Update on Cslf Projects Interaction and review team (PIRT)

USA Co-Chairs:

Sallie E. Greenberg and Mark Ackiewicz

Reinvigorate project recognition within the CSLF

Reinforce the value of projects to CSLF and stakeholders (particularly CEM)

Review the projects CSLF recognizes, and improve process

Reimaginging the PIRT

Report by: Martine Woolf
Geoscience Australia
February 2020

Re-invigorate

- Targeted approach to project recognition
  - Variety
  - First of a Kind
  - Strategic challenges
  - Actively manage recognition process by inviting projects AND self-nomination
  - Encourage all CSLF members to recommend and propose projects for recognition

Reinforce

- Reinforce value of project recognition (external)
  - Provide information about projects (project status, active projects)
  - Ensure representation at CSLF from projects achieving significant advances
  - Encourage data sharing

- Reinforce value of project recognition (internal)
  - Identify opportunities to highlight recognized projects
 - Regularly survey projects to add value to recognition

Review

- Streamline PIRT review process and composition
  - PIRT comprised of Chair (or Co-Chairs) and CSLF membership
  - Meetings held during regular CSLF meeting.
  - Minimize duplication
  - Review Terms of Reference to ensure compliant

Terms of Reference give us latitude we need, no need for changes

Revitalize and increase momentum for PIRT

Facilitate the next set of projects by providing resources and connections with previous/current projects

Leverage learnings from R&D and Commercial projects

Align projects with CSLF knowledge sharing platform

Act as a resource and facilitator for newly joined projects

Objectives

Next Steps

- Review existing list of projects:
  - Which projects are there?
  - Which projects are missing?
  - Cross-reference with CSLF or CEM-member countries

- Revise On-boarding/Application process
  - Proactively approach projects and invite to join/seek recognition from CSLF
  - Simplify application process, and use to engage projects more fully and set expectations for participation
  - Projects present at PIRT section of CSLF meeting and use guidelines for what to present, technical details of project, how integrate into CSLF, what hoping to gain from participation…

- Establish an Exit Interview strategy – to interview and gain insights into learnings, pit falls, successes, even if projects do not go to completion, collect learnings as we go

Proposed PIRT Meeting Format

- PIRT becomes part of regular CSLF meetings, assumes 1.5-2 day meeting

- PIRT portion 1-2 hours if projects to review. ~30 minutes for PIRT if no projects

- Send pre-read (PIRT project application and copy of presentation) to Technical delegates prior to CSLF Meeting

- PIRT co-chairs present Update/Report on current PIRT activities and recognized projects

- Project teams seeking CSLF recognition present to whole CSLF delegation

- Discussion of project details and questions

- Project team leaves room, Delegates vote/recommend for recognition

- Formal acceptance or denial of recognition

- Bring Project team back into room

Potential Future Activities

- Knowledge sharing events and opportunities are already taking place, see some room for expansion or direction

- Use Capture Test Center Network as a model to create a CSLF-based Project Network
  - Ultimate goal: A self-managed Network
  - Could move at later date to another organization
  - May be a pathway for Task Force evolution – into networks
  - Be cognizant of overlap with IEAGHG and other organizations

- Leverage learnings, map to TRM

- Explore a 2-pronged approach to engage R&D and Commercial projects
  - R&D could focus on specific areas, such as current fault activation projects underway

- Consider COVID19 impact questions to gain insights from current projects, could also use as a mechanism to communicate anticipated PIRT changes for current projects and/or introduction for new projects to bring on-board

Discussion and Questions