



Agentschap NL
Ministerie van Economische Zaken

Gaps Analysis - Transport

CSLF Ministerial Meeting –
PIRT

Harry Schreurs

Beijing 19 September 2011



What and how to analyse

Start: WG members (1 exception!) were not co-operative

Solution: Start something myself

First choice:

- CSLF recognised projects with a Transport Module
 - Selection from earlier (secretarial) review
- 5 projects to select

Second choice:

- ask themselves, detail analysis later
 - use ICF International table to explore CCS readiness
- 3 projects answered and did that in detail (60% result; good?)



How does it look? 1/3

	QUEST	ROAD	RCI
Transport Method	Select ways (pipeline)	Pipeline to offshore	Select ways (pipeline and terminal)
Corridor selection	Level 2	Level 3	Level 3
Conflicting Uses and Rights	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3
Design of Transport Facilities	Level 3	Level 3	Level 3



How does it look? 2/3

	QUEST	ROAD	RCI
Cost Estimate for Transport Facilities	Level 3	Level 2	Level 3
Approvals for Transport Facilitie	Level 3	Level 3	Level 2
Public Awareness and Engagement	Level 2	Level 2	Level 1



How does it look? 3/3

	QUEST	ROAD	RCI
Sources for Equipment, Materials and Services	Level 2	Level 2	Level 3
Ongoing Obligations	Level 1 to 2 (reporting)	Level 1 to 2 (reporting)	Level (0) 1 (wait for start)



Early conclusions

1. Decisions on methods and corridors for transport all OK
2. Obtaining rights of way and approvals more or less OK
3. Design and cost estimates more or less finished
4. Selecting sources for equipment a.s.o. more or lesser OK
5. Public awareness and engagement still in building stage



What to do now

1. Deeper evaluation – also incorporating new projects - is necessary. Time to have a second look to the GCCSI and (new?) WG members
2. All the OK's represent a lot of learning material. Base for a second workshop (“How we got from here to there and back”)?
3. A new chairman is needed soon