 | 685.68 | 1,181.25 | 1,129.59 | 1,115.49 | 1,096.96 | 1,074.83 |
| renewables | 871.23 | 871.23 | 871.23 | 871.23 | 871.23 | 854.18 | 854.18 | 854.18 | 854.53 | 859.21 | 871.23 | 872.04 | 872.07 | 872.97 | 872.07 | 871.23 | 871.54 | 871.23 | 871.61 | 871.23 |
| other | 655.74 | 660.26 | 658.89 | 663.43 | 666.81 | 636.24 | 637.87 | 637.72 | 639.17 | 643.29 | 684.94 | 690.77 | 696.38 | 700.70 | 704.42 | 678.14 | 688.13 | 686.04 | 691.94 | 695.77 |
| other | 60.17 | 61.15 | 61.37 | 62.26 | 62.34 | 61.08 | 61.49 | 60.76 | 61.77 | 61.93 | 63.21 | 63.35 | 63.16 | 64.47 | 64.16 | 62.38 | 62.71 | 62.50 | 64.24 | 63.86 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | 107.97 | 107.90 | 107.87 | 107.85 | 107.85 | 108.38 | 108.31 | 108.27 | 108.38 | 108.37 | 107.04 | 106.89 | 106.89 | 106.66 | 106.70 | 113.05 | 112.91 | 112.92 | 112.81 | 112.71 |
| Imports | 28.28 | 28.20 | 28.21 | 28.18 | 28.19 | 27.27 | 27.28 | 27.34 | 27.47 | 27.49 | 29.50 | 29.62 | 29.68 | 29.71 | 29.75 | 30.17 | 30.14 | 30.09 | 30.17 | 30.02 |
| Exports | 7.48 | 9.43 | 9.63 | 10.73 | 11.57 | 7.69 | 9.64 | 9.86 | 10.96 | 11.81 | 7.19 | 9.12 | 9.32 | 10.41 | 11.25 | 7.53 | 9.47 | 9.68 | 10.77 | 11.61 |
| Production | 87.04 | 89.04 | 89.18 | 90.30 | 91.17 | 88.73 | 90.66 | 90.77 | 91.94 | 92.73 | 84.52 | 86.20 | 86.35 | 87.18 | 88.04 | 90.24 | 92.09 | 92.35 | 93.26 | 94.16 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 5,955.05 | 5,985.66 | 5,986.04 | 6,001.82 | 6,013.46 | 5,915.71 | 5,947.04 | 5,946.80 | 5,977.68 | 5,991.27 | 5,960.10 | 5,981.23 | 5,978.85 | 5,976.06 | 5,984.27 | 6,270.24 | 6,279.14 | 6,286.47 | 6,283.68 | 6,290.23 |

Table B6. Differential from Base in U.S. Average Annual Values from 2015 to 2035 when Exports are Added

