

# CO<sub>2</sub> Capture — Does it Work?

The process of capturing carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  from plant emissions is already a physical reality on a small scale. Current research efforts are focused on systems for capturing  $CO_2$  from large coal-based power plants, because they are the largest stationary sources of  $CO_2$  emissions. The ongoing research and development (R&D) challenge is capturing  $CO_2$  from these facilities in a way that is both efficient and also maintains affordable prices for electricity. Additionally, R&D is also focused on applying carbon capture and storage (CCS) to natural gas-fired power plants and industrial sources. Additional research that includes integrating and scaling up CCS technology by building and operating commercial-scale facilities in a variety of settings is essential for meeting R&D challenges.

#### **O**VERVIEW

 ${\rm CO_2}$  capture technology is not new or particularly unique — it has long been used by industry to remove  ${\rm CO_2}$  from gas streams where it is not wanted, or to separate  ${\rm CO_2}$  as a product gas.\text{\text{\$^1\$}} What is novel about it in terms of the climate change debate is the research effort to integrate and optimize existing and emerging technologies for the purpose of reducing human-made atmospheric  ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions. CCS is a combination of technologies for not only capturing, but also transporting and storing  ${\rm CO_2}$  emissions from fossil fuels.

The carbon capture process has been used for several decades in the petroleum, chemical, and power industries for a variety of reasons relevant to those industrial processes. Capturing all, or even just three-fourths, of the  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  in a typical power plant with current technology would require equipment many orders of magnitude larger — a very expensive and highly energy-intensive option.

Worldwide, there are today several operational largescale projects, along with numerous smaller facilities, "New or improved technologies for CO<sub>2</sub> capture, combined with advanced power systems and industrial process designs, can significantly reduce the cost of CO<sub>2</sub> capture in the future."

United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Carbon Capture and Storage (2005), 344.

## Did You Know?

demonstrating specific elements of the carbon capture process. According to the Global CCS Institute, at the end of 2010 there were 234 active or planned CCS projects globally, identified across a range of technologies, project types

<sup>1</sup>OECD/IEA, "Technology Roadmap: Carbon Capture and Storage," 2009, 9.

<sup>2</sup> National Energy Technology Laboratory, "Carbon Sequestration: FAQ Information Portal," n.d., http://www.netl.doe.gov/technologies/carbon\_seq/faqs/carbon-capture.html.

### inFocus: CO<sub>2</sub> Capture — Does it Work?

and sectors. Of these, 77 are large-scale integrated projects at various stages of development. Combined, these efforts have successfully demonstrated CCS as a technically feasible  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  mitigation technology.<sup>3</sup> However, there are no fully integrated, commercial-scale power plants in operation equipped with CCS. Continued research is needed to aggressively pursue the development of low- $\mathrm{CO}_2$  technologies and deploy cost-competitive CCS for both new and existing plants.

#### How is CO<sub>2</sub> captured from Power Plants?

Energy from fossil fuels (coal, oil, and natural gas) is released in the combustion (burning) process. The same chemical reaction that allows fossil fuels to release energy upon combustion also results in the emission of  $CO_2$  as a by-product of the combustion process. In pulverized coal systems, which make up the vast majority of America's existing fleet of coal-based power plants, the  $CO_2$  must be separated at fairly diluted concentrations from the balance of the combustion flue gases; in other systems, such as coal gasification, it can be more easily separated. After separation, the  $CO_2$  is compressed to a liquid-like state (called a "supercritical fluid"), transported (usually by pipeline) to an injection well, and then pumped underground into a secure and continuously monitored geologic storage area, the final stage in the CCS process.

There are three basic types of CO<sub>2</sub> capture: post-combustion, pre-combustion, and oxy-combustion.

