



Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum

Ministerial Forum

**Stakeholder Views
September 14, 2004**

**Barry Worthington
United States Energy Association**



Major Points

Stakeholders

- **Technology Arena**
- **Policy Arena**
- **Stakeholder Role**
- **Public Information**
- **Recommendations**



Background

Stakeholders

- **Recognition of substantial progress in short time**
- **Consensus on the enormity of challenges ahead**
- **Recognition of long lead times for technology development and deployment as well as for commercial projects**
- **Clear linkage with other initiatives i.e. clean energy, hydrogen, etc**



Technology Arena

Stakeholders

- **Technology development must proceed in parallel with policy, legal, regulatory, and public acceptance issues**
- **All technologies, all fuels, all strategies needed – a portfolio approach**



Technology Arena (2)

Stakeholders

- **Technology Roadmap is important – it points out status, potential pathways and gaps. Provides for periodic assessment**
- **Technology focus must be on proving technology, driving costs down, assure safety and environmental protection**



Policy Arena

Stakeholders

- **Sequestration as key plank within a broader sustainable framework**
- **Keep all options open – ensure national and regional discretion**
- **Further work useful on legal/regulatory framework**
- **A strong view with reservations by some that CCS will not be embraced unless policy actions limit greenhouse gas emissions**



Policy Arena (2)

Stakeholders

- **Recognise and embrace skeptics**
- **Involve public in decision making, particularly stakeholders**
- **Government-to-Government only is not sufficient**
- **Must incorporate project specific factors**



Policy Arena (3)

Stakeholders

- **Governments responsible for long term monitoring, measurement and assuring adequate verifications**
- **Develop financial strategies to deploy technologies in developing countries**
- **Monetizing CO2 can change market dramatically but no stakeholder consensus exists**
- **Consensus on need for demonstration/ deployment incentives but not on what incentives should be**



Stakeholder Role

Stakeholders

- **Stakeholders are taking independent action to coordinate and cooperate**
- **Broad recognition of need to involve stakeholders**
- **Role of stakeholders can be better defined – need formal “seat at table”**
- **Improve openness, transparency of CSLF process**



Stakeholder Role (2)

Stakeholders

- **Involve stakeholders in all activities**
- **Stakeholders are important allies to build support and momentum**
- **Open CSLF website to stakeholders**
- **Involve stakeholders in creating public information tools**
- **Broaden stakeholder involvement**



Public Information

Stakeholders

- **Develop public information / public acceptance roadmap**
- **Utilise electronic communication tools**
- **Focus initial efforts on broadening stakeholder constituents; key opinion leaders; news media**
- **Communication tools need to be developed that can be used on local project specific level**
- **Develop materials for use in schools**



Recommendations

Stakeholders

- **Focus on driving costs down and proving technologies**
- **Focus on technology roadmap as tool**
- **Sequestration as one piece of broader sustainable portfolio response**



Recommendations (2)

Stakeholders

- **Create incentives for demonstration and deployment**
- **Strengthen and broaden stakeholder involvement – need real collaboration**
- **Support objective / credible public information activities**