



## POLICY GROUP

### **Key Messages and Recommendations from the CSLF Technical Group**

#### Background

At the September 2011 CSLF Ministerial Meeting in Beijing, the Technical Group approved a new multi-year Action Plan to identify priorities and provide a structure and framework for conducting Technical Group efforts through 2016. Twelve individual actions were identified, and Task Forces were formed to address four of these twelve actions. This paper is a summary of key messages and recommendations from the following three Technical Group Task Forces:

- Technology Opportunities and Gaps Task Force
- Technical Challenges for Conversion of CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR to CCS Task Force
- CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization Options Task Force

#### Action Requested

The Policy Group is requested to review the messages and recommendations from the Technical Group.



## Key Messages and Recommendations from the CSLF Technical Group

*Prepared by the CSLF Technical Group Executive Committee*

### CCS Technology Opportunities and Gaps

- At a high level there are no major technology gaps or impediments to large-scale CCS deployment; the technology is available and can be effectively deployed.
- The focus of the technology development is now on driving down costs, improving operational and monitoring performance, and contributing to better regulatory frameworks for CCS.
- Current commercially available capture technologies will evolve by implementing more projects. This typical “learning by doing” phenomenon is common with many technologies and is already happening in CCS.
- For the next generation of capture technologies, that promise much lower costs than those currently available, more attention is needed. Investment in the early stages of development has been significant with a number of promising emerging technologies. However, with little or no market for CCS (e.g., CO<sub>2</sub> price or emissions reduction mandate), the market pull for this next crop of technologies is weak. Getting next-generation lower-cost technologies into large scale pilots and demonstration operations is important and requires governments to act to ensure that CO<sub>2</sub> capture at much lower costs is available for deployment by 2030 and beyond.
- Technologies for capturing CO<sub>2</sub> from natural gas combustion should be a priority, as low-cost shale gas will encourage more gas combustion driven both by market costs and by an increasing need to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions.
- Pipeline transporting of CO<sub>2</sub> is a mature technology, but more experience is needed in planning and designing large scale transport hubs managing a diverse supply of CO<sub>2</sub> with different impurity concentrations. Large scale transport of CO<sub>2</sub> by ship offers promise and needs to be demonstrated at scale.
- On storage, the significant body of knowledge from the oil and gas industry combined with what is now 10-15 years of R&D on the behaviour of CO<sub>2</sub> in deep rock formations underpins a strong consensus that safe CO<sub>2</sub> storage is possible today.
- The lead times from initiating exploration through to approvals and construction of storage sites will often be 10-15 years. The rate at which exploration is incentivised to start will have a profound impact on the degree to which CCS can contribute to reaching 2050 global CO<sub>2</sub> reduction targets. This will increase the ability to deploy CCS more rapidly and will in turn affect the rate of technology improvement. There is a strong recommendation to start or incentivize more exploration for storage.

- Monitoring, measurement verification (MMV) for stored CO<sub>2</sub> continues to progress well. Low cost, continuous, high-resolution subsurface monitoring is being refined and may be valuable in some situations. An important new front is developing MMV technologies and strategies for MMV for storage in offshore environments.
- It is recommended that Governments continue to look to support and incentivise international technology collaboration and researcher exchange to spark faster developments and the diffusion of new CCS technologies, particularly in the fields of capture and monitoring.

## Converting CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR Operations to CCS

- Enhanced Oil Recovery (EOR) is the most near-term utilization option that has broad commercial deployment opportunities.
- There is sufficient operational and regulatory experience for this technology to be considered as being mature, with an associated CO<sub>2</sub> storage rate of the purchased CO<sub>2</sub> greater than 90%.
- The main reason CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR is not applied on a large scale outside west Texas in the United States is the unavailability of high-purity CO<sub>2</sub> in the amounts and at the cost needed for this technology to be deployed on a large scale.
- The absence of infrastructure to both capture the CO<sub>2</sub> and transport it from CO<sub>2</sub> sources to oil fields suitable for CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR is also a key reason for the lack of large scale deployment of CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.
- There are a number of commonalities between CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR and pure CO<sub>2</sub> storage operations, both at the operational and regulatory levels, which create a good basis for transitioning from CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR to CO<sub>2</sub> storage in oil fields.
- There are no specific technological barriers or challenges *per se* in transitioning and converting a pure CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operation into a CO<sub>2</sub> storage operation. The main differences between the two types of operations stem from legal, regulatory and economic differences between the two.
- A challenge for CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations which may, in the future, convert to CO<sub>2</sub> storage operations is the lack of baseline data for monitoring.
- In order to facilitate the transition of a pure CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operation to CO<sub>2</sub> storage, operators and policy makers have to address a series of legal, regulatory and economic issues in the absence of which this transition can not take place. These should include:
  1. Clarification of the policy and regulatory framework for CO<sub>2</sub> storage in oil reservoirs, including incidental and transitioned storage CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations.
  2. Clarification if CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations transitioning to CO<sub>2</sub> storage operations should be tenured and permitted under mineral/oil & gas legislation or under CO<sub>2</sub> storage legislation.
  3. Clarification of any long-term liability for CO<sub>2</sub> storage in CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations that have transitioned to CO<sub>2</sub> storage, notwithstanding the CO<sub>2</sub> stored during the previous phase of pure CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR.

4. Clarification of the monitoring and well status requirements for oil and gas reservoirs, particularly for CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR, including baseline conditions for CO<sub>2</sub> storage.
5. Addressing the issue of jurisdictional responsibility for pure CO<sub>2</sub> storage in oil and gas reservoirs, both in regard to national-subnational jurisdiction in federal countries, and to organizational jurisdiction (environment versus development ministries/departments).

## **CO<sub>2</sub> Utilization Options**

- Besides utilization in CO<sub>2</sub>-EOR operations, there is a wide range of CO<sub>2</sub> utilization options available which can serve as a mechanism for deployment and commercialization of carbon capture and storage (CCS) by providing an economic return for the capture and utilization of CO<sub>2</sub>.
- Non-EOR CO<sub>2</sub> utilization options are at varying degrees of commercial readiness and technical maturity.
- For commercially and technologically mature options such as urea production and utilization in greenhouses, efforts should be on demonstration projects and on the use of non-traditional feedstocks (such as coal) or 'polygeneration' concepts (such as those based on integrated gasification combined cycle (IGCC) concepts). This can help facilitate CCS deployment by diversifying the product mix and providing a mechanism for return on investment.
- Efforts that are focused on hydrocarbon recovery other than EOR, such as CO<sub>2</sub> for enhanced gas recovery (via methane displacement) or CO<sub>2</sub> utilization as a fracturing fluid, should focus on field tests to validate existing technologies and capabilities, and to understand the dynamics of CO<sub>2</sub> interactions in the reservoir.
- Efforts that are in early R&D or pilot-scale stages, such as algal routes to fuels, aggregate/secondary construction materials (SCM) production, and enhanced geothermal systems, should focus on: addressing key techno-economic challenges; independent tests to verify the performance (e.g., less energy requirements with CO<sub>2</sub> utilization to produce SCM and building materials) of these products compared to technical requirements and standards; and support of small, pilot-scale tests of first generation technologies and designs that could help provide initial data on engineering and process challenges of these options.
- More detailed technical, economic, and environmental analyses should be conducted to better quantify the potential impacts and economic potential of CO<sub>2</sub> utilization technologies and to clarify how R&D could potentially expand the market for these utilization options (e.g., in enhanced gas recovery) and improve the economic and environmental performance of the system.