

PIRT Meeting

03 October 2016

Tokyo, Japan



Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects

Richard Lynch

CSLF Secretariat

www.cslforum.org

Secretariat Report to PIRT



Outcomes from London Meeting

- CSLF Secretariat and Dr. Greenberg (representing the CSLF-recognized Illinois Basin – Decatur Project) should jointly develop a useful format (neither superficial nor onerous) for CSLF-recognized projects to report their status.

Secretariat Report to PIRT



Outcomes from London Meeting

- PIRT delegates from Australia, Canada, and the United States should use the new project reporting format to engage projects located in their countries (approx. 4-8 projects in total) and prepare short status summaries in time for the 2016 CSLF Annual Meeting.

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Reporting Format finalized in July

Carbon Sequestration leadership forum

www.cslforum.org



Project Name:

Brief non-technical description:

Is the project still active?

If not, when did it end, and why?

If still active, what have been the important factors in its continued progress, and why?

Please briefly describe the overall project timeline (with emphasis on next six months):

What kinds of sharable information have been produced?

Please describe any interesting outcomes or gains in knowledge.

Who is the project's main point-of-contact for the CSLF?

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Reports Received from 10 Projects

Australia

- **CarbonNet Project**
- **Gorgon CO₂ Injection Project**
- **The South West Hub Project**

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Reports Received from 10 Projects

Canada

- **Alberta Carbon Trunk Line**
- **The Boundary Dam Integrated CCS Project**
- **CANMET Energy Technology Centre (CETC) R&D Oxyfuel Combustion for CO₂ Capture**
- **Quest Project**

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Reports Received from 10 Projects

United States

- **Illinois Basin – Decatur Project**
- **Illinois Industrial CCS Project**
- **Michigan Basin Development Phase Project**

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Overall Quality of Information

- Length of updates varied from 1 to 3 pages
- Resulting detail of information provided also varied
- Every update provided useful information
- There were even a few revelations:
 - CANMET Project has ended!
 - Two projects have changed their names!

Engaging CSLF-recognized Projects



Immediate Conclusions

- Overall format seems good – meets the objective of being neither too superficial in information requested nor too onerous for project sponsors to complete
- CSLF delegates are the right people to engage project sponsors in their countries
- This is only first step – what do we do with this information now that we have it?