- Post-combustion processes separate CO<sub>2</sub> from combustion exhaust gases. CO<sub>2</sub> can be captured using a liquid solvent, such as aqueous amine solution. Once absorbed by the liquid solvent, the CO<sub>2</sub> is then released by heating to form a pure CO<sub>2</sub> stream. This technology is widely used to capture CO<sub>2</sub> for use in the food and beverage industry. Post-combustion capture has been carried out successfully, but so far on a relatively small scale.
- Pre-combustion processes convert fuel into a gaseous mixture of hydrogen and CO<sub>2</sub>. The hydrogen is then separated and can be burned without producing any CO<sub>2</sub> in the exhaust gas. The remaining CO<sub>2</sub> can then be compressed for transport. Compared with post-combustion processes, the pressure and concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> in pre-combustion processes is relatively high making separation easier to achieve and offering the potential to apply novel capture technologies, such as membranes. The fuel conversion steps required for pre-combustion are more complex than the processes involved in post-combustion. This makes the technology more difficult to apply to existing power plants. Pre-combustion capture is used in industrial processes but has not been demonstrated in much larger coal gasification concepts.
- Oxyfuel combustion processes use oxygen rather than air for combustion of fuel. This produces exhaust
  gas that is mainly water vapor and CO<sub>2</sub>, which are easily separated to produce a pure CO<sub>2</sub> stream. Oxyfuel
  combustion systems are being developed on a small scale, in laboratory or pilot projects. This process can be
  applied to existing power plants.

#### IS CO<sub>2</sub> CURRENTLY BEING CAPTURED FROM PLANTS THAT GENERATE ELECTRICITY FROM COAL?

No one is currently capturing  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  at full scale from plants that generate electricity from coal. However, there are a few  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  capture applications at coal-fired plants at small scale. Post-combustion separation processes (amine scrubbers) are currently used commercially in industrial coal-fueled boilers to supply  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  to food and beverage processors and in chemical industries, but these applications are at a scale much smaller than that needed for power-producing pulverized coal or Circulating Fluidized Bed (CFB) plants.  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  separation processes suitable for Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) plants are used commercially in the oil and gas and chemical industries at a scale close to that ultimately needed, but their application requires the addition of more processing equipment to an IGCC plant and the deployment of gas turbines that can burn nearly pure hydrogen.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> World Coal Institute, "Securing the Future: Financing Carbon Capture and Storage in a Post-2012 World," November 2009, 5.

### $\mathrm{Can}\ \mathrm{CO}_2$ be captured from all types of plants that generate electricity from coal?

It is technically feasible to integrate  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  capture technologies into all types of new coal-based power plants. However, CCS represents a significant financial investment; cost has been identified as perhaps the greatest single hurdle to CCS deployment.<sup>4</sup> The cost of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  capture using currently available technology is very high — on the order of \$100–\$150 per tonne of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  avoided for first-of-a-kind plants and \$30–\$50 per tonne of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  avoided for nth-of-a-kind plants.<sup>5</sup> A new coal-fired plant can be designed to incorporate CCS from the very beginning, or it can be built to include upfront investments that lower the cost of later adding the technology. Retrofitting existing plants for CCS is expected to be more expensive (in terms of dollars per tonne of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  avoided and the incremental impact on the levelized cost of electricity). The incremental cost of CCS varies depending on the choice of capture technology, the percentage of  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  captured, the type of coal used, and the distance to and from the geologic storage area. Capture technologies can also be retrofitted to existing power plants but at an even higher cost and provided that sufficient space is available for the equipment. There are also significant integration and engineering considerations that need to be addressed.

#### Are there any other technical challenges?

All capture systems currently require large amounts of energy for their operation, resulting in decreased plant efficiencies and reduced net power outputs when compared to the same plants without CCS. These "penalties" mean commercially available CCS technologies would add around 80 percent to the cost of electricity for a new pulverized coal plant and around 35 percent to the cost of electricity for a new advanced gasification plant. Research is aggressively pursuing ways to reduce these costs to less than a 10 percent increase in the cost of electricity for new gasification-based energy plants, and less than a 30 percent increase in the cost of electricity for traditional pulverized coal plants. In addition, research is attempting to identify "best practices" that researchers and technology users believe will allow consistently safe and effective long-term  $\mathrm{CO}_2$  collection, injection, and storage and provide the basis for a consistent global legal and regulatory framework.

