

CSLF Projects Interaction and Review Team (PIRT)

CCS Technology Gaps Analysis



PIRT FORMATION & OBJECTIVES

Following the Technical group meeting in Melbourne, Australia, in September 2004, a recommendation was put forward for a working group which would assess projects proposed for recognition by the CSLF and review the CSLF project portfolio to identify synergies and gaps that would then act as input for any future revision of the CSLF Technology Road map. This working group was endorsed by the Policy Group at the CSLF meeting in New Delhi in April 2006 and is now known as the Projects Interaction and Review Team (PIRT).

The PIRT has the following tasks:

- Assess projects proposed for recognition by the CSLF in accordance with the project selection criteria approved by the Policy Group. Based on this assessment, make recommendations to the Technical Group on whether a project should be accepted for recognition by the CSLF.
- Review the CSLF project portfolio and identify synergies, complementarities and gaps, providing feedback to the Technical Group and input for further revisions of the CSLF roadmap.
- Identify technology gaps where further RD&D would be required.
- Foster enhanced international collaboration for CSLF projects, both within individual projects (e.g. expanding partnership to entities from other CSLF members) and between different projects addressing similar issues.
- Promote awareness within the CSLF of new developments in CO₂ Capture and Storage by establishing and implementing a framework for periodically reporting to the Technical Group on the progress within CSLF projects and beyond.
- Organize periodic activities to facilitate the fulfilment of the above functions and to give an opportunity to individuals involved in CSLF recognized projects and other relevant individuals invited by the CSLF, to exchange experience and views on issues of common interest and provide feedback to the CSLF.
- Perform other such tasks that may be assigned to it by the CSLF Technical Group.

TECHNICAL GAPS ANALYSIS

In order to complete the task of identifying technology gaps where further research and development would be required, a comprehensive gap assessment began in 2006. The purpose of this was to identify where projects should be encouraged in the CSLF charter, to promote synergies and inform on new developments.



Single well injection test- Alberta Enhanced Coal-bed Methane Recovery Project

The CSLF Technical Group Gap Analysis work was divided into three components: 1) Capture, 2) Storage and 3) Monitoring and Verification. These were initially instigated by completion of three taskforces examining these topics: Task Force to Identify Gaps in CO₂ Capture and Transport, Task Force to Identify Gaps in Measurement, Monitoring and Verification in Storage and the Task Force to Review and Identify Standards for CO₂ Storage Capacity Measurement. From the results of these taskforces and by scoping out other gaps from within the Core Group and Floating Group within the PIRT, a list of technology barriers to the CCS deployment were identified and are listed in the adjacent table. These technology gaps were assembled at a high level so that more detailed gaps could be addressed underneath key topics.

The 17 projects recognised within the CSLF were then asked to identify if any of their project outcomes would encompass these issues. Many projects were able to respond in time for this poster and the details of their responses are shown in light green. Those in dark green are taken from the projects descriptions on their websites and information sheets. An interactive spreadsheet of these responses is available at <http://www.cslforum.org/documents/PIRTGapAnalysis.xls>

The aim of this poster session is to highlight aspects of projects that currently or plan to fill these gaps, as well as promote discussion of the areas that are not being addressed by CSLF projects. If any non-CSLF projects wish to consider applying to be recognised as CSLF project, the submission forms are available at <http://cslforum.org/documents/ProjectSubmissionForm.doc>

| | 1) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 2) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 3) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 4) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 5) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 6) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 7) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 8) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 9) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 10) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 11) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 12) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 13) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 14) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 15) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 16) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 17) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 18) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 19) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman | 20) Natural Gas Processing with Pre-combustion CO ₂ Capture and Storage in Oman |
|-------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| CAPTURE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STORAGE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MONITORING | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Pre-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Post-combustion | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

