

Listen to the ocean

# QICS project: Offshore Environmental Impact Assessment and Monitoring.

Jerry Blackford jcb@pml.ac.uk Steve Widdicombe swi@pml.ac.uk



Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage





### Two primary research objectives

- If there was a release of CO<sub>2</sub>, is the potential environmental impact significant?
  compared with other marine uses and climate change
- 2. What are the best (economic yet rigorous) methods of monitoring for a leak / assuring no leakage?

deep seismic monitoring is expensive and can only "see" relatively large  $CO_2$  volumes. Monitoring at the surface enables detection of and assurance against all leakage





#### **QICS: A Controlled release experiment.**



Blackford, JC; Stahl, H; Bull, JM; et al. 2014. *Nature Climate Change* 4, 1011-1016. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2381 Taylor P., et al., 2015. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2014.09.007





Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage



Taylor P., Stahl H., Vardy M.E., Bull J.M., Akhurst M., Hauton C., James R.H., Lichtschlag A., Long D., Aleynik D., Toberman M., Naylor M., Connelly D., Smith D., Sayer M.D.J., Widdicombe S., Wright I.C., Blackford J., 2015. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. Doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2014.09.007



#### Gas flow in sediments

Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage



Day 1: Gas propagation via pre-existent pathways.Day 7: Clear chimney in muddy sediments, only.Day 13: Area of reflectivity increased.

**Day 34:** Narrower chimney from diffuser to surface. Vigorous venting into water column



Seismic reflectance can "see" gas above a threshold. Flow mechanisms are complex
 Flow became more focussed as chimneys developed through the sediment

Blackford, JC; Stahl, H; Bull, JM; et al. 2014. Nature Climate Change 4, 1011-1016. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2381 Cevatoglu M., Bull J.M., Vardy M.E., Gernon T.M., Wright I.C., Long D., 2015.. International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control. Doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.03.005

#### **Release experiment: Benthic chemistry**



- Strong evidence for buffering
- Change in pH is limited, intermittently reversed, reducing impacts
- Some evidence for mobilisation of heavy metals, but not to the extent of exceeding environmental impact thresholds



#### Gas fluxes into the water column

Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage



- Gas plumes readily revealed by active acoustics (sonar)
- Gas also detected and quantified by hydrophones
- Gas flow was heavily influenced by the tidal state, almost ceasing at high tide
- Hence bubbles and plumes may not be a constant feature of a release





#### CO<sub>2</sub> flux into the water column



Carbonate observations very dependent on sensor positioning: huge small scale heterogeneity - implications for monitoring.

Blackford, JC; Stahl, H; Bull, JM; et al. 2014. *Nature Climate Change* 4, 1011-1016. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2381 Shitashima et al, 2015. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 38, 135-142 Mori et al, 2015. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 38, 153-161



**Piymouth Marine** 



# **Can observed water column pCO<sub>2</sub> be explained by only gas bubble flow?** – no Model recreation of sea floor flux in Ardmucknish Bay



Concluded: Significant "invisible" dissolved flow had occurred.

~15% CO<sub>2</sub> emitted as bubbles, ~35% in the dissolved phase, within the bubble plumes and ~50% retained in the sediments – implications for quantification.





### How far did the CO<sub>2</sub> plume spread?



Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations in bottom water confined to release epicentre

### **Release experiment: Biological response**



Widdicombe et al, 2015. Impact of sub-seabed CO2 leakage on macrobenthic community structure and diversity. *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2015.01.003

#### Climate change 10000000 1000000 1000000 100000 North Sea 10000 Trawling 1000 100 Impacted 10 Area (km<sup>2</sup>) 1 $\Delta pH = 0.1$ 0.1 0.01 Sports pitch 0.001 0.0001 0.00001 0.000001 0.000001 0.0000001 0.00000001 0.001 0.0001 1000 10000 0.01 0.1 10 100 Ъ 100000 Leak rate (T/d)

### How large an area could be affected? Meta analysis of leak simulations

# **Monitoring: Leaks are complex**

- Tidal mixing means that footprint of a leak will be dynamic
- No two leaks will look the same
- Large variability driven by tidal state, currents, stratification, leak rate, bubble size, phase chemistry.





- Monitoring: moving target
- Exposure: may be cyclic
- Chemical recovery is very rapid

Blackford et al, 2008, *Marine Pollution Bulletin*, 56. 1461 - 1468 Phelps et al, 2015, *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*, 38, 210-220. Blackford, JC; Torres, R; Artioli, Y; Cazenave, P. 2013 *Energy Procedia*, 37. 3379 - 3386. Doi: 10.1016/j.egypro.2013.06.226

## Natural systems are very complex



# Detection criteria: looking for short term, unusual $\Delta pH$

 Sensors can resolve changes of 0.001 pH units or less



- However short term changes of that order are naturally ubiquitous
- Rapid changes of between 0.1-0.01 pH units are more likely to indicate an anomaly
- Highly dependent on season and site





#### **Operational Monitoring Options**



Quantifying and Monitoring Potential Ecosystem Impacts of Geological Carbon Storage

#### Monitoring strategy, four stages:

- 1. Detect anomalies / Ensure no leakage
- 2. Confirmation and attribution
- 3. Quantification of flux
- 4. Impact assessment

Four methods	Power needs	Range	Sensitivity	Detection	Confirmation	Quantification	Impact assess
Passive acoustics (listening for bubbles)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Active acoustics (sonar for bubbles / seismic reflectance)	×	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$			
<b>Chemical</b> (pH, tracers, isotopes, etc)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
<b>Biological</b> (abnormal behaviour, mortality)	×	×	×				$\checkmark$



### Summary

PML

**Piymouth Marine** 

- Well managed and operated carbon storage should not leak.
- If a small leak occurred the impact footprint would be minimal, certainly << less than other marine uses.
- Monitoring will be challenging and will require site specific detection strategies coupled with a very good understanding of natural carbonate system variability.
- Monitoring may well require more complex detection algorithms based on covariance relationships (e.g.  $DIC - O_2 - N$ ) as well as detection based on sound.

# Work continues in the EU within the STEMM-CCS project:

- Characterisation of biogeochemical baseline at Goldeneye
- Controlled deeper ~100m CO<sub>2</sub> release
- Development of sensors, platforms, monitoring strategies



http://www.stemm-ccs.eu/



#### Outputs



Arm 2 10 3 40 200

# www.qics.co.uk



- Blackford et al Nature Climate Change 4, 1011-1016. DOI:10.1038/NCLIMATE2381
- International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, 38, 2015. Special Issue













#### **Diving surveys & sampling: >260 individual dives**





#### In situ sensors & measurements



Electrode array for self potential and resistivity measurements



24 mussel cages

deployed

78 individual benthic chamber incubations

Shallow seismics (sediment gas)

Sonar (water column gas)

Passive acoustics (listening for bubbles)

Chemical (pH, carbonate system, isotopes)

Biological sampling

#### **Ship-board measurements**













