

**Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum**

[www.cslforum.org](http://www.cslforum.org)



# **Task Force on Review of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Efficiency in Deep Saline Aquifers**

**Stefan Bachu, Canada**



# Origin of the Task Force

The Task Force was established at the CSLF meeting in Washington, D.C., USA, November 5, 2013, to review the published literature since the IPCC Special Report on CCS (2005) and CSLF report (2007) on the efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in deep saline aquifers



# Background of the Task Force

- Various methodologies have been developed since 2007 for estimating CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity in deep saline aquifers at regional and local scales, the most used being USDOE's, applied mostly in North America, and CSLF's, applied mostly in Europe
- Storage capacity estimates are of two types:
  - Volumetric (or “Static”), based on pore volume
  - Dynamic, based on injectivity and pressure build-up, usually using numerical modelling



## Storage Efficiency

- The volumetric, or static CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity estimates are based on the relationship:

$$M_{\text{CO}_2} = E \times A \times h \times \phi \times \rho_{\text{CO}_2}(P, T)$$

- Published values of the Storage Efficiency Coefficient E, defined as *the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> stored in a unit of aquifer pore volume*, vary in a wide range, from < 1% to > 20%, depending on assessment scale, rock lithology, depositional environment, CO<sub>2</sub> buoyancy and mobility, capillary forces, and other medium and storage characteristics



## **Task Force Mandate**

**Critically review, compile and report on published literature since the CSLF (2007) report on “CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Capacity Estimation” on the efficiency of CO<sub>2</sub> storage in deep saline aquifers and applicability of the various published values for the storage efficiency coefficient E**



# Task Force Timeframe

- **November 2013: Task Force established**
- **Spring 2015 CSLF TG Meeting: Submission of final report**



## Background to Status

- The International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control has decided in the summer of 2014 to produce a **Special Issue** marking the ten-years anniversary since the IPCC Special Report on CCS, with publication in volume in **September 2015** (IJGGC Issue #40)
- The Special Issue will be presented at UNFCCC COP21 in Paris in December 2015
- One of the review papers is on “CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Efficiency in Deep Saline Aquifers” authored by Stefan Bachu,
- The publication deadline coincides with the Task Force timeframe for completing this action item



## **Status**

- **Consequently, the Task Force was disbanded in the fall of 2014**
- **The CSLF TG Action Plan on this subject has been accomplished through the publication on-line in January 2015 of the review paper in the IJGGC Special Issue**





# Main Findings - 1

**Storage efficiency depends on:**

- **Aquifer characteristics:** pressure, temperature, water salinity, relative permeability characteristics to CO<sub>2</sub> and water, lithology, porosity, permeability, dip, areal extent, thickness and boundaries;
- **Operation characteristics:** injection rate, duration, and wells number, emplacement and orientation, and water production;
- **Regulatory constraints** such as maximum bottom hole pressure, area of review, area of tenure



## Main Findings - 2

- In closed aquifer systems, storage space is created through medium and water compressibility, with efficiency factors in the order of **0.1% per MPa** pressure increase (~ per 100 m depth)
- For atlas-type estimates of CO<sub>2</sub> storage resource at the aquifer/basin scale, storage efficiency coefficients of **2-3%** should be used for P50 confidence
- For local-scale evaluations of CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity, numerical (dynamic) estimates should be used, taking into account that storage capacity is pressure limited



## **Review Paper**

**“Review of CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Efficiency in Deep Saline Aquifers” by Stefan Bachu, International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 40, September 2015, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.01.07**