TECHNOLOGY GAPS ANALYSIS RESULTS 1



| MONITORING | | | 1) Abate Enhanced Coal-bed Methane Recovery Project | 4) CO ₂ Capture Project | 5) CO ₂ Geoliner | 10) China Coal-bed Methane Technology/CO ₂ Separation Project | 12) Geologic CO ₂ Storage Assurance at In Salah, Algeria | 17) EA ONG Waburub-MBale CO ₂ Monitoring & Storage Project |
|-----------------------------------|--|---|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| SECTOR/INDUSTRY | Will your project address any of these issues? | Examples: | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined | Reference to relevant work: Publications or website | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined | Reference to relevant work: Publications or website | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined | Reference to relevant work: Publications or website |
| Midstream Integrity | | | | | | | | |
| M1 | Feasibility and cost of available technologies | Identification of logging tools that have applicability to the monitoring of CO ₂ storage | Integrated "Well Integrity Field Study" includes assessment and condition, sampling & analysis, remote logging and history matching, forward simulation and engineering solutions. A completion study "Well-Integrity in the Subsurface" tested the threshold detectability of CO ₂ using downhole NMR tool to a production well | Not public yet | | | In Salah is bringing a portfolio of tools | Limited work to this point, that plans will undertake more research |
| M2 | Improved interpretation of available logs | To determine potential activity to resolve the issues associated with monitoring well | See "Well Integrity Field Study" above | Not public yet | | | | As above |
| M3 | Improved surface monitoring techniques | To allow integration of activity outside the wellbore to the monitoring wellbore | See "Well Integrity Field Study" above | Not public yet | | | | As above |
| M4 | Physical or chemical changes to reservoir | | See "Well Integrity Field Study" above | Not public yet | | | | As above |
| Midstream/Wellhead and Production | | | | | | | | |
| M5 | Cost of water | Reporting identification of gas factors that might be associated with existing CO ₂ plants | Comprehensive program executed and reported | None | NA | Natural analogues where CO ₂ migration is occurring through fractures being extensively monitored | In Salah plans to run several sensors | 3D and 2D seismic to identify faults, progressing to 3D seismic to track fractures to improve interpretation |
| M6 | Non-invasive geophysical techniques | Improve seismic resolution | Seismicity developed on surface and bottom waters for current multi-well project (CSPB) | Not public yet | | CO ₂ monitoring study includes the utility of seismic and seismic applications to fault identification in coal systems | | |
| M7 | Improved capabilities and resolution of sensors at wellhead and surface | With seismic, innovation in the combination of techniques | | Not public yet | | See "CO ₂ Monitoring" above | | |
| Scale from subsurface | | | | | | | | |
| M8 | Seismic resolution | Improved vertical resolution | | None | NA | | | |
| M9 | Seismic cost reduction | Reduced costs for energy and data interpretation | | None | NA | Lower cost seismic techniques being developed | | |
| M10 | Enhancement of performance of sensors using advanced materials | End-to-end leakage monitoring system | | None | NA | | | |
| Surface and near-surface | | | | | | | | |
| M11 | Detecting CO ₂ seepage into subsurface storage | Improved methods, particularly downhole CT | Downhole monitoring to be conducted as part of CSPB report well pilot | None | NA | Comprehensive effort being made on natural seepage risks identified and major field tests | | |
| M12 | Remote sensing of CO ₂ flux | Developing techniques that can measure low flux seepage | All surveys to be undertaken as part of CSPB report well pilot | Not public yet | | New techniques being tested on natural CO ₂ leaks | | |
| M13 | Use of regionalized designs by improved survey design to identify gas fluxes from subsurface | Optimised system for seismic in offshore, shallow seas, where regionalized designs are used | | None | NA | Remote and terrestrial fluxes being investigated to reduce CO ₂ seepage and improve data base | | |
| M14 | Improved methods to identify seepage of CO ₂ | To allow identification of natural CO ₂ seepage on surface that might be from design cases | | Not public yet | | Airborne surveys over natural CO ₂ seeps | | |
| Subsida Development | | | | | | | | |
| M15 | Development of effective gas detection sensors | To provide evidence in the determination of hydrodynamic relations of the proposed detection zone | | None | NA | | | |
| M16 | Improved interpretation of monitoring techniques | Results of the application of the new technologies | Project has allowed for comparative analysis related to reservoir simulation | None | NA | | Project has allowed for comparative analysis related to reservoir simulation | |
| M17 | Identify thresholds of leakage that can be tolerated | Insulation of flow on monitoring systems of | | None | NA | Being assessed at natural CO ₂ leakage sites | | |

