

- Roadmap Discussion Outcomes:
 - Roadmap needs a more integrated framework that reflects national and CSLF needs.
 - Current Roadmap is too project specific and needs to be more generalized
 - National plans needs to be reflected in the CSLF Roadmap
 - Need for guiding principles on how collaboration would work and identification of core collaborative R&D challenges unique to the CSLF- there is a need to show real added benefit from the CSLF
 - Need to identify gaps that can be closed by the CSLF complimentary to national and regional efforts
 - Consistency of wording



- Roadmap Discussion Outcomes (Continued):
 - Mapping of geological storage potential seen as essential starting point
 - The current Roadmap is too geographically and geologically specific
 - Need to identify "low hanging fruit", which will be country specific
 - Retain 2013, but as a key milestone, not as the end of the Roadmap



- Roadmap Discussion Outcomes- Specific Actions:
 - Modify existing CSLF Roadmap
 - UK to provide framework information to Secretariat before January 30, 2004
 - Secretariat to send framework information to Technical Group before February 7, 2004
 - Technical Group should provide revisions to Secretariat by February 27, 2004
 - Revised text to be circulated by Secretariat by March 26, 2004
 - Final should be sent to Secretariat by April 23, 2004
 - Final Draft of Roadmap to be circulated by Secretariat by May 28, 2004
 - CSLF Technology Roadmap Task Force meeting on 20 August 2004 at IPCC Meeting
 - Document to Ministerial Meeting



- Roadmap Discussion Outcomes- Specific Actions:
 - National:
 - Gap/needs analysis
 - Some country roadmaps by September 2004
 - Others to follow
 - Geological storage potential mapping
 - CSLF:
 - Revised format
 - Applied R&D projects to underpin
 - Demonstration (pilot projects)- developed and underway
 - 2013 milestone (not the end of things)
 - Mechanism for feedback from national programs and vice versa