

Report from Risk Assessment Task Force

George Guthrie

Task Force Chair U.S.A.



Task Force Phase I Complete

Phase I Charter (Initiated CSLF London 2006)

- ➤ Examine risk-assessment standards, procedures, and research activities relevant to unique risks associated with the injection and long-term storage of CO₂
 - Risks associated with CO₂ near-term (injection) processes (including fracturing, fault re-activation, induced seismicity)
 - Risk associated with long-term processes related to impacts of CO₂ storage, including:
 - health, safety, and environmental risks
 - potential impact on natural resources (such as groundwater, mineral resources, etc.)
 - return to the atmosphere

Phase I Summary

- Initiated at London (Nov 2006)
- Recommendations finalized at Oslo (Apr 2009)
- Final draft to Secretariat (May 2009); circulated to TG for review/comments (summer 2009)
- Phase I report complete and submitted to TG (fall 2009)



Recommendations from the Phase I Report

(section 4.1)

- The link between risk assessment and liability should be recognized and considered.
- Storage integrity goals (e.g., acceptable risk levels) for sites should be discussed.
- Risk assessment should be considered in the context of stakeholder outreach and communication.
 - This recommendation was passed to PG

Carbon Sequestration leadership forum

www.c/lforum.org

Phase I Recommendation: Risk Communication

- Technical Group passed outreach need to Policy Group.
 - Policy Group's Communication Task Force—information documents ("inFocus Carbon Capture & Storage")
 - Why Carbon Capture and Storage
 - ii. CO₂ Capture—Does it work?
 - iii. CO₂ Transportation—Is it safe and reliable?
 - iv. Is Geologic CO₂ Storage Safe?
 - v. Underground CO₂ Storage: Myth or reality?

Action Item: RATF recommends to TG:

- 1. endorse the Policy Group's proposed 5 inFocus documents for posting to web
- 2. provide to PG editorial changes by 2 April (comments to G. Guthrie)
- 3. suggest to PG that an additional communication document may be necessary to clarify the distinction between geologic storage and other CO2 scenarios (e.g., Lake Nyos)

Status of Phase II Tasks

- Gap assessment to identify CCS-specific tools and methodologies that will be needed to support risk assessment
 - RATF will leverage IEA-GHG Risk Assessment Network meeting in May (Denver, CO, USA)
 - present CSLF-RATF phase II activity to Network
 - circulate discussions/outbrief from Network to RATF evaluation as input into gap assessment (May/June)
 - coordinate gap assessment for risk assessment with PIRT activity (June/July/August)
- Feasibility assessment of developing general technical guidelines for risk assessment that could be adapted to specific sites & local needs.
 - IEA-GHG RA Network meeting will include presentations on this; outbrief will be circulated to RATF (June) for consideration as basis for developing our phase II plan (next TG meeting)