| CAPTURE | | | 3) CASTOR | 4) CO ₂ Capture Project | 6) CO ₂ Separation from Pressurized Gas Stream | 11) ENCAP | 14) ITC CO ₂ Capture with Chemical Solvents |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|
| SECTOR/INDUSTRY | Will your project address any of these issues? | Examples: | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined | Reference to relevant work: Publications or website | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined | Reference to relevant work: Publications or website | Project to expand on the specific issues they will address under the relevant gap and decrease the levels at which issues are being examined |
| Pre-Combustion | | | | | | | |
| C1 | Improved solvent systems | Low energy absorption, reduced degradation, reduced corrosion, improved operability, new solvent types | Less energy intensive, reduced degradation, reduced corrosion, improved operability, new solvent types | www.CO2capture.com | | | New solvents being developed - industrial solvents, ionic liquids, degradation resistant, corrosion resistant, development of process inhibitors, Property Protection as part of process |
| C2 | Advanced capture systems | Membranes, solid sorbents, physical separation techniques, No-reactive systems | Membrane contactors | | | | Some membrane work - membrane as part of membrane process. Work on viability of membranes |
| C3 | Process plant concepts to integrate CO ₂ capture | Process optimization, heat integration, capture efficiency | Process optimization, heat integration | | | | Limited work on integration of the plant including a new solvent with |
| C4 | CO ₂ capture pilot plant | Demonstration of large scale operational availability, reliability, technical and environmental performance in a common scale using relevant facility (e.g. coal) | Plant pilot at Eskom Power Station operated in 2006 Energy 11th CO ₂ - 1.1 started in Jan 06 | | | | plants at 1.3 mtpa per day CO ₂ and 4.3 mtpa per day CO ₂ (2006) including a new solvent. A single feed stream at generating station, with separate feed stream (high impurity) entering separator |
| Pre-Combustion | | | | | | | |
| C6 | Hydrogen gas turbines | Develop, validate and demonstrate operational low emission hydrogen gas combustion technology for gas turbines including those with dual fuel (CFO and hydrogen) | Demonstration of large scale operational availability, reliability, technical and environmental performance in a common scale using relevant facility (e.g. coal) | | | | Implement new high pressure hydrogen turbines in CFO cycles, including hydrogen turbine test cells, including hydrogen turbine test cells, including hydrogen turbine test cells, including hydrogen turbine test cells |
| C7 | Improved air separation processes | High temperature ceramic membranes | | | | | Both high temperature oxygen sorbents, ceramic CFO membranes and ceramic membranes for air gas production have been investigated. The air process has been tested and tested and a specific pilot has been made to conduct a more comprehensive test programme and up-scaling |
| C8 | Improved water-gas shift | Improved catalyst | | | | | |
| C9 | Improved H ₂ O ₂ separation | Improved solvents, membranes | | | | | |
| C10 | Process plant concepts to integrate CO ₂ capture | Coalbed shift and separation, but gas-boost technology, process optimization, heat integration | | | | | A complete process for integration in the pre-combustion section has been investigated. The air process has been tested and tested and a specific pilot has been made to conduct a more comprehensive test programme and up-scaling |
| C11 | Polygeneration optimization | Co-integration of H ₂ O ₂ , ethanol, ethanol, cyclic, fuels, etc. in combination with advanced | | | | | |
| C12 | Fully integrated demonstration plant | Demonstration of large scale operational availability, reliability, technical and environmental performance in a common scale using relevant facility (e.g. coal) | | | | | |
| Orbital Combustion | | | | | | | |
| C13 | Bottle design | Optimise, CO ₂ capture, PC without external circulation | | | | | |
| C14 | Improved air separation processes | Ceramic membranes, physical separation, others | | | | | |
| C15 | On-site gas turbines | Develop, design and demonstrate operational low emission hydrogen gas combustion technology for gas turbines including those with dual fuel (CFO and hydrogen) | | | | | |
| C16 | Combustion science | Development of combustion chemistry, heat transfer and kinetics to improve design, scale-up | | | | | |
| C17 | Process plant concepts to integrate CO ₂ capture | Process optimization, heat integration, capture efficiency | | | | | |
| C18 | CO ₂ capture pilot plant | Demonstration of large scale operational availability, reliability, technical and environmental performance in a common scale using relevant facility (e.g. coal) | | | | | |
| C19 | Fully integrated demonstration plant | Demonstration of large scale operational availability, reliability, technical and environmental performance in a common scale using relevant facility (e.g. coal) | | | | | |
| Industrial Application | | | | | | | |
| C20 | Capture from non-ferrous industrial processes | Steel, cement, refineries, etc. | | | | | |