#### Where does carbon capture technology go from here?

Carbon capture has been clearly demonstrated on a small scale — the vital next step is the successful demonstration of fully integrated, large scale CCS systems on commercial-size power generating stations. There is a global need for significant financial investments to bring numerous commercial-scale demonstration projects on-line in the near future.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Bryan Hannegan, VP Environment, Electric Power Research Institute, "Future of Coal: Testimony before the U.S. Senate Committee on Energy and Natural Resources," 22 March 2007, 3, 5.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Mohammed A. Al-Juaied and Adam Whitmore, "Realistic Costs of Carbon Capture," Belfer Center for Science and International Affairs, July 2009.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Scott M. Klara, National Energy Technology Laboratory, "Testimony before the U.S. Senate Committee on Appropriations, Subcommittee on Energy and Water Development," 6 May 2009, 3–4, 10–11.

## Interesting Facts

# About Carbon Capture

- One million tonnes of captured CO<sub>2</sub> (in a super-critical liquid state) every year would nearly fill
  the volume of the Empire State Building in New York City (32 million cubic feet, or 906,000 cubic
  meters) source: U.S. National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL).
- Worldwide there are 234 planned or active CCS projects, 77 of which are large integrated projects in various stages of development source: Global CCS Institute.
- A 500 megawatt pulverized coal-fired plant produces about 10,000 tons per day of CO<sub>2</sub>; a 1000 MW plant emits 6–8 megatonnes (one megatonne = 1 million metric tons) annually source: Carbon Dioxide Capture and Storage, Howard Herzog, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, pages 265 and 268.
- Total global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions resulting from human activity are currently around 24 gigatonnes per year; the CO<sub>2</sub> storage capacity of hydrocarbon (coal, oil, and gas) reservoirs is estimated to be around 800 gigatonnes (one gigatonne = 1 billion tonnes). The world's deep saline formations may have a storage capacity far greater than this source: International Energy Agency, Storing CO<sub>2</sub> Underground, page 10.
- Globally there are more than 8,100 CO<sub>2</sub> point sources (primarily fossil fuel electric power plants and industrial facilities) that could conceivably adopt CCS technologies as a means for delivering deep and sustained CO<sub>2</sub> emissions reductions. Collectively these facilities emit about 15 gigatonnes (gigatonne = 1 billion tonnes) of CO<sub>2</sub> annually source: Global Energy Technology Strategy Program, Carbon Dioxide Capture and Geologic Storage, page 13.
- Governments and businesses need to invest as much as USD \$3.4 trillion in 3,400 carbon capture projects worldwide by 2050 as just one measure to cut fossil fuel CO<sub>2</sub> emissions by half from 2005 levels source: International Energy Agency.

#### Sources for Additional Information

- United Nations Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, <a href="http://www.ipcc.ch/">http://www.ipcc.ch/</a>
- International Energy Agency, <a href="http://www.iea.org/">http://www.iea.org/</a>
- World Coal Institute, <a href="http://www.worldcoal.org/">http://www.worldcoal.org/</a>
- The World Bank, <a href="http://www.worldbank.org/">http://www.worldbank.org/</a>
- European Zero Emissions Platform, <a href="http://www.zeroemissionsplatform.eu/">http://www.zeroemissionsplatform.eu/</a>

#### OTHER INFOCUS FACTSHEETS:

- Is Geologic CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Safe?
- Underground CO<sub>2</sub> Storage: A Reality?
- Why Carbon Capture and Storage?
- CO<sub>2</sub> Transportation Is it Safe and Reliable?
- 10 Facts About CCS