| | Reference | | | | High Shale EUR | | | | Low Shale EUR | | | | High Macroeconomic Growth | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|
| | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid | low/ slow | low/ rapid | high/ slow | high/ rapid |
| NATURAL GAS VOLUMES (Tcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net Exports | 1.89 | 2.10 | 3.12 | 3.95 | 1.84 | 2.03 | 3.06 | 3.87 | 1.70 | 1.81 | 2.92 | 3.61 | 1.89 | 2.09 | 3.05 | 3.94 |
| gross imports | 0.04 | 0.04 | 0.11 | 0.12 | 0.09 | 0.10 | 0.17 | 0.20 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0.05 | 0.19 | 0.13 |
| gross exports | 1.93 | 2.14 | 3.23 | 4.07 | 1.93 | 2.14 | 3.23 | 4.07 | 1.93 | 2.14 | 3.23 | 4.07 | 1.93 | 2.14 | 3.23 | 4.07 |
| Dry Production | 1.18 | 1.33 | 2.06 | 2.59 | 1.23 | 1.38 | 2.04 | 2.47 | 1.06 | 1.11 | 1.88 | 2.45 | 1.38 | 1.46 | 2.23 | 2.89 |
| shale gas | 0.86 | 0.98 | 1.45 | 1.91 | 0.97 | 1.09 | 1.60 | 1.97 | 0.67 | 0.81 | 1.08 | 1.52 | 1.01 | 1.11 | 1.61 | 2.15 |
| other | 0.32 | 0.35 | 0.61 | 0.68 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.40 | 0.30 | 0.80 | 0.93 | 0.37 | 0.35 | 0.62 | 0.74 |
| Delivered Volumes (1) | (0.76) | (0.82) | (1.15) | (1.47) | (0.66) | (0.71) | (1.12) | (1.51) | (0.71) | (0.77) | (1.15) | (1.31) | (0.57) | (0.69) | (0.91) | (1.17) |
| electric generators | (0.48) | (0.49) | (0.70) | (0.88) | (0.38) | (0.36) | (0.66) | (0.87) | (0.46) | (0.46) | (0.75) | (0.78) | (0.27) | (0.34) | (0.45) | (0.54) |
| industrial | (0.18) | (0.22) | (0.29) | (0.38) | (0.19) | (0.24) | (0.31) | (0.44) | (0.14) | (0.19) | (0.22) | (0.32) | (0.20) | (0.25) | (0.32) | (0.43) |
| residential | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.08) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.04) | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.09) | (0.04) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.08) |
| commercial | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.09) | (0.11) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.08) | (0.10) | (0.06) | (0.07) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.05) | (0.06) | (0.08) | (0.11) |
| NATURAL GAS END-USE PRICES (2009\$/Mcf) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| residential | 0.49 | 0.53 | 0.87 | 1.04 | 0.33 | 0.41 | 0.60 | 0.73 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 1.20 | 1.34 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.82 | 1.05 |
| commercial | 0.48 | 0.52 | 0.84 | 1.02 | 0.31 | 0.39 | 0.57 | 0.69 | 0.64 | 0.71 | 1.22 | 1.35 | 0.46 | 0.49 | 0.80 | 1.02 |
| industrial | 0.56 | 0.60 | 1.07 | 1.24 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 0.96 | 0.69 | 0.77 | 1.33 | 1.46 | 0.57 | 0.60 | 1.06 | 1.30 |
| OTHER PRICES | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural Gas Wellhead Price (2009\$/Mcf) | 0.50 | 0.54 | 0.95 | 1.11 | 0.34 | 0.42 | 0.65 | 0.79 | 0.69 | 0.79 | 1.34 | 1.50 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.94 | 1.15 |
| Henry Hub Price (2009\$/MMBtu) | 0.55 | 0.59 | 1.05 | 1.22 | 0.38 | 0.46 | 0.72 | 0.87 | 0.77 | 0.87 | 1.48 | 1.65 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 1.03 | 1.26 |
| Coal Minemouth Price (2009\$/short-ton) | 0.06 | 0.09 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.30 | 0.11 | 0.22 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.16 | 0.04 | 0.12 | (0.14) | (0.22) | (0.08) | (0.02) |
| End-Use Electricity Price (2009 cents/kWh) | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.29 | 0.07 | 0.10 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.16 | 0.18 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.17 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.33 |
| NATURAL GAS REVENUES (B 2009\$) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Export Revenues (2) | 13.99 | 15.22 | 26.36 | 32.10 | 10.66 | 11.82 | 19.87 | 24.41 | 19.05 | 20.78 | 35.73 | 43.23 | 15.08 | 16.32 | 28.08 | 34.57 |
| Domestic Supply Revenues (3) | 18.64 | 20.34 | 35.29 | 41.85 | 14.05 | 16.55 | 25.99 | 30.88 | 22.30 | 24.39 | 43.72 | 51.28 | 21.06 | 21.88 | 38.37 | 47.42 |
| production revenues (4) | 19.33 | 20.94 | 37.29 | 43.84 | 15.05 | 17.60 | 28.40 | 33.63 | 22.92 | 24.98 | 44.95 | 52.25 | 21.64 | 22.43 | 40.28 | 49.28 |
| delivery revenues (5) | (0.69) | (0.60) | (2.00) | (1.99) | (1.00) | (1.04) | (2.41) | (2.75) | (0.61) | (0.59) | (1.23) | (0.97) | (0.58) | (0.54) | (1.91) | (1.86) |
| Import Revenues (6) | 1.76 | 1.93 | 3.60 | 4.26 | 1.60 | 1.92 | 3.02 | 3.69 | 3.65 | 4.31 | 6.26 | 7.31 | 1.93 | 2.12 | 4.11 | 4.61 |
