

CSLF Technical Group Projects Interaction and Review Team

Technical Group Review Paris, France

Wednesday 28th March 2007

PIRT: Update & Refresh (detail)

earbon sequestration leadership forum

- Organisation
- Progress
- Outputs and outcomes
- Ongoing
 - Link to IEA
 - Workload : Strategic Plan
 - New PIRT Co-Chair
 - Submission Forms
 - Roadmap & Technology Gaps
- PIRT Recommendations
- Workshop Debrief and Planning Next

PIRT: Organisation



Core Group: representation from

Australia (Co-Chair PIRT)

Canada (Vice chair TG)

Denmark

European Commission (recently resigned as Co-Chair PIRT)

Germany

India (Vice chair TG)

Netherlands (February 2007)

Norway (Chair TG)

Saudi Arabia (February 2007)

United Kingdom (Co-Chair PIRT)

United States of America

• Floating Group:

Made up of representatives of CSLF recognized Projects and subject area experts

PIRT: Progress

Since Meeting in London on 14th November 2006



Core Group :

- Meetings held in
 - No additional meetings
 - Phone hook-ups (numerous)
 - planning Workshop and Gaps Analysis tasks

Floating Group :

Contacted for assistance with regard Gaps Analysis of CSLF recognized projects

PIRT: Outputs and Outcomes - 1

Since Meeting in London on 14th November 2006



- A comprehensive Technology Gap Assessment was initiated to help identify where CSLF projects should be encouraged in relation to the CSLF Charter.
- This Gaps Analysis was circulated to all of the CSLF recognised projects to ascertain areas where work is being undertaken. The results of this assessment being presented in Paris Workshop (Poster)

Outcomes from yesterday

- Need to get remaining projects to submit the gaps they are working upon
- Concern raised that too many gaps exist?
 - rename to "Knowledge acquisition"



PIRT: Ongoing - 1




Formalise Link to IEA GHG

- As an outcome from the CSLF meeting in London, a mechanism for formalizing a relationship between the PIRT and the IEA GHG is to be developed ongoing discussions
- •Options under consideration meet in May 2007 with IEA GHG to discuss and scope out arrangement in interim

Excessive PIRT Workload

- At the London CSLF Technical Group Meeting, the excessive workload of the PIRT was recognised as an issue, and so consideration of this matter is required
- Prioritization for implementation of CSLF Action Plan items is being developed – ongoing discussions
- Options being considered
- •Attempt to keep all items moving, but focus on key ones that have critical impact and are achievable


CSLF Action Plan Timeline for the Projects Interaction and Review Team (PIRT)





PIRT Action Plan as per CSLF Strategic Plan

Excessive Workload requires prioritisation, external collaboration (IEA GHG) and readjustment of timelines



PIRT: Ongoing - 2

PIRT new Co-Chair

- Under the PIRT guidelines, there is an opportunity for rotation of one of the three co-Chairs on an annual basis
- No new Co-Chair nominated but EC has stepped down
- Now only two Co-Chairs Australia and UK
- •Will call on Core Group individual to help when NO/JB too busy

New Project Submissions

- Several projects intimated that they will seek CSLF recognition
- Zama Project Canada and USA Submitted application on 23rd
 February 2007
- Otway Basin Pilot Project Australia and Canada Submitted application on 17th March 2007
- Advice on applications
- •Response re adequacy and detail of submission forms

Roadmap and Technology Gaps Analysis

- •Following the outcomes of the Workshop meeting in Paris in March 2007, the CSLF Technology Roadmap and Gaps Analysis will be reviewed.
- •Require Advice from EC: Countries with or planning own roadmaps

PIRT: Outputs and Outcomes - 2

Since Meeting in London on 14th November 2006



- CSLF (PIRT) Workshop
 - Planned for the workshop on "Overcoming Barriers to CCS Deployment" being held at the CSLF meeting in Paris on the 27th March 2007 (Monday)
 - Results and feedback (Item 7 Later in Agenda)
 - Request Feedback from TG for improvements
 - Way to do things better or differently?
 - Original PIRT/TG view deviated substantially by last minute forced changes
 - Success or not? Panels OK Talks too crowded
 - Huge time sink for PIRT
 - Can we streamline process
 - Repeat again in 12 months or as necessary?
 - Ideas on theme to plan for
 - View of CSLF Projects
 - Enough / too little involvement
 - Different format
 - Would CSLF Projects next time prefer a solely technical session (alternate policy/technical and technical)
 - longer warning / preparation time ?
 - Sharper gaps focus ? or more update progress focus
 - More or less panels?
 - Can we better facilitate networking between technical specialists within Projects?

PIRT Recommendations for TG



- Assist with effort to have 3rd PIRT Co-Chair position filled
- Clarify new Project Submission Form subsequent to first use/test by Project proponents
 - Re signing keep informal advice level preferred head of delegation
- Accept proposal in regard to Action Plan and its implementation
- Accept with IEA GHG planning options
- Accept PIRT recommendations on project Submission status and recommendations on:
 - Zama Project, and
 - Otway Basin Pilot Project
- Request all new Taskforces specifically
 - consider Technology Gaps Assessment and Road Map in their reporting and consult with CSLF Projects / PIRT Core or Floating Group where appropriate
- TG engage in Workshop Debrief and Review



CSLF Technical Group Project Interaction and Review Team

Technical Group Review
28th March 2007
END