| END-USE ENERGY EXPENDITURES (B 2009\$) | 9.11 | 9.86 | 17.59 | 20.39 | 8.02 | 10.03 | 13.93 | 14.19 | 13.98 | 12.47 | 25.42 | 20.21 | 10.22 | 9.26 | 19.22 | 20.58 |
| liquids | (1.63) | (1.22) | (2.07) | (2.07) | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.61 | (0.62) | 1.70 | (1.04) | 0.45 | (5.86) | (1.88) | (2.60) | (3.05) | (4.38) |
| natural gas | 5.94 | 6.26 | 11.12 | 12.63 | 4.15 | 5.01 | 7.84 | 9.01 | 7.15 | 7.66 | 14.00 | 14.75 | 6.00 | 5.98 | 11.14 | 13.24 |
| electricity | 4.82 | 4.86 | 8.57 | 9.87 | 2.95 | 4.11 | 5.49 | 5.82 | 5.15 | 5.87 | 11.03 | 11.39 | 6.12 | 5.92 | 11.19 | 11.80 |
| coal | (0.02) | (0.03) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.00) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.02) | (0.05) | (0.07) | (0.03) | (0.04) | (0.06) | (0.08) |
| END-USE ENERGY CONSUMPTION (quadrillion Btu) | (0.28) | (0.34) | (0.45) | (0.60) | (0.27) | (0.34) | (0.41) | (0.55) | (0.29) | (0.32) | (0.48) | (0.57) | (0.30) | (0.36) | (0.49) | (0.65) |
| liquids | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.03 | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.06 | 0.07 | (0.01) | 0.02 | 0.01 | 0.04 | 0.02 | 0.00 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| natural gas | (0.25) | (0.30) | (0.40) | (0.54) | (0.28) | (0.35) | (0.46) | (0.63) | (0.23) | (0.29) | (0.36) | (0.49) | (0.27) | (0.33) | (0.41) | (0.58) |
| electricity | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.07) | (0.07) | (0.00) | (0.00) | (0.00) | 0.02 | (0.05) | (0.05) | (0.11) | (0.11) | (0.04) | (0.03) | (0.09) | (0.08) |
| coal | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.00) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.01) | (0.02) |
| ELECTRIC GENERATION (billion kWh) | (20.08) | (21.43) | (31.31) | (37.47) | (11.67) | (15.77) | (20.07) | (22.20) | (20.58) | (22.35) | (44.13) | (47.78) | (21.76) | (22.98) | (39.01) | (43.78) |
| coal | 48.72 | 53.09 | 69.91 | 91.51 | 51.52 | 51.55 | 88.82 | 117.12 | 45.28 | 40.44 | 50.04 | 53.31 | 19.28 | 34.78 | 29.25 | 43.53 |
| gas | (74.30) | (78.86) | (111.00) | (142.22) | (65.24) | (68.49) | (112.86) | (152.26) | (72.63) | (75.01) | (112.93) | (122.34) | (51.66) | (65.76) | (84.29) | (106.42) |
| nuclear | - | (0.00) | - | - | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.35 | 5.02 | 0.81 | 0.84 | 1.74 | 0.83 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.37 | 0.00 |
| renewables | 4.52 | 3.15 | 7.69 | 11.07 | 1.63 | 1.48 | 2.94 | 7.06 | 5.84 | 11.44 | 15.76 | 19.48 | 9.99 | 7.89 | 13.80 | 17.63 |
| other | 0.98 | 1.20 | 2.09 | 2.17 | 0.41 | (0.32) | 0.69 | 0.86 | 0.13 | (0.06) | 1.25 | 0.94 | 0.33 | 0.11 | 1.86 | 1.48 |
| PRIMARY ENERGY (quadrillion Btu) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Consumption | (0.07) | (0.10) | (0.12) | (0.12) | (0.06) | (0.11) | 0.01 | (0.00) | (0.15) | (0.15) | (0.38) | (0.34) | (0.13) | (0.13) | (0.24) | (0.34) |
| Imports | (0.09) | (0.08) | (0.10) | (0.10) | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.20 | 0.22 | 0.12 | 0.18 | 0.21 | 0.25 | (0.03) | (0.07) | 0.00 | (0.15) |
| Exports | 1.94 | 2.15 | 3.25 | 4.09 | 1.96 | 2.17 | 3.28 | 4.12 | 1.93 | 2.13 | 3.22 | 4.06 | 1.94 | 2.15 | 3.24 | 4.08 |
| Production | 2.00 | 2.14 | 3.26 | 4.13 | 1.93 | 2.03 | 3.20 | 4.00 | 1.68 | 1.83 | 2.66 | 3.52 | 1.85 | 2.11 | 3.02 | 3.92 |
| ENERGY RELATED CO₂ EMISSIONS (including liquefaction)(million metric tons) | 30.62 | 30.99 | 46.77 | 58.42 | 31.33 | 31.09 | 61.96 | 75.56 | 21.14 | 18.75 | 15.96 | 24.18 | 8.90 | 16.23 | 13.44 | 19.99 |

FOOTNOTES

- (1) total includes components below plus deliveries to the transportation sector
- (2) export volumes added for this study times the Henry Hub price plus an assumed transport fee to the liquefaction facility of 20 cents per Mcf, plus sum of all other export volumes (i.e., to Canada and Mexico) times the associated price at the border
- (3) represents producer revenues at the wellhead plus other revenues extracted before final gas delivery.
- (4) dry gas production times average wellhead or first-purchase price
- (5) represented revenues extracted as gas moves from the first-purchase wellhead price to final delivery
- (6) import volumes times the associated price at the border

Projections: EIA, Annual Energy Outlook 2011 National Energy Modeling system runs ref2011.d020911a, rflexslw.d090911a, rflexrpd.d090911a, rfhexslw.d090911a, rfhsexrpd.d090911a, hshleur.d020911a, helexslw.d090911a, helexrpd.d090911a, hehexslw.d090911a, hehexrpd.d090911a, feleur.d090811a, lelexslw.d090911a, lelexrpd.d090911a, lehexasl.w.d090911a, lehexasrpd.d090911a, fehdem.d090811a, hmlexslw.d090911a, hmlexrpd.d090911a, hmxhexslw.d090911a, hmxhexrpd.d090911a